The future of automotive

DIGITAL DRIVE

AUTOMOTIVE INSIGHTS

1 | 2015  BEYOND MAINSTREAM

DIGITAL DRIVE

AUTOMOTIVE INSIGHTS

AUTOMATED DRIVING

Winning strategies for suppliers

INTERVIEW

Uber's Christian Freese about a new mobility market
3 KEY TAKEAWAYS

The future of automotive is digital. By analyzing, developing new approaches and understanding changing mindsets Roland Berger experts help create value and change the game.

Analyzing — Digitization

The digital transformation also gives the automotive industry the chance to generate new business. Based on the trends we identified, we see four key areas where resolute action is needed: Digital data, automation, digital customer interface and connectivity.

THE DAWN OF THE DIGITAL CAR

Rethinking — Mobility

"We are witnessing a radical change in the way people behave in conurbations. Trips are no longer planned and pre-ordered in advance. Ad hoc availability is the key."

UBER GERMANY’S MANAGING DIRECTOR CHRISTIAN FREESE

Practicing — Automated driving

Any supplier with aspirations to become a full systems provider – complete with all the vehicle and integration skills required – will have to master three new areas of technology: Navigation, vehicle control and human-machine interface.

WHO WILL BE IN THE DRIVER’S SEAT?
DEAR READER,

DIGITIZATION has captured the imagination of the automotive industry. The signs are visible everywhere – and not just at this year’s International Motor Show in Frankfurt. Industry 4.0 is making production smarter, vehicles are being connected to their environment, and customers can draw on a plethora of channels to find out what they need to know about cars, buy them or have them maintained. To put it simply: Data is increasingly shaping the automotive industry’s business models.

TAKEN TOGETHER, these developments have the potential to bring sweeping changes to your industry. As we have seen in the telecommunications, music and publishing industries radical upheavals are usually preceded by gradual development in several phases. Technologies mature, social acceptance grows and initial competitors from converging industries try their hand at new business models. Think of Uber! (See our interview with Christian Freese, head of Uber in Germany, on page 24.)

ARE WE TALKING ABOUT A REVOLUTION, then, or a process of evolution? And what will the coming changes mean for you and your company?

To begin to answer these questions, the latest issue of our Automotive Insights magazine – entitled “The digital drive” – is devoted to the topic of digitization. “The digital drive” sketches the wider scope of changes taking place right now, zooms in on individual country markets (the U.S., China and Brazil) and examines how the automotive industry is doing in areas such as multichannel retail, electromobility and automated driving.

No one knows exactly where the road will lead. Yet there are clear markers and road signs along the way. These must be heeded if we are to shape the future of the market together.

Enjoy your read!
Marcus Berret
**44%**

(aged 14-28) would buy a car online

**USA:** There will still be cars on U.S. roads – but how many and which ones?

**China:** Buying a car could be more convenient in the future.

New world: Data-driven innovations will change the automotive business.
"Are we talking of a revolution or a process of evolution?"

Marcus Berret, page 3
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While some 20 years ago most teens and tweens aspired to own a car, today’s young people would rather spend their time interacting on social networks.

70% of adults globally say they are open to unconventional methods of transportation.

By 2025, the percentage of car owners aged 39 or younger will drop from today’s 63% (female) and 60% (male) to 58% and 54%, respectively.

We collected insightful trends, facts and figures that may impact the industry.

MIXED FEELINGS: TRUST IN AUTOMATED DRIVING

According to a Cisco study, 57% of people globally would put their trust in a driverless vehicle.

95% of Brazilians embrace the concept.

In Japan only 28% of people are willing to give up direct control of their cars.

The U.S. acceptance rate of 60% was above the global average.

DECLINE: NEED TO OWN A CAR

By 2025, the percentage of car owners aged 39 or younger will drop from today’s 63% (female) and 60% (male) to 58% and 54%, respectively.

While some 20 years ago most teens and tweens aspired to own a car, today’s young people would rather spend their time interacting on social networks.

70% of adults globally say they are open to unconventional methods of transportation.


UP AND COMING: DIVERSITY IN AUTO FIRMS

GM names Mary Barra as the car industry’s first woman CEO. In 2014, six of the company’s 25 corporate officers were women.

Honda taps its first female board member: Hideko Kunii, 66. She is the first female director at a big Japanese carmaker.

Toyota named Julie Hamp, a senior official at Toyota Motor North America, as a managing officer, making the American the company’s first female executive.
A GLIMPSE INTO THE LABORATORIES

SMART EYES
Mini unveils Augmented Vision eyewear: The technology packed into the glasses projects relevant information into the driver’s direct field of vision.

LIGHT BY SIGHT
Intelligent light systems Made by Opel: A new technology will enable drivers to direct the headlights to wherever their eyes are looking.

MADE OF FLAX
For the Peugeot 308, Faurecia has supplied an injection-molded part that consists of 20% natural materials, such as hemp. Other new products containing hemp fibers are set to follow later in 2015 or 2016.

POWER REVOLUTION
Bosch ushers in a battery revolution, offering double the range at half the price: Batteries for electric cars are going to get considerably better by 2020 – with negative consequences for the residual value of today’s electric cars.

POINTS OF INTEREST

UNITED STATES
With improvements in vehicle fuel economy, U.S. drivers’ average gasoline consumption is the lowest it’s been in at least 30 years, according to research by the University of Michigan.

TURKEY
The TomTom Traffic Index 2015 reveals that Istanbul is the global leader in congestion.

CHINA
China may surpass the U.S. as the world’s largest market for electric vehicles in 2015.

INDIA
India’s automotive industry is expected to reach 7 million vehicles by 2020, making the country the third-largest auto manufacturer in the world behind the U.S. and China.
E-commerce meets offline touchpoints. Many customers had experience with owning a car in the last decade. This is necessary if manufacturers are to merge offline and online channels.
China’s automotive e-commerce is gaining traction. The challenge is to connect offline and online activities and create an entire retail ecosystem. We illustrate future business models and draw conclusions on how OEMs can equip their platforms.

by Junyi Zhang and Fanny Cao

China is crazy about “Double Eleven”: on November 11, the largest online shopping day in the world, China’s automotive industry looks to set new records in online orders. One of the biggest platforms – Autohome – sold more than 30,000 cars online on Double Eleven 2014 alone, about 2,500 of them with full payment online. This success shows that Chinese consumers are passionate online shoppers. Today, roughly 45 percent already consider purchasing a car online. While the shopping craze on 11/11 can briefly generate windfall revenue for retailers, with 24.3 billion yuan (CNY) worth of orders recorded in a single day, the surge of festival momentum invariably wanes. Average daily auto sales at websites, portals and online dealers continue to remain anemic. But we believe that China’s automotive e-commerce is ready for liftoff in the coming years – experts forecast annual growth rates between 30 percent this year and 40 percent for the next, which in absolute numbers could amount to CNY 225 billion in 2016. What would e-commerce in the Chinese automotive industry need to advance to the next stage and who will be the winners in that race?

CONNECT OFFLINE AND ONLINE
When buying a passenger car, most Chinese customers tend to get their information by conducting research on the internet, often using social media and mobile apps. Previously, automotive e-commerce was essentially limited to several specialized online marketing platforms for car dealers, with the biggest players being
Click and drive

Autohome and Bitauto. Through their large user base, they could offer automotive manufacturers access to potential buyers and help dealers extend the reach of their physical showrooms by providing a centralized marketing platform. Via an application interface, dealers could create online showrooms hosted on Autohome or Bitauto websites where they would upload their automotive inventories, pricing and promotional information. The business model of these sites has been based on generating profits from advertising as well as collecting referral fees from dealers. Online sales, however, were handled separately from offline sales in the industry, because they were generally viewed by OEMs and dealers as playing only a supporting role.

Over the past few years, however, with major e-commerce platforms realizing the importance of leveraging customer data from both virtual and physical stores, a complete automotive e-commerce ecosystem has gradually begun to take shape. In the next phase, dealers are trying to integrate the two channels more closely into an online-to-offline (O2O) model.

 Alibaba’s B2C online retail platform, Tmall, marked its first involvement in payment transactions. The first step is that buyers can make an upfront payment online or use Alipay machines located near the point of sale to purchase a car conveniently and without cash by simply swiping their bankcards. In the long run, customers would not have to go to the showroom at all, but pay the full price online. This would also be a win for the online dealer: Customers leave behind a valuable data footprint that provides information about the effectiveness of marketing activities.

**Paving the way to e-commerce**

The development of automotive e-commerce is fueled by two changes in the regulatory environment. Those are considered as favorable for e-commerce development:

According to China’s “Enforcement Measures on Administration of Automobile Brands Sales” (2005), dealers can sell cars only after authorization by the OEM. Additionally, the rule puts automakers in charge of establishing business forecasts, dealer locations and standards for setting up stores. Chinese authorities are now considering, however, whether to relax restrictions on car dealers. So in the future they would be able to sell vehicles from multiple brands in the same store.

China’s government is taking steps to introduce more transparency into parts supply. It published a joint statement on “Guiding Opinions on Promoting the Automotive Repair Industry Transformation and Upgrading to Improve the Quality of Service.” Carmakers will be required to release vehicle maintenance and technical information about newly introduced models, thereby facilitating the sale of car parts by third parties.

Sources: Bloomberg, Forbes

**FIRST MOVERS GUIDE THE WAY**

Some OEMs, such as Geely, Guangqi Honda and Chevrolet, have started to promote selected models via their own e-commerce platforms. VW and BMW are also well aware of the opportunities and are teaming up with e-commerce platforms such as Tmall or Yiche to promote selected models. But activities are still far from being fully integrated. Fundamental issues such as the low transaction conversion rate and the challenge of incorporating online sales into daily operations have not yet been resolved. Managing such large inventories and offering aftermarket services are also virgin territory for e-commerce platforms. Part of the difficulty stems from the fact that an automobile today seems to be too complex to be priced and sold online in the same way as consumer goods like books or DVDs. In the coming stages of development, OEMs will need to know how to address consumers directly, try to...
use online and mobile platforms, and establish direct B2C channels in order to support their offline dealer network. These activities enhance the customer experience, enable community-building, and improve the exchange of information over the complete product lifecycle.

**INTEGRATE AFTERMARKETS**
China may be the world’s biggest market for new car sales. But as more and more households own a car, demand will also rise for maintenance repairs and other aftermarket services. A look at the complete ownership lifecycle of a car reveals that the sources of profit in China’s automotive market are quite different from those found in Western countries. The aftermarket has traditionally been highly fragmented and lacking information on the service and repair history of the vehicles being sold. This segment, which includes maintenance, repair, replacement parts and accessories, holds vast potential for growth.

Data show that every CNY 100 spent purchasing a car would generate CNY 65 in revenue from aftermarket service. By 2020, turnover from the used car and after-sales markets is expected to grow at a rate of 19 percent annually compared to just six percent from the new car market.

Several online players are on their way to harvesting profits on the aftermarket, such as Chexiang, Cheyian and Chemayi. Chexiang, established by Shanghai’s state-owned carmaker SAIC Motor, is a dealer-driven platform extended to include aftermarket service functions. The platform has a critical mass of users already, but it will have to invest in mobile apps and membership systems to retain customers after the first car purchase. Furthermore it will be crucial to link offline and online activities in a more intelligent way and create a sustainable profit model. OEMs currently dominate the wholesale business to dealers. Between them and the end customer are various layers of dealers, and profits diminish in every transaction. Creating a leaner distribution structure is therefore an easy way to optimize profits.

Cheyian and Chemayi are consumer - and service provider-driven platforms, respectively. They host contributions about service experiences, offer expert advice and provide a network of different service offers. Their success will depend on their ability to mobilize a threshold of active users to unleash a network effect. Their aim is to set up standardized services, a transparent pricing system and a community that is willing to share expertise and experience. Currently they earn the main part of their income from dealers’ service commissions. In the future they may benefit even more from their function as aggregators of customer needs. However, they will need to cooperate more closely with offline service providers like garages, 4S stores or fast-fit chains, which will make it possible to provide services without the need to maintain their own inventory and logistics structures.

To address future challenges properly by building on their own capabilities, we recommend that OEMs take to the following approach:

**SHORT TERM**
**Excite and retain online users**
As platforms improve, channels will diversify and there will be many opportunities for users to get online. For any player that wants to make profits in automotive e-commerce, getting users online and retaining them is a must, especially in the aftermarket.

**MEDIUM TERM**
**Build an ecosystem**
Use a membership system to build user communities related to the purchase of new cars, vehicle use, maintenance, used cars, financing and other businesses. Attract partners from other industries, such as travel, hospitality, outdoor activities, etc.

**LONG TERM**
**Intertwine online and offline activities**
Completely connect online and offline activities, not only in terms of new cars and aftermarket service but also in terms of the used car business. The result will be a car-service-mobility lifecycle. OEMs, dealers, service providers and platform companies can benefit from that.

We believe that OEMs will have advantages in the new automotive retail ecosystem, which makes speeding up their e-commerce easier. First, they can draw on a solid customer base, which saves on costs for new user acquisition. Second, they can use sources of customer data such as CRM systems, their dealer relationships and the data from onboard systems. Third, they possess the market visibility and brand equity to enhance valuable cross-industry partnerships, e.g. with garages as well as hotels, manufacturers of outdoor equipment, or self-driving tour operators.

---

**Rebalance the value chain**
A new sales ecosystem could boost China’s aftermarket
[Net profit in CNY bn]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rental of used cars</th>
<th>After-sales service</th>
<th>Automotive insurance</th>
<th>Automotive retail finance</th>
<th>Automotive manufacturing and sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4,296</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>9,420</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Roland Berger
U.S. market scenario: Owners, drivers and urban traffic of the future

Shared or bought? In the future using a car will not necessarily mean owning it.

A new automotive era characterized by connectivity, shared mobility and automated driving may change the automotive market as we know it – but to what extent? We crunched the numbers for the U.S. market and analyzed how drivers, sales and car ownership will evolve in the light of these trends.

by Marc Winterhoff
Houston: A huge parking lot was turned into a park named “Discovery Green”. Could this be the way forward if less space is needed for inactive cars in U.S. cities?
To examine the impact of new trends on the automotive market, we have selected the U.S. as a focal point: It is a global trendsetter, one of the world’s largest car market and typically one of the first to be disrupted by new business models. Here one can find innovative automotive OEMs who compete with mobility startups and tech giants such as Google and Apple that are encroaching on the car industry. At the same time, Uber is developing a de facto standard for ride-hailing. Given the high reliance on individually owned vehicles in the U.S. and the wide range of geographic regions with varying population densities, it is a particularly interesting test market. We have identified three key trends – connectivity, mobility-on-demand and automated driving – as we expect those to have the biggest impact throughout the automotive value chain in the long run. This significant change will occur for two main reasons.

First, the new automotive era has the ability to attract consumers away from public transportation and even short- to medium-distance rail and air travel. Why spend hours in airports and cramped airplanes to travel 250 miles when mobility-on-demand can pick you up and take you to your destination in roughly the same time, while you work or relax in a comfortable, private setting?

Second: More people will be able to “drive” cars if licenses are not a limiting factor. This is especially true for very young people and people over 65. Early teens and many others will be able to ride in vehicles without having to depend on licensed drivers, and parents might be less inclined to carpool to Saturday morning soccer practice when their children can travel independently while being monitored for safety. Those effects add to smaller increases in other population groups.

NEW VEHICLE OWNERSHIP PATTERN

Theoretically, each shared vehicle can replace up to eight individually owned vehicles. In our progressive scenario, the total number of cars on U.S. roads would be reduced by 8 percent (49 m). The effects of mobility-on-demand services will be far-reaching, but they will be seen especially in urban areas, dense and sparse alike. These areas, home to a third of the population, can alleviate congestion through mobility-on-demand, which poses a more viable alternative to the poor public transit infrastructure native to places like Los Angeles.

Connectivity technologies would further reduce traffic as fewer drivers have to search for a place to park their own car, which typically then remains unused for 23 of the 24 hours in a day. However, it is important to note that even in areas ideal for mobility-on-demand adoption, many US consumers will continue to opt in favor of owning a car for reasons including privacy, comfort and status. We expect that up to 36 percent of individually owned vehicles will be automated to ease the burden on drivers, especially those dealing with congestion and longer commutes.

For consumers and municipalities, the new mobility era is an opportunity to improve the quality of life in urban areas.

More car users

Users in the U.S. by age group [m]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Today</th>
<th>Auto 4.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–14</td>
<td>216.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–24</td>
<td>110.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–54</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fewer cars per owner

Vehicle parc in the U.S. [m units]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Today</th>
<th>Auto 4.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premium</td>
<td>254.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>224.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility-on-demand pods</td>
<td>205.8</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increasing sales volumes

Annual sales volume in the U.S. [m units]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Today</th>
<th>Auto 4.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premium</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility-on-demand pods</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

► Automated driving and ride-sharing create new user segments
► Significantly greater participation of the youth (early teens) and elderly (65+)
► 49 m fewer cars
► Sharp decline in volume segment
► Each shared vehicle would replace up to 8 individually owned ones.
► Increase in premium segment cars and sharp decline in volume segment
► New mobility-on-demand pods would cater to shared mobility.

Source: Press articles, annual reports, US Census, NHTSA, FHWA, IHS, Automotive Fleet, Roland Berger
There would be room for more parks, walking areas and biking lanes. Improving public transit requires substantial public investment, whereas the more efficient usage of existing roadways and vehicles that are otherwise parked is destined to be a win-win for all parties.

**ANNUAL VEHICLE SALES INCREASE**

There will be major shifts in the vehicle portfolio of the U.S. market. We estimate that 28 percent of vehicle sales (in units) will eventually shift to “pods” – the fleet of mobility-on-demand service providers. Who will own those cars in the future? OEMs, ride-sharing players or leasing companies? We don’t know yet. But we’ve already seen how some players envision these pods in Chevy’s EN-V 2.0, Toyota’s i-ROAD and Google’s driverless car. We expect the demise of the American two-car household in many areas, given that the “second car” is partially replaced by mobility-on-demand. With fewer cars being bought per household, more money will be available to spend on the vehicles that are purchased. Therefore we expect an increase in sales in higher-trim vehicles or consumers trading up to the premium segment.

What will be the net effect? Traditionally, the amount of vehicles sold has always been a function of the number of car owners or operators. In the future, we will have to look at it from a different perspective: The number of miles traveled will be the key predictor of vehicle sales. Provided that sharing vehicles is convenient enough not to reduce the miles consumers travel in vehicles, the number of cars on the road will shrink. But a new source of demand – the effect of new “users” who previously relied on public transit or their families for transportation – will outweigh the effects of the reduced car parc.

**THE FUTURE OF BUSINESS MODELS**

Volume OEMs – those that generate less than ten percent of their revenues from premium brands such as Ford and FCA – face the biggest challenge from the three future trends we describe, as they will be sandwiched between premium and low-cost competitors. We estimate that five million purpose-built, efficiency-optimized pods will be be sold every year; this will come directly out of OEMs’ annual volumes and deprive them of more than USD 33 billion in added value.

As their primary market shrinks, they could consider combinations of pushing further into the premium segment, leveraging their manufacturing efficiencies to move downmarket by building low-cost mobility-on-demand pods, or shifting their focus from manufacturing cars to providing holistic mobility solutions. Mobility-on-demand services are expected to become a market worth USD 113 billion annually. In the face of the biggest disruption the automotive industry has ever seen, the race is on to capture future customers and profits – but the difference between winning and losing will be determined by an OEM’s readiness to adapt and the ability to transform its business model.
SPOTLIGHT
BRAZIL

With decreasing new vehicle sales, the focus is now on the aftermarket. We look at market development, distribution trends and customers – Here are some study highlights.

MARKET

Electric and electronic components make up approximately 15% of the Brazilian aftermarket today. The amount of embedded technology in vehicles is increasing, so this segment in particular is expected to grow significantly.

PLAYERS

The independent aftermarket, which accounts for about 80% of the total market, lies in the hands of small and medium-sized businesses.

100,000
repair shops

35,000
retailers

300
distributors

1,200
suppliers

1 Number includes OES and IAM market volume, locally produced or imported, but excludes tires and accessories.
2 Lubricants, hydraulic fluids
3 Undercar/chassis parts (suspension, brakes, wheels, steering system, structural parts)
GROWTH
The total vehicle fleet in Brazil is growing, its profile is changing towards more sophisticated technology and consumers show new patterns of behavior. These developments will further drive the aftermarket. Passenger cars and light commercial vehicles (LCV) will see slightly stronger growth than trucks and buses.

TRENDS
Brazil is still a maturing market. Some aspects of the ongoing transformation:

CHALLENGES
- Parts availability: complexity here is due to the proliferation of vehicle models in the fleet, difficulties in identifying the right part and - given the scale of the country - have it delivered in reasonable time.
- Inventory management: Cost of capital and obsolescence loss are significant for both distributors and retailers.
- Professionalization: Companies across the whole chain need to enhance efficiency, stability and profitability.
- Warranty: Unclear roles and responsibilities generate risks at different levels of the chain.

OUTLOOK
- The distinction between distributors and retailers is slowly disappearing.
- OEMs are trying to expand their share.
- Small repair shops are losing business to professional chains.
- The online channel is gaining importance over the long term.

Even in the current difficult economic situation, the growth prospects and the dynamics of the Brazilian aftermarket’s distribution chain will create numerous opportunities for local and international businesses and investors.

ABOUT THE STUDY
For our comprehensive study on Brazil’s automotive aftermarket, we partnered with three main industry associations: Sindipeças, Sincopeças and Sindirepa. Together they represent more than 130,000 companies ranging from part suppliers to repair shops.

Authored by: Stephan Keese and Martin Bodewig

The full study (in English or Portuguese) is available for purchase at: http://www.rolandberger.com/media/publications/
The dawn of the digital car

A real revolution? When does it start? We analyze how the digital transformation will change the automotive industry, outline where the journey could lead, and show what incumbents can do to stay in the race.

by Marc Winterhoff  Illustrations: Terré
Illustrations: Kinky Illustrators – Terre
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Something is brewing in the automotive industry. The “New Mobility World”-area on this year’s International Motor Show in Frankfurt (IAA) is a get-together for new mobility players like BlaBlaCar, moovel or Drivy. Or take the haggling over Nokia’s mapping service Here. Chinese internet giants (Alibaba, Baidu) were bidding alongside Uber, VW, Audi and Mercedes. In the latter the incumbents have won, but the rumor mill is working overtime. In China, internet giant Tencent has announced it is partnering with electronics supplier Foxconn to build an automated car. And Apple has allegedly set aside no fewer than 1,000 people to handle its automotive activities. Maybe they are all just there to continue developing the CarPlay multimedia system, but maybe the Cupertino firm’s engineers are wiring their own electric car.

Yet who builds the cars has long ceased to be the only issue. Other “industry outsiders” too are massing their ranks in further areas that will determine the future make-up of the supply chain – or even spawn completely new business models. Uber is currently creating a ride-hailing worldwide (see our interview with Christian Freese on page 24). Car sharing has already become established as a new business line for a number of OEMs, as well as for other mobility providers.

It all started when electronics and IT became integral parts of in-vehicle diagnostic systems about 20 years ago. But only in the last 2-3 years has convergence made the lines between industries virtually indistinguishable. Potential changes in the automotive industry are immense. There will still be vehicles, of course, but will today’s big players still be the companies that make them? When complex market upheavals are unfolding, it is hard to separate cause and effect. Developments always seem to gather momentum when three factors coincide: First, when a critical number of interrelated key technologies reach a certain level of maturity. Second, when a significant shift in consumer habits becomes apparent. Third, when disruptive innovations come from adjacent industries – instead of established players.

That is precisely what has happened in the automotive industry. It has opened its arms wide to innovative technologies from other areas of application to enhance its offerings. Sensor systems, driver assistance applications, telematics services and infotainment are now being linked together to form seamless systems.

At the same time, consumers are changing their attitude to the industry’s core product – the car. For many younger buyers in urban areas, owning a car is no longer so important. Greater acceptance for automated cars can also be observed: According to a Cisco study, 57% of people globally would put their trust in an automated car. Changes in society slowly translate into punctual regulatory initia-
tives; e.g. a public highway in Germany was accepted as a place to test-drive automated vehicles in fall 2015. The age of the digital auto industry is upon us. But are we facing a revolution, or is it more a process of evolution? Which of the many new developments are realistic, and which are wishful thinking? As of now it is obviously hard to predict. Even so, there are some useful clues about future business. We have trawled through a wide range of industries in search of patterns and indicators, and our analysis of the findings highlights the challenges that the digital transformation presents to traditional OEMs. But it also shows where the adaptable among them can find new opportunities.

LEARNING LESSONS FROM OTHER INDUSTRIES

Structural transitions might irrevocably shift the balance of power in the value chain. Players that change too slowly risk being edged out of the market by more agile rivals. History is ripe with examples of industries impacted by new technologies and business models that opened the door to disruptive players. Bricks-and-mortar retailers were disrupted by online retailers such as Amazon. Specialized retailers like bookstores were cannibalized by both online retailing and technologies such as e-readers. The music industry was broadsided by Apple iTunes. We have watched the demise of companies like Nokia and Kodak. Even Microsoft had to do massive write-offs in the telephone business.

The pattern of events that occurred in each of these industries is relatively consistent. First, technological advances enabled the entry of disruptive players with new business models that were better attuned to new consumer needs. Then, incumbents with heavy baggage that were unable to adapt to the changes or unable to restructure began to see their margins erode. Lastly, the “old” industry suffered a wave of consolidation.

So what separates the winners from the losers? We have seen how winners such as IBM and General Electric were agile enough to reinvent themselves and adapt to a changed competitive environment.

DIGITIZATION OF INDUSTRIES – IT HAS HAPPENED BEFORE

To predict the exact when and where of future development is difficult. But we can look at showcases of industries where transformation is more advanced already. The good news is there are new opportunities. However, some players will lose their leading position.

CONNECTING THE DOTS

In our scenario analysis we identified about a dozen trends for the future development of the automotive industry. Some have high some have low impact on the existing business models. Digitization is an integrator and works as a catalyst.
They realized in good time what new business models suited their corporate DNA, and they succeeded in rebuilding their value chains in ways that accommodated the changes taking place around them. The timing and speed were right too: Step by step, they eased out of their “old” world entanglements and gained a foothold in the “new” environment. By contrast, the losers were not quick enough to spot the need for change. For too long, they continued to invest in businesses where margins were shrinking. Ultimately, they had to file for insolvency, were broken up or were swallowed up by their new competitors.

A WORLD OF TRENDS
As a foundation for discussion, we asked internal and external stakeholders to identify trends that have the potential to shape the automotive world of the future. In the end we had about a dozen pointing to digitization as the overriding catalyst, meaning that the automotive future will be driven by trends with a high degree of digitization impact and will also have spillover effects on non-digital ones.

Two examples: Many OEMs have been investing in the development of e-cars for a long time. Up to now electromobility (a non-digital trend) has not yet brought pronounced changes to the way the industry lines up. But now its development is being fueled by digitization. If new shared mobility providers build up fleets of primarily electric cars, this will have a material influence on the quantities and models demanded by the market. And if vehicles are increasingly able to run driverless, the ability for car makers to use this or that engine as a differentiator may no longer be so significant – opening the door even wider to off-the-rack e-cars.

Digitization is also closely linked to new retail. If significant improvements are realized in technical ways to interconnect customer data, and if digital experience makes customers more willing to try out new things, this will create a whole new playing field for innovative retail formats. Customers will also come to expect more of their buying experience as they become more conversant with the digital availability of data and online shopping opportunities in other areas. The availability of multichannel offerings can then alter the balance of power within the automotive distribution landscape: If integrators possess the crucial competitive capabilities, it is possible for non-traditional entrants to attain far greater market significance than in the past (see our article on multichannel marketing on page 32).

RESPONDING TO THE DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION
The changes taking place are numerous and the threat of losing market share is real. Yet the digital transformation also gives the automotive industry the chance to generate new business and tap new markets. At the moment, the incumbents are still well placed, though they must move fast to exploit this strong position. Other market players – the IT and software giants, essentially – increasingly see cars as “computers on wheels”. From their perspective, it should no longer be bodywork, engine size and driveline technology that set a car apart from competitors, but software and IT functionality. In their nascent worldview, the car itself is no more than a commodity.

For their part, the incumbents can counter by leveraging new technological possibilities to better emphasize what makes their automotive offerings so special. Based on the trends we identified and our understanding of the catalyzing effects they will have on automotive production and on the
customer, we see four key areas where resolute action is needed: Digital data, automation, digital customer interface and connectivity.

**Digital data.** Where the OEMs really have an advantage is in their vast reserves of the currency of the 21st century: the terabytes of digital data that every car produces. Whoever mobilizes the skills needed to crunch all that data can harness this precious resource to optimize customer relationship management. But that is not all: Reports in the press suggest that VW is, for example, thinking about setting up the most accurate weather information in Germany. Real-time information gathered by wheel sensors and digital windscreen wipers would then be beamed back to HQ in Wolfsburg from in-vehicle computers.

**Automation.** Another opportunity is to boost productivity in manufacturing. The smart, connected production automation technologies (Industry 4.0) can change the competitive ball game in the car industry. One study by the Fraunhofer Institute (FAO) and industry association Bitkom suggests that, thanks to Industry 4.0, the gross value added by the automotive industry in Germany could rise by as much as 20 percent between now and 2025. 3D printing already offers a comparably mature technology to produce low-volume parts made of complex material compositions.

**Digital customer interface.** In the future automakers would be able to orchestrate their sales activities and the way they approach customers across multiple channels. Other industries are already bursting with ideas about how to accompany the customer journey – from initial desire to buy to signed maintenance agreement – both online and offline. At present, however, too little use is being made of these opportunities.

**Connectivity.** The opportunities begin with apps that bring together information about alternative forms of mobility – from shared bikes to air travel. At the other end of the spectrum, they embrace automated driving; the vision of cars that autonomously recognize obstacles and glide effortlessly through even urban congestion without the driver having to lift a finger.

Simultaneously tackling all these issues presents a massive challenge to OEMs in general, and especially to volume manufacturers whose slender margins leave them little room to invest. It is already becoming apparent who is positioning themselves on what topic, and who is actively soliciting partners among the new crop of competitors to keep a cap on their own investment risks. Some premium OEMs are already devoting considerable attention to connectivity, using apps to link other modes of transport to their car business, for example. Incumbents such as BMW, Opel and Mercedes also operate their own car-sharing offerings that are working well. Still others like Toyota are focusing their spending on alternative drivelines, amassing a wealth of experience with batteries and fuel cells. In the electric cars segment, all eyes are on how newcomer Tesla approaches the market. Mercedes, a traditional OEM, has invested heavily in the development of fuel cells, while BMW has done the same in electromobility. Renault/Nissan, Volkswagen and Hyundai/Kia too are still definitely in the race.

In the field of automated driving, cooperation with supplier Delphi has put Audi in a good position. Extensive initial test drives have already been completed on public highways in the U.S. Mercedes’ strong truck division is yielding welcome development synergies, thanks to which the company’s C and S classes are already on the road with semi-automated models. Ford plans to bring a series of automated driving technologies to market within the next five years. BMW is engaging in collaborative research with Chinese search engine Baidu on the subject of driverless cars. These and other examples show that many trends have already been spotted, and that the industry is busily slotting them into its business models. Yet the digital transformation of the automotive industry is still in its early days. In the face of the biggest disruption the industry has ever seen, the race is on to capture future customers and profits. And in the age of automotive digitization, companies’ readiness to adapt and their ability to transform business models will ultimately separate the winners from the losers.

The new world of connectivity: Smart car returns to smart home.
"Ad hoc availability is the key"

Heavy smartphone user: Christian Freese is an ambassador for a new mindset on mobility.
Uber has become a role model of how disrupting individual mobility market works. Without owning a single car or employing drivers, it shook up traditional taxi transportation all over the world. And this is only the beginning.

An interview with Christian Freese, head of Uber Germany

Automated driving, e-mobility, carsharing – the automotive sector is changing at a phenomenal pace. Is it reasonable to talk about a revolution?

CHRISTIAN FRESEE: It certainly is! We are currently witnessing a radical change in the way people behave in conurbations. Trips are no longer planned and pre-ordered hours in advance: They are now ordered directly and begun immediately. Ad hoc availability is the key. Mobility on demand is set to flourish, although I personally feel that the term “mobility on the spot” more accurately describes the phenomenon. And then there is the fact that people want to travel safely and at low cost. We as a company come face to face with all three demands in every region of the world. The regional differences in customers’ behavior are much less pronounced than many people think.

What business opportunities will the mobility market open up in the future?

FRESEE: Customers will want more options to choose from. They want to be able to decide at a moment’s notice what is the best, fastest and lowest-cost alternative for them. We are responding to that as a company. We see Uber as a network of cars that are out on the road and whose capacity needs to be utilized. And we are in the process of organizing additional services for this network. For example, we are setting up UberEATS, which will let people have lunch and dinner delivered to them from their favorite restaurants. We also see huge potential for new services in logistics, e.g. the rapid delivery of letters and parcels.

That will generate new traffic. Doesn’t car and ride sharing promise exactly the opposite: better utilization of cars as a resource?

FRESEE: Both aspects are true: More people will be moving around and car capacity utilization will improve. That is because the way we use cars is changing so rapidly. Take our UberPOOL service in San Francisco, for example. The passenger gets in at point A and wants to go to point B. Once the car sets off, an algorithm kicks in that identifies a second passenger who wants to go in exactly the same direction, but who doesn’t want to get out until point C. When the first passenger gets out, our software pools the data again – and so on and so forth. We have reached the stage where we have drivers who go for hours with at least one passenger in their car.

Do tomorrow’s customers really no longer want to own a car?

FRESEE: They don’t. Among city dwellers, we see a tendency at least to do without a second car, and in some cases even the first car. We figure that in a couple of years, mobility on demand will cover 20 to 30 percent or more of traffic in conurbations. That doesn’t mean the end of the privately owned car, and certainly not in rural areas. Many people in these regions will scarcely be able to get by without their own car. In sprawling urban areas too, our new mobility offerings will reach the limits of their effectiveness, because we won’t be able to achieve attractive vehicle density everywhere.

How will the constantly growing share of mobility-on-demand offers in cities affect the sales market for automobiles?

FRESEE: Automotive OEMs will not see their sales collapse because of mobility on demand, as the mileage clocked up by cars will remain roughly the same. In the medium term, though, there will be significantly fewer cars on the roads, because they will be used more efficiently than they are today. If car capacity utilization increases by a factor of seven, which is what we as a company expect to happen, seven times more wear and tear will mean that new vehicles have to be bought much more quickly. That could lead to changes in OEMs’ aftersales business.

Mobility companies with a global reach are establishing powerful new players on the market. What consequences could that have for the automaker?

FRESEE: There may be new players, but there won’t be a really new situation for the automotive companies. You’ve already got global car rental firms in operation today. Unlike the latter, Uber doesn’t even...
"Here and there we still sense opposition to digitization in Germany."

Christian Freese

plan to become a direct customer for cars. At the very most, we will negotiate master purchasing agreements for our partner drivers. But we are a technology company, so we definitely have a keen interest in advancing technologies such as electromobility and automated driving. We also want to encourage OEMs to come up with product innovations – to create vehicles that are even better suited to our mobility services, for example.

Does that mean you would like to work together with OEMs to develop new vehicles?

FREESE: We are an excellent point of contact when it comes to finding out what the ideal vehicle for this or that new mobility segment might look like. For our part, we have no concerns about getting involved. Especially when you look below the premium class, there is still a lack of vehicle types that convincingly meet the demands of customers for taxi, rental and car-sharing services.

Uber has announced its intention to cooperate with research centers on automated driving. Do you ultimately plan to build self-driving cars?

FREESE: No, that's not what we want and that's not what we will do. Our only aim is to stay abreast of new and important product developments to make sure we don't miss the boat. Vehicles are the core of our business model, after all. For us, it's important to gather knowledge about new technologies. By doing so, we make sure that new developments don't sweep us away. In the field of technology too, we want at some point to commit to powerful partnerships with others so that automated driving is given priority and makes progress.

Will self-driving cars really be ready for the market in the foreseeable future? When will that be?

FREESE: For me, there is no question that this technology will become established in the medium term. Around 90 percent of fatal car accidents are attributable to human error. So it makes a great deal of sense to replace people as a source of errors with self-driving systems. Having said that, there are still obstacles to be overcome, especially on the legal side. It will undoubtedly still be more than five years before self-driving cars are ready for the mass market.

What part could Google play in this market?

FREESE: I don't believe they want to become a transportation service provider themselves. But they are probably very interested in adding new car traffic data to their own data ecosystem. The company is now going all out to produce a self-driving car in its development workshop – this could be a way of urging the automotive OEMs to pull out all the stops and get a move on with the technology. After all, the big groups have been working on this kind of vehicle for a long time, but may have slackened the pace a little.

What about the incumbents? Are the traditional OEMs too confident about their own mastery of the complex automotive business?

FREESE: That statement is a bit too sweeping for my liking. A lot is happening at the German premium OEMs in particular. They are getting hooked up to online platforms and ramping up new transportation services, for example, as well as pressing ahead with drive technologies such as e-mobility. A number of companies have set themselves up very smartly indeed. MyTaxi, a competitor of ours in Germany, for example, is part of the Daimler Group. Manufacturers such as BMW and VW are likewise very active in drive technologies and connectivity offerings.

In Europe, Uber is having a really hard time gaining a foothold. In many places, the model of having occasional drivers who use their own private vehicle has been banned. In Germany, you have now launched a service that complies with legal requirements. Has Uber given up trying to overcome the resistance of the German regulators – or merely put that battle off for another day?

FREESE: It is true that Germany is not an easy market. Some of the stipulations we face are way behind the times. They make no provision for the ability to use an app to place mobile bookings for transportation services, for instance. But we are confident that, in the months ahead, we can convince the German political arena of the need to modernize the rules and regulations. Here and there, we still sense a general opposition to digitization in Germany. And the simple fact that we are an American company doesn’t make things easier with some of the people we talk to. But we are not prepared to walk away from the German market. We are here to stay. Once we have overcome Germany’s skepticism, that will open doors wider in other countries as well.
Who will be in the driver's seat?

Incumbent suppliers see automated driving as a chance to tap new revenue and earnings potential. But they don't necessarily have to become end-to-end systems integrators. We outline some alternatives.

In recent years, it was the OEMs who hit the automated driving headlines. Lately, however, the public gaze has increasingly turned away from manufacturers. Suppliers, who have invested hugely, dominate the news now. For example in April 2015, Delphi sent a self-driving car from San Francisco to New York City. Driveline company ZF Friedrichshafen has cast its net wider by taking over U.S. safety technology specialist TRW. The signs thus indicate that the future will shift the balance of market power, provided the automated driving market continues to develop. And this shift could open up new opportunities for suppliers. Why? Because they are the ones with the knowledge of relevant software and sensor technologies – object recognition, for example. As such, they are the ones who make it at all possible for cars to operate in traffic and automatically take evasive action. Major tier-one systems suppliers such as Bosch, Conti and Delphi therefore believe they are well placed to play a leading role in the market for automated driving.

REALITY CHECK
So far, there is little visible evidence of this positioning. And there are good reasons why it may never actually work. Understandably, large premium OEMs, but also volume producers, are not keen to see anyone steal their thunder in such an important market. They see the integration of automation functions as a core competence of their own and, in the medium to long term, as a way to set themselves apart from the competition. Moreover, most OEMs don’t believe that tier-one suppliers have what it takes to handle the role of general functional integrator. They therefore see no reason whatsoever to tinker with the traditional division of labor between OEMs and suppliers in the realms of automated driving, or in any other disciplines for that matter. This stance, of course, puts the former firmly in the driver’s seat, from which they merely allow partners to develop individual components. (One such component is the software that uses camera and other sensor data to recognize and classify objects.) The OEMs themselves take care of in-vehicle integration, which they also do for hardware such as the actual sensors. Four new groups of players are arriving on the scene, all of whom are keen to offer automated driving as a complete package to establish themselves as leaders.
1 Safety: The display signals to pedestrians that the F 015 has "seen" them.

2 Touch sensor: Monitors the driver’s ability to control the vehicle.

3 Cockpit of the future: Creates a comprehensive human-machine interface inside the car.

4 Embedded camera: Helps measure distances and recognize obstacles.

5 Head-up display: Projects content and information about points of interest.
NEW ACTORS TAKING THE STAGE
The first set of players consists of large IT companies such as Google. These corporations have defined self-driving cars as a lucrative line of business for the future. The second group is hardware and electronics specialists. Algorithms and the ability to link and “smarten up” relatively basic hardware or consumer electronics components are their key strengths. In their chosen niches, firms such as visual information specialist Mobileye already provide serious competition to established tier-one suppliers. They overcome the drawbacks of using cheap hardware (mono cameras instead of expensive stereo cameras,) by developing more complex and powerful software. Software specialists from robotics or the aerospace and defense sector make up the third group. They transfer their know-how from these industries to the automotive sector and act as integrators or software algorithm specialists on behalf of the OEMs.

The fourth group comprises chip developers such as Intel and Qualcomm, who are good at developing integrated circuits for electronics specialists from robotics or the aerospace and defense sector make up the third group. They transfer their know-how from these industries to the automotive sector and act as integrators or software algorithm specialists on behalf of the OEMs. Some OEMs are already bypassing automotive suppliers and establishing direct collaboration with these chip developers instead.

We see three strategic options for suppliers to position themselves in the new market segment.

Go for the big game. Any firm with aspirations to become a full systems provider – complete with all the vehicle and integration skills required – will have to master three new areas of technology:

- Navigation based on map, object and infrastructure data fusion, as well as behavior prediction with the aid of machine-learning algorithms
- Vehicle control based on models and algorithms that can set target values for horizontal, transversal and vertical vehicle dynamics
- Human-machine interfaces (HMIs) to integrate the driver into vehicle control in certain situations

It is important to realize that the target group for the complete package will be small: incumbent OEMs with a technology follower position, niche providers in the premium segment, and start-up manufacturers from the emerging markets (mostly from China).

Focus on “specialized commodities”. One interesting alternative can be to adjust positioning and become a “specialized commodity” supplier – of sensors or software, for example. If this strategic option is implemented cleanly, it can yield considerable benefits such as less complexity. The world of engineering is full of examples of how such a model can be translated into business success: specialists for suspension springs, chassis and engine mounts, gaskets and powertrain actuators, to name but a few. To achieve success in the automated driving segment with this kind of positioning, suppliers must step up their development of hardware. That doesn’t necessarily mean producing in house, but it does mean carefully considering which cooperative ventures with hardware developers could usefully complement your own competence and capabilities.

Focus on integration of the driver. In highly automated driving systems, the question of how to embed the driver is still a neglected task. But it will be an important feature in the future. HMI is a device that allows the driver to provide the system with input (in the future likely via voice or gesture recognition). But this will not be a one-way street: the HMI will also provide information on system status and on traffic. More importantly, it serves to monitor the driver and ensures that vehicle control can be handed over safely. It also helps to prioritize information. Although the HMI will remain a key differentiator for OEMs, they will still need partners to realize innovative ideas for driver integration.

EVOlUTION OF AUTOMATED DRIVING
Implementation timeline of selected automated driving functionalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Lane change assist" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Traffic jam assist" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Urban automated driving" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Highway pilot" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Lane keep assist" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Intersection assist" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Highway chauffeur" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Fully automated system" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="PARKING" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="PARKING WITH APP" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Parking with app" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Valet park assist" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Fully automated parking" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="SAFETY" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="SAFETY" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="SAFETY" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="SAFETY" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="SAFETY" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Construction zone assist" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Emergency power-down" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Emergency steer assist" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Predictive emergency braking and predictive pedestrian protection" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Highway pilot = highway chauffeur + higher degree of automation; 2) Tested – date of series production not available
JAPAN UNDER SIEGE

Reviewing electromobility – How leading automotive nations compare. An update in three dimensions.

by Wolfgang Bernhart and Thomas Schlick

THE ROLAND BERGER E-MOBILITY INDEX
We regularly observe the e-mobility market, mapping the positions of leading players. Our analysis is driven by questions regarding the market, technology and industry.

TECHNOLOGY
Key questions: How far have manufacturers come in development? What is the level of state investment?

INDUSTRY
Key question: How much value do automotive system and component manufacturers contribute to the domestic industry?

MARKET
Key question: Based on current customer demand, how large is demand in the domestic market?

The study is conducted in cooperation with the Automotive Competence Center & Forschungsgesellschaft Kraftfahrwesen mbH, Aachen
MARKET

FIGHT FOR FIRST PLACE

France leads in terms of e-car/hybrid sales in relation to overall sales, followed by the U.S. and Germany. However, if we take a different perspective and look at total sales the U.S. are in the lead; China - the government pushes sales of domestic brands - is able to take the second position here.

E-cars/hybrid cars share of total sales [%]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INDUSTRY

POWERED BY CELLS

It is not just the vehicles that are pushing the industry forward; cell manufacturers are crucial drivers as well. Japan’s Panasonic/Sanyo group is leading here because of TESLA supply. Korean followers Samsung and LG are expected to see a major leap when 2018 vehicles are included.

Cell manufacturers: Projected global market share in 2017 [%]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panasonic/Sanyo</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samsung</td>
<td>Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AESC</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Roland Berger

TECHNOLOGY

A NEW E-PREMIUM CLASS

E-cars continue to be very expensive in Germany and the U.S.. Japan has improved its value-for-money ratio. China is the price leader, but still lags behind in terms of technology. This might change soon: China’s industrial and regulatory policy pushes innovation in electromobility. The government invests more than EUR 7.7 bn in this field, which is 0.1% of GDP. France and Germany rank 2nd and 3rd in terms of state investment.

Value for money [EUR/points]

Avg. price [EUR]

Avg. technology level [points]
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Reconnect with your customers

Many OEMs find themselves trapped in traditional structures when trying to innovate their retail activities. To facilitate a positive customer experience, OEMs need to be open about new retail formats, but should not lose sight of network efficiency.

by Jan-Philipp Hasenberg, Philipp Grosse Kleimann
Buying a car could be so much fun if it didn’t fill people with dread. In recent years the shopping environment and customer needs have drifted farther apart in the automotive business than in any other industry. Worse, some customers actually perceive buying a car, for which they spend more than a year’s salary, as an unpleasant duty instead of an exciting experience – a bizarre paradox in a buyer’s market.

Millennials, who are as comfortable interacting with devices as they are with people, unfortunately find the traditional car buying process a nuisance and associate the sales experience with negative attributes such as physical pain. Microsoft/Wakefield research revealed that 70 percent view dealers more as an obstacle to a fair and transparent transaction than a help, while 67 percent believe buying a car is one of the most intimidating purchases a person can make. What this means for automotive players: Third party providers such as Truecar and Autonation (U.S.) as well as meinauto.de (Germany) and Aramisauto (France) benefit from the low appeal of traditional sales channels to younger car buyers. The four retailers have adapted their business models to these new patterns of behavior. Customers can purchase a car that is tailored to their exact requirements conveniently from their own home with complete price transparency at any time of the day.

In our experience, there are specific reasons that explain why the process of retail innovation is evolutionary rather than revolutionary. Path dependencies increase structural inertia within the retail organization and prevent true innovations from spreading. A comprehensive multichannel approach would for example require a closer collaboration between marketing, sales and aftersales, as well as a redistribution of power between wholesale and retail operations, all of which is difficult to implement. This is why “greenfield” solutions with no legacy systems in place (e.g. BMW i and Tesla) allow for more progressive solutions. As a consequence, many initiatives of established OEMs remain in an experimental phase or are decentralized activities driven by the markets. Their focus often lies on digital sales and digitization of the point of sale, whereas the actual goal should be to increase the overall efficiency and effectiveness of the entire retail process (e.g. reducing investment requirements in facilities, improving conversion and loyalty rates). In many cases this leads to fragmented sales activities. Often the cost structure behind the innovations is neglected and a comprehensive vision of what the multichannel landscape will look like in the end is lacking.

Some premium OEMs are learning important lessons by looking to other business sectors for inspiration. Having started early, they are at the forefront of multichannel retail within the industry. The advantage of premium OEMs lies in their financial leeway to undertake comprehensive experiments. The resources of volume OEMs, which are operating within tight margin
Automotive dealers have a unique position within the traditional sales process. Instead of circumventing them by offering direct purchases from the OEM, e.g. via the internet, they should be integrated into a multichannel model. The initial online sales experience may even exclude the actual transaction. OEMs could allow buyers to make most choices along the way online – including model configuration, test drive booking, trade-in evaluation and credit check. For the final price negotiation and contract closure, the customer may then be handed over to a branch or dealer. Moreover, after sales and service are key tools for an OEM to maintain customer loyalty, so dealers remain an essential part of the equation.

2. BASE YOUR MULTICHANNEL CONCEPT ON THE CUSTOMER JOURNEY – BUT FOCUS ON CONNECTIONS AND GOVERNANCE

Implementing new retail formats alone will not be enough to capitalize on the benefits of a multichannel retail approach. The new landscape has to enable a seamless customer journey. Implementing stable, systematic linkages between the various formats and adapting the governance system to new technological developments are key to success, but often ignored by practitioners as they are less visible than new stores or digital touchpoints. In order to avoid creating multiple silos, all formats must be interconnected in an intelligent way. This is a prerequisite for actively guiding customers to the next step across various formats (i.e. from configuration to test drive). Such a move necessitates generating and distributing consistent data at all touchpoints linked to the customer based on a stable IT system.

21%
see independent online vendors (e.g. Amazon) as an alternative sales channel to the dealer

Companies also have to ensure that multichannel activities are working as an integrated system. Therefore the overall issue of governance has to be revisited, including processes, organizational structure and a realignment of dealer compensation, for example. Today, only the dealership that successfully concludes the contract receives the full remuneration.
This is not really a problem in today’s world, as only standard dealerships with comparable ranges of services compete with each other. However, in a multichannel environment this may change. Retail formats are then set up to fulfill specific and complementary services along the customer journey and may sometimes even not include a sales functionality. Brand or pop-up stores be geared only to generating leads and not cover contract conclusion. Test drive centers will be focused on a very specific step in the purchase experience. How can they be compensate for their contribution to the overall sales success in a way that allows for profitable individual business cases? We recommend a more differentiated approach. In the future, formats should earn commission on the service they have offered (e.g. test drive or consultation) if it leads to the successful sale of a car or service – no matter the format in which the customer finally concludes the contract.

3. BE OPEN TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGES – BUT REMAIN REALISTIC ABOUT TOP-LINE BENEFITS

We believe that multichannel retail operations can lead to a more effective and profitable retail business than traditional structures. However, the financial success won’t come in every case, especially without comprehensive measures. To realize benefits instead of sitting on additional costs, OEMs have to realign the structure of sales partners and points of sale. The setup of a multichannel organization cannot be efficient if the new channels are established on top of the old ones. Apart from additional investment costs, the competition between sales activities of the same brand would increase. In most cases this calls for reducing and even replacing old structures with new ones. Often neglected, the real potential of a multichannel approach lies in its lower cost of retail: OEMs can offer more qualified support to their dealers. A Customer Interaction Center could prequalify prospects and forward hot leads to the dealer, for example, while a centralized test drive hub could cut financing costs for demo cars at dealerships. Higher operating costs for these new formats can be offset by retaining a share of the dealer margin or charging commission fees from dealers. As a consequence, we believe that multichannel business cases should be calculated without the pressure of generating additional top-line benefits, such as immediately increasing sales volumes or prices. A business case should not take this for granted, but rather view it as upside potential and focus on increasing efficiency of the sales system first.

FROM FIRST SIGHT TO LAST MILE

No matter if a customer is traditional, digital-savvy or looks for convenience: A smart multichannel approach offers a very individual path through the journey with appropriate touchpoints for each type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-sales phase</th>
<th>Sales phase</th>
<th>Ownership phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pop-up store/shop-in-shop</td>
<td>Standard dealership</td>
<td>Drop-off point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile test drive fleet</td>
<td>Mobile sales force</td>
<td>Mobile service force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer contact center</td>
<td>Customer online portal (incl. online sales)</td>
<td>Mobile sales force</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SALES CHANNEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STATIONARY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOBILE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIRTUAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Traditional customer | Digital-savvy customer | Convenience oriented-customer |

44% would buy a car online

59% of young car buyers’ expect a response to email/web inquiries within 24 hours

In the end, automotive customers should be able to enjoy buying a car or car-related services again. Car manufacturers should become benchmarks for customer experiences and offer consumers the convenience they expect. They should re-connect with their customers through tailored retail formats and individualized interactions without losing sight of the efficiency of their retail system.

1) aged 18-34. Sources for all figures: AutoScout 24 “Auto-kauf in der Zukunft” (2015); Autotrader “Car Buyer of the Future” (2015); Capgemini “Cars Online” (2014)
Food for thought

Take a deep dive and find out more in related articles, studies and magazines by Roland Berger authors.

What will automotive markets of the future look like?
The news is full of business models such as advanced connectivity systems, innovative shared mobility concepts, and of course, self-driving cars being showcased around the world. The automotive industry certainly plays a big role, but tech companies and new entrants are increasingly becoming the driving forces behind these developments. Once new players enter the value chain with innovative business models and game-changing technologies, the ensuing shake-up can leave prominent incumbents irrelevant and facing consolidation or bankruptcy. The numerous trends impacting the automotive industry require the industry to respond — but it is the confluence of connectivity, shared mobility and automated driving that will truly put the industry as it’s known today to the test.

Business opportunities in precision farming: Will big data feed the world in the future?
Precision farming refers to a broad range of modern technologies that enable more efficient management of agricultural land. They can be clustered into robotics and automation technology, imagery and sensors, digitization and big data as well as bio-engineering. All of these technologies are interlinked via connectivity. Roland Berger experts analyze the global market for precision farming, look at how the technologies are going to develop in the period through 2030, consider their impact on traditional and new market players alike, and present five innovative business opportunities along the agricultural value chain.

New market players are pushing into the market with ever greater speed and aggression. Small wonder: The global market volume for smart agriculture applications will grow 12 percent per year through 2020. But traditional providers find themselves under increasing pressure in the near future.
Vehicle electronic architectures – Consolidation ahead

The master key to unlocking value in the next generation of "smart cars". Increasing the number and complexity of electronic control units (ECUs) is a major trend in the automotive industry. The industry is now at a tipping point where adding ECUs is no longer sustainable, either economically or functionally. Module consolidation – the use of a single domain controller instead of an independent processing unit for each ECU – is a solution already being made available to address the complexity issues arising from these trends.
The Golf 1 marked the beginning of an era. It was the car for an entire generation. Especially in Germany and Europe, it became synonymous with a certain carefree, can-do lifestyle. Driving it made life easy and fun for the ‘70s generation. This was the car that tore down barriers, creating a sense of boundless freedom. Chosen to succeed the vastly popular Beetle, the Golf had big shoes to fill when it was launched in May 1974. Yet the newcomer (and its successors) exceeded all expectations and became Volkswagen’s most successful car, selling 27.5 million models around the globe. Finding another model to become the symbol of a generation will be a tough task, though. As car ownership loses its appeal to younger drivers (see “Trends” on pp. 6-7), the Golf may turn out to have been one of the last of a dying breed. For now, that doesn’t seem to be a problem for Volkswagen: The 2015 Golf has been named North American Car of the Year™ by fifty-six independent automotive journalists. Having moved an entire generation – in every sense of the word – the Golf is obviously still going strong.
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In the age of automotive digitization, companies' readiness to adapt will ultimately separate the winners from the losers.
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