Recommendations

Policy Drivers of Food Labelling

Recommendation 1: That the Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991 be amended to include a definition of public health to the effect that: ‘Public Health is the organised response by society to protect and promote health, and to prevent illness, injury and disability’.

Principles and Criteria

Recommendation 2: That food labelling policy be guided by an issues hierarchy in descending order of food safety, preventative health, new technologies and consumer values issues. Regulatory action in relation to food safety, preventative health and new technologies should primarily be initiated by government and referenced in the Food Standards Code. Regulatory action in relation to consumer values issues should generally be initiated by industry and referenced to consumer protection legislation, with the possibility of some specific methods or processes of production being referenced in the Food Standards Code.

The modes of intervention should be mandatory for food safety; a mixture of mandatory and co-regulation for preventative health, the choice dependent on government health priorities and the effectiveness or otherwise of co-regulatory measures; and mandatory with time limits for new technologies. The modes of intervention for consumer values issues should be self-regulatory but subject to more prescriptive forms of intervention in cases of market failure or the ineffectiveness of self-regulatory schemes.

Recommendation 3: That once the case for a labelling standard has been established and becomes part of the Food Standards Code, sufficient resources be allocated to ensure that it is effectively monitored and enforced.

Recommendation 4: That consumer protection concerns be accorded a high priority by the relevant government agencies and complaints be properly processed and resolved.

Recommendation 5: That information on food labels be presented in a clear and comprehensible manner to enhance understanding across all levels of the population.

Public Health and Food Safety

Recommendation 6: That the food safety elements on the food label be reviewed with the aim to maximise the effectiveness of food safety communication.

Recommendation 7: That there be more effective monitoring and enforcement of the existing requirements in the Food Standards Code to provide mandatory warning and advisory statements and allergen declarations on packages of food not for retail sale, foods for sale at restaurants and other food outlets, foods from mobile food vendors and vending machines, and foods for catering purposes.

Recommendation 8: That the Voluntary Incidental Trace Allergen Labelling system be explored as a possible supplementary model to manage food label declarations relating to the adventitious presence of allergens in foods.
Recommendation 9: That a comprehensive Nutrition Policy be developed that includes a framework for the roles of the food label. Key aspects of the framework to be:

a. the provision of food safety and nutrition information and education strategies to protect and promote the health of the population, including articulated roles for food label elements;

b. the encouragement of the provision of healthy foods within the food supply to facilitate healthy diets;

c. the setting and application of nutrient criteria and dietary guidance;

d. the facilitation of social and other research to improve understanding of how label information is used and its impact on food selection, eating behaviours and the food supply;

e. the establishment of monitoring and surveillance systems for dietary/nutrition practices that include the use and understanding of food labels.

Such a policy should be developed as a priority, within the framework of the governments’ preventative health agendas and cognisant of the present Australian initiatives on food security and a national food plan.

Recommendation 10: That the Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991 be amended to require Food Standards Australia New Zealand to ‘have regard’ to the comprehensive Nutrition Policy when developing or reviewing labelling standards.

Recommendation 11: That industry develop in consultation with government, medical authorities and relevant consumer organisations a voluntary code of practice and education initiatives to enable consumers to quickly identify label information relating to additives, colourings and flavourings that are of agreed medical priority for sensitive consumers.

Recommendation 12: That where sugars, fats or vegetable oils are added as separate ingredients in a food, the terms ‘added sugars’ and ‘added fats’ and/or ‘added vegetable oils’ be used in the ingredient list as the generic term, followed by a bracketed list (e.g., added sugars (fructose, glucose syrup, honey), added fats (palm oil, milk fat) or added vegetable oils (sunflower oil, palm oil)).

Recommendation 13: That mandatory declaration of all trans fatty acids above an agreed threshold be introduced in the Nutrition Information Panel if manufactured trans fatty acids have not been phased out of the food supply by January 2013.

Recommendation 14: That declaration of total and naturally occurring fibre content be considered as a mandatory requirement in the Nutrition Information Panel.

Recommendation 15: That voluntary declaration of potassium content in the Nutrition Information Panel be actively considered by industry. If nutritional policy guidance recommends the reduction in consumption of potassium for at-risk population groups in the future, disclosure of potassium in the Nutrition Information Panel should become mandatory.

Recommendation 16: That social research be undertaken to determine effective mechanisms to present sodium/salt information on food labels to facilitate consumers’ understanding and use of this information.

Recommendation 17: That the declaration in the Nutrition Information Panel of amount of nutrients per serve be no longer mandatory unless a daily intake claim is made.
Recommendation 18: That declaration of energy content of standardised food items on the menu/menu boards or in close proximity to the food display or menu be mandatory in chain food service outlets and on vending machines. Further, information equivalent to that provided by the Nutrition Information Panel should be available in a readily accessible form in chain food service outlets.

Recommendation 19: That a responsive regulatory approach to the use of simple words and terms that may infer health implications be commenced, with the food industry working with Food Standards Australia New Zealand to develop a Code of Practice covering consistent use of definitions for such words and terms, with a view to their use being restricted if appropriate constraint is not implemented.

Recommendation 20: That the Standard for nutrition, health and related claims on food labels which reflects agreed public health goals be finalised and that it include the following:

a. a hierarchy of substantiation of claims at the various levels, that would encompass use of defined nutrition words and terms, pre-approved relationships, authoritative sources, systematic review and pre-market assessment and approval;

b. a requirement that all foods that carry a nutrition, health and related claim comply with an agreed nutrient profiling system;

c. a requirement that the presence of a nutrition, health and related claim triggers relevant information disclosures in the Nutrition Information Panel or ingredients list; and

d. a requirement that the presence of a general or high level claim triggers display of standardised front-of-pack label information.

Recommendation 21: That applications for trade names and trademarks be scrutinised by the relevant agencies to identify and reject words and devices that have the effect of inferring health implications that are otherwise prohibited under the Food Standards Code.

Recommendation 22: That mandatory messages supporting preventative health strategies may be instigated by governments, provided the following conditions are met:

a. substantiation requirements are fulfilled — the epidemiological evidence is strong;

b. the message is consistent with the comprehensive Nutrition Policy;

c. food labelling is an appropriate response to the problem; and

d. the label is one part of a multifaceted campaign.

Recommendation 23: That a consistent, seamless regulatory approach for nutrition, health and related claims be adopted for food, complementary medicines and dietary supplements.

Recommendation 24: That generic alcohol warning messages be placed on alcohol labels but only as an element of a comprehensive multifaceted national campaign targeting the public health problems of alcohol in society.

Recommendation 25: That a suitably worded warning message about the risks of consuming alcohol while pregnant be mandated on individual containers of alcoholic beverages and at the point of sale for unpackaged alcoholic beverages, as support for ongoing broader community education.

Recommendation 26: That energy content be displayed on the labels of all alcoholic beverages, consistent with the requirements for other food products.
**Recommendation 27:** That drinks that are mixtures of alcohol and other beverages comply with all general nutrition labelling requirements, including disclosure of a mandatory Nutrition Information Panel.

**New Technologies**

**Recommendation 28:** That as a general principle all foods or ingredients that have been processed by new technologies (i.e., all technologies that trigger pre-market food safety assessments) be required to be labelled for 30 years from the time of their introduction into the human food chain; the application of this principle to be based on scientific evidence of direct impact on, or modification of, the food/ingredient to be consumed. At the expiry of that period the mandatory labelling should be reviewed.

**Recommendation 29:** That only foods or ingredients that have altered characteristics or contain detectable novel DNA or protein be required to declare the presence of genetically modified material on the label.

**Recommendation 30:** That any detection of an adventitious genetically modified event be followed by a period of monitoring and testing of that food or ingredient.

**Recommendation 31:** That foods or ingredients with flavours containing detectable novel DNA or protein not be exempt from the requirements to declare the presence of genetically modified material on the label.

**Recommendation 32:** That foods or ingredients that have been genetically modified and would require declaration if labelled be declared on menu/menu boards or in close proximity to the food display or menu in chain food service outlets and on vending machines.

**Recommendation 33:** That governments ensure effective monitoring of labelling requirements in the Food Standards Code relating to genetically modified foods or ingredients through support for sufficient Australian and New Zealand laboratories, observing world best practice protocols, and with the necessary resources and analytical skills.

**Recommendation 34:** That the requirement for mandatory labelling of irradiated food be reviewed.

**Recommendation 35:** That Food Standards Australia New Zealand and other relevant bodies develop as a matter of urgency a standard for regulating the presence of nanotechnology in the food production chain, consistent with the recommendations in this Report relating to new technologies.

**Consumer Values Issues**

**Recommendation 36:** That Food Standards Australia New Zealand consider adopting, by reference in the Food Standards Code, values-based definitions and/or standards relating to specific food production methods and processes, if requested by industry, to achieve consistency of definitions.

**Recommendation 37:** That the relevant livestock industries consider the benefit of establishing agreed standards under the auspices of Standards Australia or Standards New Zealand for terms related to animal husbandry (e.g., ‘free range’, ‘barn laid’ and ‘caged’ in the case of poultry).
**Recommendation 38:** That the value of industry-initiated self-regulatory intervention be recognised and that industry in collaboration with special interest groups further develop and apply a responsive and more structured self-regulatory approach to consumer values issues that incorporates:

a. the role that voluntary codes of practice can play in relation to the evolution of standard definitions for values-based claims;

b. the role that certification schemes can play in effectively communicating values-based messages; and

c. the development of agreed standards through existing frameworks such as International Organization for Standardization, Standards Australia or Standards New Zealand.

**Recommendation 39:** That a monitoring regime for self-regulatory measures be established and when evidence of systemic failure to provide accurate and consistent values-based information to enable consumers to make informed choices is found, a more prescriptive mode of regulation is triggered.

**Recommendation 40:** That Australia’s existing mandatory country-of-origin labelling requirements for food be maintained and be extended to cover all primary food products for retail sale.

**Recommendation 41:** That mandatory requirements for country-of-origin labelling on all food products be provided for in a specific consumer product information standard for food under the *Competition and Consumer Act 2010* rather than in the Food Standards Code.

**Recommendation 42:** That for foods bearing some form of Australian claim, a consumer-friendly, food-specific country-of-origin labelling framework, based primarily on the ingoing weight of the ingredients and components (excluding water), be developed.

**Presentation**

**Recommendation 43:** That the Perceptible Information Principle be used as a guide for labelling presentation to maximise label comprehension among a wide range of consumers.

**Recommendation 44:** That a minimum font size of 3.5mm in an open font style in mixed case be applied for mandated information, with the exception of small package sizes where the minimum font size should be 1.5mm.

**Recommendation 45:** That a set of guidelines be developed in consultation with industry that includes reference to other presentation factors such as letter and line spacing, text justification and stroke width.

**Recommendation 46:** That a minimum contrast level of 70% for mandated information be stipulated in the Food Standards Code.

**Recommendation 47:** That warning and advisory statements be emboldened and allergens emboldened both in the ingredients list and in a separate list.

**Recommendation 48:** That industry be encouraged to develop a set of guidelines relating to the co-location of mandatory health information presented in a standardised manner on the label. Government should facilitate this process through the provision of appropriate resources and expertise.
**Recommendation 49:** That the development of an automated label assessment tool be investigated that can gauge a label’s compliance with mandated legibility requirements and those stipulated in relevant voluntary codes.

**Recommendation 50:** That an interpretative front-of-pack labelling system be developed that is reflective of a comprehensive Nutrition Policy and agreed public health priorities.

** Recommendation 51:** That a multiple traffic lights front-of-pack labelling system be introduced. Such a system to be voluntary in the first instance, except where general or high level health claims are made or equivalent endorsements/trade names/marks appear on the label, in which case it should be mandatory.

**Recommendation 52:** That government advice and support be provided to producers adopting the multiple traffic lights system and that its introduction be accompanied by comprehensive consumer education to explain and support the system.

**Recommendation 53:** That ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the multiple traffic lights system be undertaken to assess industry compliance and the effectiveness of the system in improving the food supply and influencing consumers’ food choices.

**Recommendation 54:** That chain food service outlets across Australia and New Zealand be encouraged to display the multiple traffic lights system on menus/menu boards. Such a system be mandatory where general or high level health claims are made or equivalent endorsements/trade names/marks are used.

**Recommendation 55:** That any beverages containing alcohol be exempt from nutrition-related front-of-pack labelling requirements.

**Recommendation 56:** That the potential of new information technologies be considered by consumer organisations, industry and government to provide extended product labelling for non-mandatory information.

**Compliance and Enforcement**

**Recommendation 57:** That monitoring and enforcement of food labelling requirements of the Food Standards Code (accuracy as well as the presence of labelling information) be considered equally important as other aspects of the Food Standards Code and the responsible agencies be given the appropriate level of resources to meet their obligations.

**Recommendation 58:** That the Model Food Provisions and the food acts of the jurisdictions be amended to allow a more versatile range of enforcement provisions, such as the power to make orders or require user-paid compliance testing consequent on a breach or impose enforceable undertakings in relation to non-compliant labelling.

**Recommendation 59:** That consumer protection concerns related to food labelling be accorded a high priority by the relevant consumer protection agencies (Australian Competition and Consumer Commission, New Zealand Commerce Commission, and State and Territory consumer protection agencies) and complaints be processed and resolved in a timely and transparent manner.

**Recommendation 60:** That food standards always be drafted with the understanding that they are intended to be enforceable legal documents. Where current deficiencies in the labelling requirements have been identified, standards should be re-drafted to make the obligations clear.
**Recommendation 61:** That a new and effectively resourced entity in the form of a trans-Tasman Food Labelling Bureau be established under the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991* to undertake the functions as specified in this Report and more generally to:

a. be the primary contact for, and source of, food labelling information and advice;
b. undertake research into food labelling issues;
c. undertake a general educational role in relation to food labelling issues and requirements;
d. assist industry to comply with labelling requirements;
e. act as a clearinghouse for complaints and facilitate compliance and the resolution of complaints;
f. monitor and report on food labelling compliance; and
g. monitor consumer values issues claims on labels and liaise with consumer protection agencies in relation to confusing, misleading or deceptive food labelling.