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Scope of Zero Net Energy (ZNE) Definitions Group

- Memo to clarify Zero Net Energy definition
  - Not full group consensus
- Questions include:
  - How is energy valued for trade-offs between different sources (natural gas, propane and electricity) and trade-offs with on-site renewable generation?
    - Site, source, TDV,
  - What energy consumption is included?
    - Building operation, T-24 regulated only, embedded, transportation energy etc.?
  - What is on-site renewable energy
    - PV, hydro, fuel cells, biomass, landfill gas?
  - What is on-site?
    - Building site, development site, utility grid etc?
  - How does definition or policy address sites that do not have access to renewable energy?
Format of Refined ZNE Definitions

- Relatively simple and short definition of ZNE
- Policy complexity captured in definitions of each term of the ZNE definition
- Key challenge: How to keep common sense definition of ZNE intact and meaningful while being applicable to all buildings in the near future
- Key finding: Definition of ZNE and policy goals had to be addressed together.
**Existing ZNE Definition and Big Bold Energy Efficiency Strategy (BBEES) ZNE Policy**

- **Big Bold Energy Efficiency Strategy (BBEES)**
  1. *All new residential construction in California will be zero net energy by 2020;*
  2. *All new commercial construction in California will be zero net energy by 2030;*

- **Zero Net Energy (ZNE) definition:**
  
  *The amount of energy provided by on-site renewable energy sources is equal to the amount of energy used by the building.*
  
  A ZNE building *may also consider embodied energy – the quantity of energy required to manufacture and supply to the point of use, the materials utilized for its building.*
Revised Zero Net Energy Goals

1. All new residential construction in California will be zero net energy or equivalent to zero net energy by 2020;
2. All new commercial construction in California will be zero net energy or equivalent to zero net energy by 2030;

- Equivalency allows goal to be applicable to all buildings – even those unable to produce all net energy needs on site.
- Equivalency builds on Title 24 concept of prescriptive standard and a performance calculation that results in equivalent energy consumption.
- Equivalency in original intent reflected by original BBEES existing buildings goal:
  “50 percent of existing buildings will be equivalent to zero net energy buildings by 2030 through achievement of deep levels of energy efficiency and clean distributed generation.”
Revised Definition of Zero Net Energy

The *societal value of energy* consumed by the *building* over the course of a typical year is less than or equal to the societal value of the *on-site renewable energy* generated.

For a home or low-rise dwelling unit, ZNE is achieved by demonstrating a California Whole-House Home Energy Rating of zero or less.
Definitions of terms for ZNE

- **Societal value of energy** - long-term projected cost of energy including cost of peak demand and other costs including projected costs for carbon emissions, e.g., the time dependent valuation (TDV) of energy.

- **Building.** The property “receiving development entitlements and building code permits.” A single building, or set of buildings, i.e. a housing development.

- **On-site** - new construction: similar to the definition of building. Existing: located on the contiguous property under control of the building owner.

- **Renewable resources** - Photovoltaic-generated electricity, solar-thermal generated electricity, micro-hydro generated electricity and wind-generated electricity.
Building energy usage the basis for ZNE

- ZNE definition based upon building energy usage
  - i.e. does not include embedded energy in materials or water, or transportation energy (though these are important)
- Building energy usage includes plug loads
  - 54% of residential energy consumption
  - Indicates the importance of appliance standards – ZNE target not just a Title 24 issue
  - Rating tools must consider installed appliances or accurate defaults for appliance usage (see COMNET and HERS II)
Definitions of terms for ZNE equivalent

- **Zero net energy equivalent**: A property that achieves the societal value of energy (TDV energy) equivalent of ZNE with consideration of off-site renewable resources, or other factors to be determined by California policy makers.

- **ZNE equivalent** becomes meaningful as code for the 2019 Title 24 energy code.

- In the meantime, the intermediate steps help inform the ZNE equivalent rule sets.
  - Methods of equivalency and verification etc of off-site renewables
  - Energy equivalency and enforcement issues in the reach codes that may migrate into the energy code (LCA, transportation etc.)
Zero Net Energy Definitions Development

Summary of ZNE Definitions Group Findings
Importance of ZNE Concept

- Bold concept has reoriented what is possible
  - 50% EE savings regularly discussed as goal
  - Hundreds of zero or near zero buildings
- Readily understandable, easy to market
  - Zero is the new green
- Organizing Principle for Policy
  - Energy codes
  - EE Programs
  - Renewables policy
  - Legislation
- Other policies apply
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• All new commercial construction in California will be zero net energy by 2030.

Commercial New Construction

• Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) industry will be reshaped

Residential / Small Commercial HVAC

• All eligible low-income homes will be energy-efficient by 2020.

Low-Income Energy Efficiency

Residential New Construction

• All new residential construction in California will be zero net energy by 2020.
Half (2/4) of BBEEs are Zero Net Energy (ZNE)

1. All new residential construction in California will be zero net energy by 2020;
2. All new commercial construction in California will be zero net energy by 2030;
   - Zero net energy is a general term applied to a building with a net energy consumption of zero over a typical year. To cope with fluctuations in demand, zero energy buildings are typically envisioned as connected to the grid, exporting electricity to the grid when there is a surplus, and drawing electricity when not enough electricity is being produced.

- The amount of energy provided by on-site renewable energy sources is equal to the amount of energy used by the building.
- A ZNE building may also consider embodied energy – the quantity of energy required to manufacture and supply to the point of use, the materials utilized for its building.
- The CPUC has defined “Zero Net Energy” at the level of a single “project” seeking development entitlements and building code permits …
What is net 0?

- How are different sources valued?
- What is on-site?
- What is renewable?
- What if building site doesn't have access to renewable energy?
Different Metrics for ZNE

- Energy Trade-offs
  - Site Energy
  - Source Energy
  - Societal Cost
    - AKA TDV (time dependent valuation) Energy
  - Grid neutral
  - Carbon neutral

- Choices on what to include
  - Electricity only (see grid neutral)
  - All sources of energy
  - Building code regulated uses
    - With or without plug loads, process uses
  - Embedded energy in water, building materials
  - Transportation energy
Site Energy (Customer Energy)

- **Pros**
  - Unequivocal definition
  - Most stringent definition
  - 100% renewable vision
    - *Future with 100% RPS*
  - CPUC goals are in terms of site energy

- **Cons**
  - In US different units for gas and electricity
  - Harder/more costly to achieve goal (60% increase in renewable system size & cost)
  - Treats Electricity, Propane and Natural Gas as having same value
  - Ignores concept of thermodynamic quality
  - Ignores societal energy consumption
    - *Encourage electric heating*
    - *At odds with current policy*
  - Doesn’t address peak demand
Source Energy (Primary Energy)

- **Pros**
  - Consistency with other ZNE definitions
  - Used by others (US, EU)
  - Values Electricity and Fuels based on primary energy
  - Recognizes thermodynamic quality
  - Encourages fuel use for heating applications
  - Easier to achieve goal
  - Relatively easy to calculate once source multipliers are known

- **Cons**
  - Source energy multipliers not consistent (varies by country, or utility)
  - Source energy multiplier can be complex to calculate or over simplified
  - Treats Propane and Natural Gas the same
  - Doesn’t address peak demand
Societal Cost (TDV Energy)

Pros
- Benefits of source energy plus…
- Compatible with Title 24 performance trade-off calcs
- Compatible with Whole House Home Energy Rating (HERS)
- Accounts for value of:
  - Different energy sources
  - Variable heat rate
  - Peak demand
  - Carbon
- Relatively easy to add other policy decisions
  - Value of water
  - Transportation
- CPUC program evaluation based on similar metric (TDV minus retail rate adder)

Cons
- TDV Not easy to market
  - Societal Cost easier to explain
- Complex to calculate
  - Hourly basis
  - “Under the hood” of software
  - Complex billing analysis
- Not purely energy
  - Demand is equipment capacity cost
Components of TDV

- Emissions
- Retail Adjustment
- T&D
- Capacity
- Ancillary Services
- Losses
- Energy

Close approximation of consumer cost with approximately 10% added for emissions
Grid neutral (electricity only)

- **Pros**
  - Avoids site/source issue
  - Reflects previous CPUC policy
    - *Net metering did not give credit for being a net generator*
  - Try to zero out electricity bill
  - Easier to achieve

- **Cons**
  - Doesn’t match zero energy concept
    - *Fuels not included*
  - No incentive to decrease heating loads
  - Inappropriate fuel switching
  - Doesn’t address peak demand
Carbon neutral

- Pros
  - Reflects AB 32 goals
  - Addresses all fuels
  - Popular concept

- Cons
  - Doesn’t reflect other goals
  - Doesn’t reflect full costs or impacts of many low carbon technologies
  - Peak demand not addressed
T-24 regulated loads only

- Pros
  - Easier to calculate
    - Don’t have to estimate what appliances installed and their usage
  - Enforceable though building code
  - Sets bar lower
    - Easier to achieve

- Cons
  - Doesn’t reflect basic idea of ZNE
  - Wouldn’t cover over half of electric loads
  - Fall short of meeting all building loads
  - All loads are estimated from model of behavior and quality of installation
  - Would distort policy
    - i.e. not important to capture appliance efficiency
Importance of 2020 achievable goal

- If bar set too high goal becomes "aspirational" (i.e. non-goal)
- EU - "near net zero" by 2020
- Repercussions of leaving out transportation, embedded energy etc.
- Impact of non-T-24-regulated loads high (basis of assumed unregulated loads)
- Rationale for leaving plug loads in the model (i.e. matches common sense understanding of ZNE)
- See following slides on impact of different definitions.
Residential Electricity and Natural Gas Consumption Impacted by Title 24 (Weighted Consumption from 2009 RASS*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electricity End-uses</th>
<th>Natural Gas End-uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>End-Use</strong></td>
<td><strong>kWh/yr</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not covered by T-24</td>
<td>3,612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dryer</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clothes Washer</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dish Washer</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Refrigerator</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Refrigerator</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezer</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range/Oven</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television</td>
<td>645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Office Equipment</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Computer</td>
<td>602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Pump</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T-24 + Preempted</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,106</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv. Space Heating</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aux Space Heating</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Air Conditioning</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room Air Conditioning</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Heating</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T-24</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,927</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnace Fan</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attic Fan</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporative Cooling</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Water Heating</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool Pump</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spa</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Lighting</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spa Electric Heat</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>1,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,645</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Over half of home electricity consumption not covered by T-24

Not Covered by T-24
Plug loads are installed after building inspection and are not covered by T-24

T-24 + Preempted
T-24 is preempted from requiring higher equipment efficiency but T-24 can impact the loads on equipment (envelope eff, controls etc.)

Covered by T-24
 Loads and equipment efficiency can be regulated by T-24

* Residential Appliance Saturation Survey
RASS 2009 New Single Family (built after 2001) assuming all T-24 loads (including preempted) reduced 15% per cycle over 4 cycles

Electricity Consumption

Natural Gas Consumption

15% reduction after 4 cycles = $0.85^4 = 0.52$
### Amount of PV required for different scenarios (high PV cost)

Investment in PV more than twice as high if efficiency limited to 15% reductions in T-24 covered energy per cycle than 2/3's total reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current new buildings</th>
<th>PV kWh</th>
<th>PV kW</th>
<th>PV Cost $/house</th>
<th>First Cost $ Million/yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site energy ZNE</td>
<td>17,501</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>$81,669</td>
<td>$8,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source energy ZNE</td>
<td>10,264</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>$47,896</td>
<td>$4,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Elec) Grid neutral</td>
<td>6,645</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>$31,010</td>
<td>$3,101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>48% reduction T-24 (4 cycles 15% reduction)</th>
<th>PV kWh</th>
<th>PV kW</th>
<th>PV Cost $/house</th>
<th>First Cost $ Million/yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site energy ZNE</td>
<td>11,581</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>$54,047</td>
<td>$5,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source energy ZNE</td>
<td>7,324</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>$34,179</td>
<td>$3,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Elec) Grid neutral</td>
<td>5,195</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$24,245</td>
<td>$2,425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>66% reduction all end-uses</th>
<th>PV kWh</th>
<th>PV kW</th>
<th>PV Cost $/house</th>
<th>First Cost $ Million/yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site energy ZNE</td>
<td>5,834</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>$27,223</td>
<td>$2,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source energy ZNE</td>
<td>3,421</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>$15,965</td>
<td>$1,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Elec) Grid neutral</td>
<td>2,215</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$10,337</td>
<td>$1,034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assumes: 1,500 kWh/yr per nominal kW, $7/Watt installed cost (modest cost reduction), 100,000 homes built per year

Amount of PV required for different scenarios (low PV cost)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current new buildings</th>
<th>PV kWh</th>
<th>PV kW</th>
<th>PV Cost $/house</th>
<th>First Cost $ Million/yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site energy ZNE</td>
<td>17,501</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>$52,502</td>
<td>$5,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source energy ZNE</td>
<td>10,264</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>$30,791</td>
<td>$3,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Elec) Grid neutral</td>
<td>6,645</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>$19,935</td>
<td>$1,994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Investment in PV more than twice as high if efficiency limited to 15% reductions in T-24 covered energy per cycle than 2/3’s total reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>48% reduction T-24 (4 cycles 15% reduction)</th>
<th>PV kWh</th>
<th>PV kW</th>
<th>PV Cost $/house</th>
<th>First Cost $ Million/yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site energy ZNE</td>
<td>11,581</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>$34,744</td>
<td>$3,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source energy ZNE</td>
<td>7,324</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>$21,972</td>
<td>$2,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Elec) Grid neutral</td>
<td>5,195</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$15,586</td>
<td>$1,559</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>66% reduction all end-uses</th>
<th>PV kWh</th>
<th>PV kW</th>
<th>PV Cost $/house</th>
<th>First Cost $ Million/yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site energy ZNE</td>
<td>5,834</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>$17,501</td>
<td>$1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source energy ZNE</td>
<td>3,421</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>$10,264</td>
<td>$1,026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Elec) Grid neutral</td>
<td>2,215</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$6,645</td>
<td>$665</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assumes: 1,500 kWh/yr per nominal kW, $4.50/Watt installed cost (developed market for PV), 100,000 homes built per year

$4.50/Watt installed in 2009 in Germany (more developed market) http://eetd.lbl.gov/ea/emp/reports/lbnl-4121e.pdf
What is on-site renewable energy?

- On-site – first start with CPUC definition:
  - “…single “project” seeking development entitlements and building code permits …”
  - Final implementation avenue (2020 and 2030 goals) though building codes.
  - Allows onsite renewables on carports, common areas, club houses, ground mounted on same development site etc.

- On-site renewable energy (only electricity exported)
  - Photovoltaic
  - Small hydro
  - Solar thermal electricity
  - Wind generated electricity
  - Does not include: biomass, landfill gas, fuel cells,
    - no imports of fuel to serve device and no on-site emissions
What if I have no site access to renewable energy?

- Earlier definitions – attempt to broaden definition to account for all cases where ZNE not feasible
  - High rise, shaded site with no wind etc.
- Ultimately all exceptions weakened concept of what ZNE was.
- Rather than redefine ZNE so that it was possible to meet policy goal of all buildings being ZNE, redefine policy goals:
  1. All new residential construction in California will be zero net energy or equivalent to zero net energy by 2020;
  2. All new commercial construction in California will be zero net energy or equivalent to zero net energy by 2030;
Redefining 2020 target in terms of ZNE equivalence

- All new residential construction in California will be zero net energy or equivalent to zero net energy by 2020
- With goal being ZNE equivalence don't have to dilute the common sense (and marketable) meaning of ZNE
- Addresses all of the applications where ZNE not feasible: obstructions, trees, high rise buildings
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Strategic Next Steps
Title 24 Path to Net Zero - Residential

Key:
- T24 Part 6 – Energy Efficiency Standards
- T24 Part 11 – Green Building Standards
- CA Long-Term Strategic Plan Goals
- ☀ On-site renewables in base case

- 2010 CA Green Building Standards in Effect
- 2010 CALGreen 18 month Supplement
- 2013 CALGreen Adopted
- 2013 CALGreen In Effect
- 2013 T-24 Pt 6 Std Adopted
- 2013 T-24 Pt 6 Std in Effect
- 2016 Title 24 Pt 6 Standards Adopted
- 2016 Title 24 Pt 6 Standards in Effect
- 2016 CALGreen Adopted
- 2016 CALGreen In Effect
- 2019 Title 24 Pt 6 Standards Adopted
- 2019 Title 24 Pt 6 Standards in Effect
- All Res New Construction Starts are Zero Net Energy
Residential Building Standards

- Zero Net Energy is organizing principle
  - Basis of CPUC policy – EE Strategic Plan
  - Basis of CEC Policy – IEPR
  - Reduce energy consumption though efficiency first
    - Implement all efficiency that is cheaper than future cost of PV
  - Serve remaining load with on-site renewables
    - Remove barriers to PV (cost is 35% cheaper in Germany)

- Goals by Code Cycle
  - “All new residential construction in California will be zero net energy by 2020” CPUC Energy Efficiency Strategic Plan.
    - 2019: Title 24 requires renewables and ZNE in all new homes
    - 2016: prepare market by including renewable requirement in ACM.
    - 2013: opportunity to place solar thermal and PV into ACM
Residential Reach Codes

- Local ordinances (reach codes) prepare the market in advance of statewide standards.
- CALGreen voluntary tiers intended for local government adoption
  - *Tier 1 is one code cycle in advance of Title 24, Part 6*
    - 2016 CALGreen Tier 1 should be ZNE
  - *Tier 2 is two cycles in advance of Title 24, Part 6*
    - 2013 CALGreen Tier 2 should be ZNE

- Diversity of approaches depending on local market requirements and conditions
  - *Opportunity to learn from leading cities which approach works well for different market sectors and geographic regions*
Title 24 Path to Net Zero – Nonresidential Building Standards

Key:
- T24 Part 6 – Energy Efficiency Standards
- T24 Part 11 – Green Building Standards
- CA Long-Term Strategic Plan Goals
- On-site renewables in base case
What things need to happen this code cycle?

- Start allowing PV trade-offs (max kBtu cap, window area trade-off etc)
- Join other states (WA, OR) that are using dual path in lieu of preemption waiver
- Reach Codes (CALGreen) get market ready for future:
  - Residential Tier 2 is ZNE,
  - Residential Tier 1 best estimate of 2016 Title 24
Which tools must calculate ZNE?

- What trade-offs are allowed with on-site renewables?
- Performance software
- HERS II rating
- Billing analysis (interval meters)
Nonresidential Building Standards

- Policy - All new nonresidential buildings will be ZNE by 2030
- Some buildings easier to hit ZNE target
  - Low rise – more roof area per sf of conditioned floor area
  - Low energy intensity (i.e. warehouses, schools)
- Possibly stage timing of ZNE requirements by building type
  - First demonstrate with gov’t buildings and motivated owners
  - Low intensity buildings earlier than high intensity
- ZNE residential will help prepare market
  - Appliance standards more important than res (plug loads, lighting)
- Scope of nonresidential codes will increase
  - More process and plug loads
  - More building categories with energy standards (hospital, institutional)
- Requires improved modeling tools for advanced technologies
  - Radiant and evaporative cooling, displacement and natural ventilation
Aggressive appliance standards required to hit residential ZNE goals
- Over half of home electricity consumption is for appliances not covered by Title 24 (white goods + consumer electronics)

Appliance standards impact existing building energy consumption
- Approximately 2% of new construction per year.
  - 80% of buildings in 2020 are standing now
  - Buildings last 50+ years
  - Appliances turn over much more quickly

Huge potential energy savings opportunity
Potential Savings from Future Title 20 Topics

Potential Savings for Future Title 20 Topics

- Battery Chargers - consumer: 2,300 GWh/yr
- Computers and Servers: 1,100 GWh/yr
- Linear fluorescent fixtures: 1,070 GWh/yr
- Outdoor lighting: 750 GWh/yr
- MR16s: 740 GWh/yr
- Lighting accessories: 580 GWh/yr
- Plug-in luminous signs: 520 GWh/yr
- Battery Chargers - non-consumer: 300 GWh/yr
- Computers monitors and digital signage: 200 GWh/yr

Note: All savings are current estimates and subject to change upon further standard development research. Source: Energy Solutions
Federal Appliance Standards

- Large fraction of energy consumption in federally regulated appliances
  - 80% of res gas consumption in fed preempted DHW and furnaces.
    - Elevates importance of preemption & participation in fed standards
- Substantial peak impacts associated with fed covered equipment
  - Air conditioners
- Preemption must be addressed long term
  - Federal standards should be floor not ceiling for efficiency
  - Federal regulation more regionally based (climate, energy costs)
  - Blanket waiver or legislation
- Easier to enforce than state appliance standard
  - International commerce renders enforcement more difficult at state level
    - Coordination with international suppliers
## Policy overlaps and divergence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policies</th>
<th>CEC</th>
<th>CPUC</th>
<th>CARB</th>
<th>CALISO</th>
<th>Fed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preemption of State Efficiency Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing stringency and expanding scope of building codes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing stringency and expanding scope of appliance standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion of Renewable Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>