After reaching a high of over 1.6 million in 1990, the number of abortions performed annually in the U.S. have dropped to around 1.06 million a year.

Two independent sources confirm a downward trend: the government’s Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and the Guttmacher Institute (GI), which was once a special research affiliate of abortion chain Planned Parenthood.

The CDC ordinarily develops its annual report on the basis of data received from central health agencies (the 50 states plus New York City and the District of Columbia). GI gets its numbers from direct surveys of abortionists conducted every few years.

Because of its different data collection method, GI consistently obtains higher counts than the CDC. CDC researchers have admitted it probably undercounts the total because reporting laws vary from state to state and some abortionists may not report or under-report. Increases and decreases for the CDC and GI usually roughly track each other, though, so both sources provide useful information on abortion trends and statistics. The CDC also stopped reporting estimates for some states in 1998, making the discrepancy larger.

Abortions from CA and NH have not been counted by the CDC since 1998, and other states have been missing from the totals during that time frame: OK in 1998, AK from 1998 to 2002, WV in 2003 and 2004, LA in 2005, MD from 2007 to 2012. For areas that did report, overall declines were seen from 1998 through 2012. The CDC showed a decline of nearly 125,000 abortions from 2007 to 2012.

Guttmacher’s latest report also shows a significant recent decline, seeing abortions fall 13% from 2008 to 2011. Most all of this decline appears to have occurred at clinics with annual case loads of a thousand abortions a year or more. The number of abortions with RU-486 and other chemical abortifacients were up despite the overall decline.

Cumulative abortions since 1973 were generated using GI figures through 2011 and then using the 2011 number as a projection for 2012 through 2015. Then a 3% undercount GI estimates for its own figures was added, yielding the total below.

### THE CONSEQUENCES OF ROE V. WADE

**58,586,256**

**TOTAL ABORTIONS SINCE 1973**

*Excludes NH, CA and often at least one other state.

§ NRLC projection for calculation
Pregnancy. This may also affect their willingness to risk the likelihood of abortion for any given woman stays the same.

Several factors can impact the numbers of abortions. If there are fewer women of reproductive age (15-44) in one year rather than another, and if that group skews older, from population shifts or bubbles, that will reduce the numbers of abortions even if the likelihood of abortion for any given woman stays the same.

In theory, anything that impacts female fertility, such as a successful national teen abstinence campaign, the large scale use of birth control, or even high rates of reproductive injuries or diseases, can reduce the likelihood of pregnancy and hence abortion.

Economic factors may play a role as well, but their impact is unclear. Many women cite a sense of inability to afford the care of a child in their decisions to abort, but this may also affect their willingness to risk pregnancy.

Abortion rates and ratios, which measure the prevalence of abortion in a society and the choices made by pregnant women, give a little clearer idea of what may be going on.

Guttmacher measures the abortion rate as the number of abortions per 1,000 women aged 15-44 as of July 1 in a given year. This gives us an idea of how common abortion is in our culture at a particular time.

Looked at in this way, abortion reached its highest prominence around 1980, when there were about 29.3 abortions for every thousand women of reproductive age. Though, owing to population, the raw number of abortions stayed the same or even rose during the decade, the prevalence of abortion, with a higher population, began to decline from around 1982 on.

By 2011, the rate had dropped to 16.9, nearly half the peak rate, meaning abortion was a significantly less common feature in women's lives in 2011 than it was in 1980. Population changes don't tell the whole story, however.

The abortion ratio, for Guttmacher, is the number of abortions per 100 pregnancies that end in either abortion or live birth (miscarriages and stillbirths are not counted). This number is significant, since it tells us the likelihood that any given pregnant woman will choose to abort or give birth to her baby.

Like the rate and the raw numbers, the abortion ratio rose swiftly after Roe, reaching 30 by 1980. Though estimated to have gone as high as 30.4 in 1983, it trended down after that point, dropping to 21.2 in 2011.

This is an indicator that real changes in attitudes and behaviors are involved, as a higher proportion of pregnant women are choosing life, rather than death, for their babies.

What accounts for this? There were fewer abortionists, but a correlation between them and the number of abortions may say as much about demand as supply. Economic conditions?– mixed throughout the long decline.

It is notable that during the time of these changes, pro-life legislation has been passed in many states. Since 1989, 26 states have passed right to know legislation, making sure women know not only the risk and realities of abortion, but also of alternatives better for them and their unborn children. Caring volunteers at pregnancy care centers all around the country make these alternatives realistic.

Twenty-nine states now have substantive parental involvement laws in place, protecting teens from adolescent fears and exploitation by the abortion industry. Waiting periods, limits on taxpayer funding, and ultrasound viewing laws have surely played significant roles. Partial-birth abortion laws and laws protecting pain-capable unborn children have also brought awareness of the child's humanity to a broader public.

Millions of pieces of pro-life literature illustrating fetal development have been distributed, confirming what so many women have seen for themselves in sonograms and heard on fetal heartbeat stethoscopes, that abortion stops a beating heart and ends the lives of children with hands, feet, and faces.

The abortion industry has not abandoned the market, however, building glamorous new mega-clinics and pushing pills like RU-486 with false promises of easy, safe chemical abortions.