Thursday June 2  
8:15 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.

All in the Family: Family Law, Wealth Management, and Corporate Order
CRN: 7  
Paper Session

Room:  Studio 9  
Chair:  Jill Hasday, University of Minnesota Law School  
Disc:  June Carbone, University of Minnesota

Presentations:

How Family Law Incentivizes Entrepreneurs  
Benjamin Means, University of South Carolina School of Law

Marriage as a Redistributor of Wealth  
Erez Aloni, Whittier law school

The Corporate Family  
Allison Tait, University of Richmond School of Law

The Wrongs (and Rights) of Intimate Association  
Sarah Swan, Columbia University

Primary Keyword: Family and Youth

AMR Salon Session: Amanda Hollis-Brusky - “Ideas With Consequences: The Federalist Society and the Conservative Counterrevolution”
CRN: 21  
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room:  Carondelet - Table 2  
Author:  Amanda Hollis-Brusky, Pomona College  
Chair:  Joshua Wilson, University of Denver

Readers:

Charles Epp, University of Kansas  
Laura Nielsen, American Bar Foundation/ Northwestern U  
Gerald Rosenberg, University of Chicago  
Christopher Schmidt, Chicago-Kent College of Law  
Emily Zackin, Johns Hopkins University

There are few intellectual movements in modern America in political history more successful than the Federalist Society. Created in 1982 to counterbalance what its founders considered a liberal legal establishment, the organization gradually evolved into the conservative legal establishment, and membership is all but required for any conservative lawyer who hopes to enter politics or the judiciary. It claims 40,000 members, including four Supreme Court Justices, dozens of federal judges, and every Republican attorney general since its inception. But its power goes even deeper.

In Ideas with Consequences, Amanda Hollis-Brusky provides the first comprehensive account of how the Federalist Society exerts its influence. Drawing from a huge trove of documents, transcripts, and interviews, she explains how the Federalist Society managed to revolutionize the jurisprudence for a wide variety of important legal issues. Many of these issues-including the extent of federal government power, the scope of the right to bear arms, and the parameters of corporate political speech-had long been considered settled. But the Federalist Society was able to upend the existing conventional wisdom, promoting constitutional theories that had previously been dismissed as ludicrously radical.

As Hollis-Brusky shows, the Federalist Society provided several of the crucial ingredients needed to accomplish this constitutional revolution. It serves as a credentialing institution for conservative lawyers and judges and legitimizes novel interpretations of the constitution that employ a conservative framework. It also provides a judicial audience of like-minded peers, which prevents the well-documented phenomenon of conservative judges turning moderate after years on the bench. As a consequence, it is able to exercise enormous influence on important cases at every level. The book’s contributions to social scientific and Sociolegal thought are far-reaching. On a broad level, the book analyses some of the most controversial political and legal issues of our time. It is also the essential guide to the Federalist Society at a time when the organization’s power has broader implications than ever. More directly related to Sociolegal studies, Ideas with Consequences is a book examining the dynamics of constitutional change and the roles that “support structures” (Epp 1998) play in that process.

In the course of her analysis, Hollis-Brusky creates and deploys a novel and innovative Sociolegal framework for understanding the influence of the Federalist Society and for similar legal interest groups. Drawing on insights from the sociology of knowledge and interpretive social science, she argues that the Federalist Society should be understood as a political epistemic network—a variation on the epistemic community model that is more suited to groups with authoritative knowledge claims that involve law and politics. Ideas with Consequences shows how this novel framework can and ought to be applied to understand the influence of groups like the Federalist Society—past, present, and future. In doing so, it makes valuable contributions to how Sociolegal scholars understand and think about the dynamics and dimensions of influence.

Given the above, the significance of the book for the Sociolegal community, as well as for the general understanding of contemporary law and politics, is clear. Beyond this, the book’s importance is reflected in those who have eagerly agreed to
serve as the readers in the proposed Author Meet’s Reader session.

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**AMR Salon Session: Dimitri Vanoverbeke -- “Juries in the Japanese Legal System: The Continuing Struggle for Citizen Participation and Democracy”**

CRN: 33, 4, 44

Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Carondelet - Table 1

**Author:** Dimitri Vanoverbeke. University of Leuven (KU Leuven)

**Readers:**

Daniel H. Foote, The University of Tokyo  
Hiroshi Fukurai, University of California Santa Cruz  
Sanja Kutnjak Ivkovich, Michigan State University  
Matthew Wilson, University of Akron School of Law

This panel then takes the first monograph in English on Japan’s 2009 “lay judge” or “mixed jury” system (Saiban-in Seido) that places citizen participation at the center of Japanese criminal trials as a stepping stone for discussing the socio-legal implications of Japan’s earlier experiences with the trial by jury, the political process of legal reform and the unexpected consequences of the practice of the trial by jury. One of aims of this book is to investigate the prevailing myth that the trial by jury is unheard of in Japan. Not only did Japan experience twice a trial by jury since it started to transplant judicial institutions in the 19th Century and even once after the Second World War in Okinawa, the current political process and socio-legal function of the Saiban-in citizen participation in the criminal trials shows striking similarities to Japan’s previous experiences with the trial by jury. Vanoverbeke applies socio-legal methodology to historical, primary sources to retrace the institutional path that Japan has embarked upon since it adopted law and judicial institutions from Europe and from the US. While transplanting those institutions, the legislative process and the implementation of the institutions – the trial by jury being a case in point – resulted in functional divergences and unexpected consequences. It is important to debate the genesis, content and evolution of the implementation of the trial by jury at different stages of Japan’s history to understand the legal transformations that the Japanese criminal justice system experiences today. Vanoverbeke’s earlier research on mediation of civil disputes, the death penalty and the judicial reform process in Japan of the 1990s among others topics, goes beyond the importance of law in Japan. It also provides a view on how law and judicial reform happens or fails to happen in a political context at a certain time and how this carries on its legacy towards later attempts to reform.

**Primary Keyword:** East Asia

**AMR Salon Session: International Environmental Law and the Global South**

CRN: 23

Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Carondelet - Table 3

**Author:** Sumudu Atapattu, University of Wisconsin Law School  
Carmen Gonzalez, Seattle University School of Law

**Chair:** Sara Seck, Western University

**Readers:**  
Rebecca Bratspies, CUNY School of Law  
Sheila Foster, Fordham Law School  
Erika George, University of Utah School of Law  
Usha Natarajan, The American University in Cairo

A decade after Hurricane Katrina devastated New Orleans, conflicts between rich and poor nations (the North-South divide) continue to compromise efforts to protect the global environment, leading to deadlocks in environmental treaty negotiations and non-compliance with existing agreements. International Environmental Law and the Global South examines both the historical origins of the North-South divide in European colonialism as well as its contemporary manifestations in a range of issues, including food justice, energy justice, indigenous rights, trade, investment, extractive industries, human rights, land grabs, natural disasters, hazardous waste, and climate change. Born out of the recognition that global inequality and profligate consumerism present threats to a sustainable planet, this book makes a unique contribution to international environmental law by emphasizing the priorities and concerns of the states and peoples of the global South. The readers for this book will address its contributions to several distinct areas of law, including environmental law, human rights law, environmental justice, international economic law, and Third World and post-colonial approaches to international law.

**Primary Keyword:** International Law and Politics

**AMR Salon Session: Paul Nolette - “Federalism on Trial”**

Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Carondelet - Table 4

**Author:** Paul Nolette, Marquette University

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Chair:** Thomas Burke, Wellesley College

**Readers:**  
Catherine Albiston, University of California, Berkeley  
Jeb Barnes, USC  
Thomas Burke, Wellesley College  
Gordon Silverstein, Yale
“It is one of the happy incidents of the federal system,” Justice Louis Brandeis wrote in 1932, “that a single courageous state may, if its citizens choose, serve as a laboratory, and try novel social and economic experiments without risk to the rest of the country.” It is one of the features of federalism in our day, Paul Nolette counters, that these “laboratories of democracy,” under the guidance of state attorneys general, are more apt to be dictating national policy than conducting contained experiments. In Federalism on Trial (University of Kansas Press, 2015), Nolette presents the first broad examination of the increasingly nationalized political activism of state attorneys general. Focusing on coordinated state litigation as a form of national policymaking, his book challenges common assumptions about the contemporary nature of American federalism.

Federalism on Trial shows how new social policy regimes of the 1960s and 70s—adopting national objectives such as cleaner air, wider access to health care, and greater consumer protections—promoted both “adversarial legalism” and new forms of “cooperative federalism” that enhanced the powers of state attorneys general. Nolette traces case studies involving state attorney general lawsuits on tobacco injuries, drug pricing, environmental policy, and health care reform. The form of federalism exercised by state attorneys general, Nolette argues, frequently complicates national regulatory regimes and creates both greater policy centralization and a more extensive reach of the American regulatory state.


CRN: 22, 15
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Carondelet - Table 5

Author: Pooja Parmar, University of Victoria

Chair: Balakrishnan Rajagopal, MIT

Readers:
Eve Darian-Smith, UC Santa Barbara
Jonathan Goldberg-Hiller, University of Hawai‘i
Jayanth Krishnan, Indiana University, Bloomington
Sanghamitra Padhy, Ramapo College
Justin Richland, University of Chicago

This panel explores the diverse and nuanced ways in which Indigeneity and Legal Pluralism in India: Claims, Histories, Meanings (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2015) engages with concerns taken up in multiple fields of socio-legal study. The book focuses on a dispute that began with protests over excessive extraction of groundwater by a Coca-Cola bottling facility in India, and highlights critical issues of access to justice and Indigeneity in places with complex, contested and layered histories of dispossession and exclusion. Based on interviews with Adivasis, lawyers, activists, judges, bureaucrats and politicians, as well as original legal and archival research, this book juxtaposes the various accounts of the dispute in order to trace the gains and loss in meaning as Adivasi claims are translated into the languages of social movements, media and the formal legal system. This panel brings together scholars working on a number of issues this book engages with, including legal pluralism, indigeneity, social movements, water rights, the legal profession and formal legal system in India, ethnography, legal discourse and epistemology. The purpose of this panel is to reflect on the ways in which the book draws upon and contributes to law and society scholarship generally, as well explore possibilities for critical interdisciplinary conversations between the more specific fields of socio-legal scholarship.

Primary Keyword: Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

AMR Salon Session: Wadie Said - “Crimes of Terror: The Legal and Political Implications of Federal Terrorism Prosecutions”

Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Carondelet - Table 6

Author: Wadie Said, University of South Carolina School of Law

Chair: Ramzi Kassem, CUNY School of Law

Readers:
Asli Bali, UCLA School of Law
Ramzi Kassem, CUNY School of Law
Aziz Rana, Cornell Law School

The U.S. government’s power to categorize individuals as terrorist suspects and therefore ineligible for certain long-standing constitutional protections has expanded exponentially since 9/11, all the while remaining resistant to oversight. Professor Wadie Said’s Crimes of Terror: The Legal and Political Implications of Federal Terrorism Prosecutions provides a comprehensive and uniquely up-to-date dissection of the government’s advantages over suspects in criminal prosecutions of terrorism, which are driven by a preventive mindset that purports to stop plots before they can come to fruition. It establishes the background for these controversial policies and practices and then demonstrates how they have impeded the normal goals of criminal prosecution, even in light of a competing military tribunal model. Proceeding in a linear manner from the investigatory stage of a prosecution on through to sentencing, the book documents the emergence of a “terrorist exceptionalism” to normal rules of criminal law and procedure and questions whether the government has overstated the threat posed by the individuals it charges with these crimes. Included is a discussion of the large-scale spying and use of informants rooted in the questionable “radicalization” theory; the material support statute—the government’s chief legal tool in bringing criminal prosecutions; the new rules regarding generation of evidence and the broad
construction of that evidence as relevant at trial; and a look at the special sentencing and confinement regimes for those convicted of terrorist crimes. In this critical examination of terrorism prosecutions in federal court, Professor Said reveals a phenomenon at odds with basic constitutional protections for criminal defendants. This group of readers will bring their various areas of expertise on matters of national security, constitutional law, criminal law, rule of law, and critical race theory, to discuss Professor Said’s engaging and ground-breaking work and consider implications for the future of terrorism and criminal prosecutions alike.

**Primary Keyword:** War and Law including Security and Terrorism


CRN: 7, 22, 3

Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Salon E

**Author:** Srimati Basu, University of Kentucky

**Chair:** Srimati Basu, University of Kentucky

**Readers:**

Amy Cohen, Ohio State University  
Clare Huntington, Fordham Law School  
Saptarshi Mandal, Jindal Global Law School  
Sally Engle Merry, New York University  
Mitra Sharafi, University of Wisconsin-Madison

As an ethnographic portrait of alternative dispute resolution, an evaluation of feminist legal reform projects on marriage and gendered violence, and an account of negotiations of kinship with law in the postcolonial Indian state, The Trouble with Marriage addresses several critical fields of sociolegal studies, and is thus jointly proposed as a session for the CRNs Ethnography, Law and Society, Feminist Legal Theory and South Asia. The book poses fundamental concerns about feminist legal reform and the difficult processes involved in seeking accountability for gender-based violence: how do feminist-inspired laws work after they are institutionalized? Do they subvert gender justice in the ways they begin to be used?

The Trouble with Marriage considers the legacies of legal reforms around marriage and gendered violence in India in the 1980’s which were strongly influenced by demands of the women’s movement, tracing what happens to demands for legal change as they pass through legislatures, become institutions and are folded into everyday life. The primary focus is on legal sites of marital trouble, based on ethnographic observation of Family Courts, police cells for women, and mediation organizations. Based on fieldwork in Kolkata (India), it provides a portrait of marriage and domestic violence as observed through new feminist interventions: lawyer-free Family Courts, the criminal prosecution of domestic violence, rape law reform, and the promotion of alternate dispute resolution as a mode of better gendered access. It follows the ways in which people bargain in the shadow of these laws, creating new subjectivities and strategies, while dwelling on the methodological difficulties of determining culture through law.

Through life stories of litigants and mediators, media representations of marriage and violence, legislative histories and puzzles of case law, the book leads readers to consider theoretical questions related to the nature of marriage, the efficacy of law, and the limits of feminist jurisprudence. It demonstrates that some laws become popular in ways not imagined as part of their feminist scope: Family Courts proffer reconciliation, rape law secures marriage; domestic violence claims help with economic settlements. That is, such laws begin to be used in ways that subvert the feminist goals of violence prevention, sexual agency and economic well-being. ADR, imagined as informal justice which would ensure easier access to legal process, is often unable to work outside the forms of power inculcated within law, and may minimize violence against women in concentrating on financial agreements.

Reviewers have praised the book for its readability, rich ethnographic material, and analyses of feminist theoretical questions related to marriage, law, and the limits of feminist jurisprudence, Historian Mrinalini Sinha describes it as an “empirically rich, theoretically sophisticated, and beautifully written book [which] deserves to be read very widely”; legal scholar Prabha Kotiswaran as “a riveting feminist ethnography of the new legal frontiers of marriage in India.”

**Primary Keyword:** South Asia

**Bridging the Gap Between Individual and Global: Legal Mobilization in Communities and Organizations**

CRN: 21

Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 6

**Chair:** Janice Gallagher, Watson Institute, Brown University

**Disc:** Anna-Maria Marshall, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**

Collective Litigation and the Constitutional Challenges to Decriminalize Homosexuality in Singapore.  
Lynette Chua, National University of Singapore

From Individual Resistance to Collective Mobilization around Rights: Filipino Migrant Workers in the Colonial Era
Michael McCann, University of Washington
George Lovell, University of Washington

Legal Framing as a Mechanism for Producing Collective Action: Evidence from Community Opposition to Sexually Violent Predators
Monica Williams, Weber State University

Mapping Legal Mobilization from the Grassroots to the International Level: Trade Unions at the European Court of Human Rights
Filiz Kahraman, University of Washington

Queering Rights: How Rights Episodes Impact Intersectional Coalition-Building in LGBTQ, Latin@, and Immigrant Communities
Erin Adam, University of Washington, Political Science

Citizenship and Immigration in Canada
CRN: 2
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 1

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

Presentations:
Revocation of Citizenship as a weapon in the War Against Terror: Examining Canada’s immigration reform with Bill C-24, Strengthening Canadian Citizenship Act
Tanja Juric, York University

Stateless Nationals, Obscure Violence: The Question of Canadianness During the Second World War
Darren Tanaka, University of British Columbia

The Extraordinary Statelessness of Deepan Budlakoti: The Erosion of Canadian Citizenship through Citizenship Deprivation
Daiva Stasiulis, Carleton University, Department of Sociology and Anthropology

The Invisible Women: Migrant Sex Workers in the Shadows of Bedford, Bill C-36 and Canadian Law
Jamie Liew, University of Ottawa

Disc: Manoj Mate, Whittier Law School

Primary Keyword: South Asia

Presentations:
Islamic Judicial Review: Law, Politics and Finance in Pakistan between Pragmatism and Idealism
Shoaib Ghas, University of California, Berkeley

Legal Actors as Archivists of Memory: Militarization, Mobilization, and Memorialization in Kashmir
Haley Duschinski, Ohio University

Pardoning the Executioner: Litigating Extrajudicial Killing in India
Surabhi Chopra, Chinese University of Hong Kong

Return of the “Moral Law”
Ahmed Ibrahim, Graduate Center, City University of New York

The Jurisprudence of “Judicial Independence” in Pakistan
Anil Kalhan, Drexel University

‘Rule of Law’ for All: Legal and Political Mobilization in the Lawyers’ Movement for Restoration of Judiciary and Democracy (2007-09) in Pakistan
Salman Hussain, The Graduate Center, CUNY

Constitutional Law and Legal Culture in Comparative Perspectives: Asia and the Americas
CRN: 1
Paper Session

Room: Studio 3

Chair: Edna Raquel Hogemann, UNESA

Disc: Denis De Castro Halis, Faculty of Law / University of Macau

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Presentations:
The meanings of the Due Process of Law Clause in Brazil and in the United States of America.
Rafael Mario Iorio Filho, Universidade Estácio de Sá e INCT-InEAC

Fernanda Duarte, UNESA e INCT/InEAC/PROPPI/UFF

Efficacy and Global Constitutionalism: the case of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights
Carina Calábria, Manchester University

Rhetoric of Global Constitutional Law
Octaviano Arruda, Hamburg Universität
The Comparative Study of Constitutional Interpretation Theory between U.S.A. Supreme Court and East Asian Constitutional Court (Korea & Japan) : Changing a Constitutional Culture for 19-21C
Younghoa Jung, School of Law, Chonbuk National University

Disaster and Law in the Pacific Rim Region
CRN:33 and IRC: 15
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 5
Chair: Takayuki Ii, Senshu University
Disc: Eric Feldman, University of Pennsylvania Law School
Primary Keyword: Disasters

Presentations:
- Litigation for Disaster Justice: Post-disaster Recovery of 3/11 victims
  Eri Osaka, Toyo University
- National Mechanism for Loss and Damage (L&D) from Climate Change: Rapid Assessment of the Legal and Institutional Frameworks for Determining L&D in the Philippines
  Ebinezer Florano, University of the Philippines
- Norm Conflicts in Disaster Recovery: Case Studies from Asia Including East Japan
  Yuka Kaneko, Kobe University
- Post Disaster Situation in Nepal ~Necessary Legal Systems to Tackle Their Disaster Related Issues~
  Satoko Tomita, Japan International Cooperation Agency
  Rabin Subedi, JICA Legal Support Adviser ’s Office
- The Legal Impact of the Great East Japan Earthquake and Tsunami
  Takayuki Ii, Senshu University

Economy, Business and Law in East Asia
CRN: 33
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 6
Primary Keyword: Economy, Business and Society

Presentations:
- Auditor’s Litigation Risk, Organizational Form and Liability Regimes: An Empirical Legal Study of China
  Chun-Yaun Chen, National Chiao Tung University
- Bank Fraud Crimes under China’s Criminal Law – Lessons to Learn from the United States
  Yuan Qiao, Shenzhen University Law School
  Yueyi Chen, Shenzhen University
- Tales From Another Delta: The Case of Individual Choice in Insolvency Law in 18th Century Guangzhou
  Neil Andrews, College of Law and Justice, Victoria University

Fairness of Trials
Paper Session

Room: Balcony J
Chair/Disc: Hadar Aviram, UC Hastings College of the Law
Primary Keyword: Courts And Trials

Presentations:
- Diversiory Pathways for Indigenous Young People with Foetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders in Western Australia: Mentally Impaired Accused Legislation and the Need for ‘Decolonising’ Alternatives
  Tamara Tulich, Law School, University of Western Australia
  Harry Blagg, Law School, University of Western Australia
  Zoe Bush, Univeristy of Western Australia Law School
- Serving Justice: Exploring the Challenges facing US Courts and the Potential of Socio-Legal Research to Help Address Them
  Keith Guzik, University of Colorado Denver
- The Effect of Open File Criminal Discovery
  Ben Grunwald, University of Chicago
- The Right to a Fair Trial- Court Interpreters and Their Possibilities of Competent Interpretations: A Socio-legal Approach to Interpreted Criminal Court Cases in Sweden.
  Annika Staaf, Dep of Criminology
- “If You Don’t Put in Your Buck Oh-Five, Who Will?”: Legal Standards, Litigant Choice, and Judicial Characteristics
  Matthew Krell, University of Alabama

Family Law and Social Change in Latin America: Where do Feminists Belong?
Paper Session

Room: Balcony K
Chair: Isabel C Jaramillo Sierra, Universidad de los Andes
Thursday  8:15 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.

**Disc:**  Francisca Pou Gimenez, ITAM

**Primary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence

**Presentations:**
- Alimony Obligations Between Spouses After Divorce: Towards Recognition of Care and Domestic Labors in Colombia  
  *Mariana Garcia-Jimeno, Universidad de los Andes*
- Feminism and the Family: The Case of Latin America  
  *Isabel C Jaramillo Sierra, Universidad de los Andes*
- Matrimonial Property System and Feminist Movements: Historical Social, Political and Legal Context Surrounding the Enactment of the Law 328 of 1925 in Chile  
  *Marcela Gomez, University of Los Andes*
- The De Facto Marital Union or ‘Cohabitating Union’ in Colombia: A Historical Analysis of the Legal Regulation to Protect Cohabitating Women and the Role of Women’s Movements  
  *Mariana Castrellon, Stanford University*
- Where are the Feminists? Reconnecting Family Law and Social Change in Latin America  
  *Alma Beltran y Puga, University of Los Andes*

**Ferguson’s Fault Lines Transcend Ferguson**  
Roundtable Session

**Room:**  Balcony L

**Chair:**  Kimberly Norwood, Washington University School of Law

**Participants:**  
- Kimberly Norwood, Washington University School of Law
- L. Song Richardson, University of California, Irvine School of Law
- Brendan Roediger, Saint Louis University School of Law
- Vetta Thompson, Washington University School of Social Work
- Howard Wasserman, FIU College of Law

This Roundtable will explore the legal, historical and social ills that gave rise to the eruption in Ferguson, Missouri after the shooting death of Michael Brown on August 9, 2014 by police officer Darren Wilson. The session will discuss the history of police violence against black bodies, the history of racial segregation based on housing and education, and it will explore the ways in which various courts and police in the region worked in tandem to systemically violate the constitutional rights of poor and Black people while generating revenue (and profits) for municipalities. We will also explore the psychological effects of continued and systemic stresses on communities, explore how systematic and predictable decision-making errors produce racial disparities in police use of force cases and will look at the efficacy of body cameras. Because these problems transcend Ferguson—existing nationwide—solutions that look more broadly than Ferguson will be discussed.

**Primary Keyword:** Class and Inequality

**From Questions of Belonging to Questions of Excess: Unveiling the Place of Law in Spaces of Social Change**  
CRN: 3  
Paper Session

**Room:**  Balcony M

**Chair/Disc:**  Kathryn Henne, Australian National University

**Primary Keyword:** Geographies of Law

**Presentations:**
- American Think Tanks, Foreign Policy Elites, and Spaces of Exception  
  *Negar Razavi, University of Pennsylvania*
- Prison Healthcare and the Legal Economy of Suffering  
  *Nicholas Iacobelli, University of Pennsylvania*
- The Dyer Anti-Lynching Bill: Considering Race and Collective Meaning in Legal Consciousness  
  *Jamila Loud, University of Maryland College Park*

**Human Rights: Discourses, Policies, Politics**  
Paper Session

**Room:**  Balcony N

**Chair/Disc:**  Ben Golder, UNSW

**Primary Keyword:** Human Rights

**Presentations:**
- A treaty on Business & Human Rights: do the processes towards the UN Framework and UN Guiding Principles hold any lessons?  
  *Karin Buhmann, Copenhagen Business School*
- Human Rights, Corruption Talk, and the Imagined State in Ghana  
  *Catherine Buerger, University of Connecticut*
- Human Rights: From Legal Transplants to Fair Translation  
  *James Donovan, University of Kentucky*
- The Social Responsibility of Home States to Protect from Extraterritorial Human Rights Violations by Companies: Exploring the Emergence of a New
Institutional Experimentation and Legal and Constitutional Decision-Making
Paper Session

Room: Bonaparte

Chair/Disc: Jedidiah Kroncke, FGV Sao Paulo

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Presentations:
Glass Walls between the Society and the Public Administration? From Administrative Transparency towards Administrative Democracy
Tiago Fidalgo de Freitas, European University Institute & University of Lisbon

Information and Communication Technologies for Improving the Legislative Process: Towards an Interactive Legislative Process
Joao Longhi, Federal University of Uberlandia
Rubens Becak, University of Sao Paulo

Polycentric Disputes in Public Law litigation; Third-party Standing and Third-party Intervention in Judicial Review of Administrative Action
Francisco Paes Marques, University of Lisbon

Unconstitutional Actions in the Mexican Democracy: A Way to Defend Political Minorities
María Amparo Hernández Chong Cuy, Federal Electoral Tribunal

Legacies of An Other: The “Development” of the Modern International System
Paper Session

Room: Galvez

Chair/Disc: Jhuma Sen, O. P. Jindal Global University

Primary Keyword: International Law and Politics

Presentations:
Sarah Shirazyan, Stanford Law School

Comparative Animal Law and Human Development
Steven Tauber, University of South Florida

Legislating the Zone of Non-Being: Race and the Theodicy of Modernity through the United Nations Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961
Kojo Koram, Birkbeck College, University of London

Travancore’s Challenge to British Extraterritoriality: Sovereignty and International Law in Nineteenth-Century South Asia
Priyasha Saksena, Harvard Law School

Lives After Prison I: The Challenges of Reentry
CRN: 27
Paper Session

Room: Studio 7

Chair/Disc: Paula Maurutto, University of Toronto

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
Criminal Justice and the Community: the Micro Politics of Problem Solving around Risk
Marianne Quirouette, University of Toronto

Relying on Other Ex-Offenders during the Transition from Prison to Community: Implications for Association Provisions in New York State Parole Policy
Robert Riggs, New York University

The Vulnerability of Formerly Incarcerated Populations: The Perpetuation of a “Rabble” Class
Andrea Leverentz, University of Massachusetts Boston

Women’s Reintegration Employment: Perspectives from Community Organizations and Frontline Workers
Anita Grace, Carleton University

Negotiating Executive/Judicial Boundaries In (Trans) National Legal Orders
Paper Session

Room: Jackson

Chair/Disc: Basak Cali, Koc University

Primary Keyword: Transnational Legal Orders, International and Regional Institutions

Presentations:
Consolidating Regulatory Authority through Cooperation – A Case Study of the ISO 26000 Standard Setting Process
Rebecca Schmidt, SUNY Buffalo; York University (Canada)
Democratic legitimacy of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights as ground of its competence to control the national legislation of Latin-American States

Natalia Torres Zuniga, University of Oslo

Narrating The Nature of International Commercial Arbitration: The Primacy of State Courts as ‘Storyteller’

Cornelis Baaij, Yale Law School

The (D)Evolving Meanings of ‘Judicial Activism’: A Comparative Analysis of the Terminology in Several Common Law Jurisdictions

Tanya Josev, University of Melbourne

The Complexity of Unifying Varied Data Protection Policies in the European Union

Susanna Southworth, The University of Utah
John Francis, The University of Utah

Trade Associations as Market Makers and Regulators

Sarah Dadush, Rutgers School of Law, Newark

New Legal Realism 1: Unifying Concept - Science in Court
CRN: 28
Paper Session

Room: Salon H-G

Primary Keyword: New Legal Realism

Presentations:

Anthropology on Trial: Exploring the Laws of Anthropological Expertise
Olaf Zenker, Freie Universität Berlin

Asylum decision making across the U.S. Courts of Appeals
B. Robert Owens, University of Chicago

Managing Diversity in the Americas: The Use of Cultural Expert Witnesses in Legal Proceedings
Leila Rodriguez, University of Cincinnati

Social Science and the Courts: The Case of Affirmative Action
Karen Miksch, University of Minnesota

Pedagogies of Place and Space: Emotion and Language in the Law School Classroom
Roundtable Session

Room: Iberville

Chair: Sharon Cowan, University of Edinburgh

Participants:
Gavin Anderson, University of Glasgow
Gillian Calder, University of Victoria
Kate Sutherland, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University

Feminist scholars have long challenged the notion that legal reasoning is separable from or oppositional to human emotion (Bandes 2001: Abrahms 2010). This roundtable explores the challenges of provoking and navigating emotions in the law school classroom. Many legal topics prompt emotional responses, both positive and negative, from teachers and students. Informed by the work of Paulo Freire and Augusto Boal, we will discuss how to integrate the use of affectively imbued materials in the classroom, and the kinds of pedagogical methods that can be used to challenge text-based learning (Del Mar and Bankowski 2013), without reproducing gendered, racialized or other hierarchies (Leo Moore 2008). Looking across various subjects in the law school curriculum – for example, criminal law, business associations, medical law and human rights – we show how the use of visual, audio and theatre techniques can highlight the importance and difficulty of ‘managing’ our emotions in teaching and learning law.

Primary Keyword: Teaching Law and Society

Policing in American Cities
Paper Session

Room: Salon B

Chair: Forrest Stuart, University of Chicago

Disc: Issa Kohler-Hausmann, Yale Law School

Primary Keyword: Policing

Presentations:

“Consensual” Police Searches in Los Angeles
David Greenberg, New York University
Lily Khadjavi, Loyola Marymount University

An Audit Model of Policing
Kathie Barnes, University of Arizona

Race and Policing: How to Make Sense of the Numbers (And How Not To)
Sonja Starr, Michigan Law School

The Impact of COMPSTAT on Homicide Rates in New York City: A Synthetic Control Approach
Tomislav Kovandzic, University of Texas at Dallas
Lynne Vieraitis, University of Texas at Dallas

The Racialization of Broken Windows
Jessica Kalbfeld, New York University
**Regulating Biotechnology**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Salon C

**Chair:** Browne Lewis, Cleveland Marshall College of Law

**Disc:** Imogen Goold, University of Oxford

**Primary Keyword:** Bioethics, Biotechnology and the Law

**Presentations:**

- From Millenium to Sustainable Development Goals (MDGs to SDGs): Enforcement and Compliance in the New Strategies of Environmental Regulation
  
  Rafael Diniz Pucci, USP UNIVERSITY OF SÃO PAULO

- Inconsistent Visions of Public Participation in the European Union’s Regulation of Synthetic Biology
  
  Olivia Hamlyn, University College London

- Issues in the Regulation of Genome Editing: Bridging the Gap between Science and Socio-Economic Considerations
  
  Artem Anyshchenko, University of Copenhagen

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, Global Economy and Law

**Presentations:**

- Consumers’ Behaviors and Information Disclosure in Energy Markets
  
  Carlotta GO Frattari, Yale University

- Governance in the International Seabed Area: Oversight for Sustainable Development
  
  Yao Zhou, University of Wisconsin Law School
  
  Pilar Ossorio, University of Wisconsin Law School

- Lawyerin in new developmentism: legal professionals and the construction of the Telecom sector in the emerging Brazil (1980s–2010s)
  
  Fabio de Sa e Silva, Institute of Applied Economic Research (Ipea); Harvard Law School’s Center on the Legal Profession

- Research (Ipea); Harvard Law School’s Center on the Legal Profession
  
  David Trubek, University of Wisconsin, Madison

- The Post-2015 Sustainable Development Agenda and Corporate Social Responsibility: Whither Human Rights?
  
  Megan McCloskey, Lotus Circle

**Religion, Law and Culture**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Regent

**Chair/Disc:** Jason Whitehead, CSU, Long Beach

**Primary Keyword:** Religion and Law

**Presentations:**

- Encountering the Other: The Sharia/Secularism Discourse in Nigeria
  
  Rabiat Akande, Harvard Law School

- Liberalism, Marriage, and the Polygyny Question
  
  Ronald Den Otter, Cal Poly San Luis Obispo

- Transnational impact of Religious law developments
  
  Lisbet Christoffersen, Roskilde University
  
  Bettina Lemann Kristiansen, Århus University

- Women’s Bodies, Women’s Souls: Judaism and Autonomy
  
  Betina Appel Kuzmarov, Carleton University

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation

**Presentations:**

- A Conceptual Framework for Capital Gains
  
  Calvin Johnson, University of Texas

- Corporate Social Responsibility and Taxation: The Next Step of the Evolution
  
  Doron Narotzki, The University of Akron

- The Conceptual Incompatibility of Corporate Tax Avoidance with CSR and Stakeholder Theory
  
  Karie Davis-Nozemack, Georgia Tech

- An empirical analysis of the Allowance for Corporate Equity (ACE) system in Brazil
  
  Melina Rocha Lukic, Fundacao Getulio Vargas - Direito Rio
  
  José Roberto Afonso, Fundacao Getulio Vargas - IBRE

**Sustainable Development and Trade**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Balcony I

**Chair/Disc:** Joseph Conti, University of Wisconsin, Madison

**Primary Keyword:** Sustainable Development and Trade
The Punitive State I: Pre-Trial Detention and Bail
CRN: 27
Paper Session

Room: Studio 2
Chair/Disc: Joshua Kaiser, Northwestern University/American Bar Foundation
Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control
Presentations:
Beyond Retribution: Early Understandings of the Functions of Punishment
Jessica Bregant, University of Chicago
Katherine Kinzler, Cornell University
Alex Shaw, University of Chicago
Danger: Bail Reform and the Challenge of Predictive Restraint
Sandy Mayson, University of Pennsylvania Law School
Restoring Dignity and Reputation
Jamila Jefferson-Jones, University of Missouri Kansas City

The Relationship Between Undergraduate Legal Studies and Law School Education, a Perspective from Undergrad Professors
Professional Development Panel

Room: Salon D
Chair: Renee Cramer, Drake University
Participants:
Daniel Lachance, Emory University
Jinee Lokaneeta, Drew University
Aaron Lorenz, Ramapo College
Jamie Rowen, University of Massachusetts, Amherst

Who Are the Lawyers of the Government Client?
Paper Session

Room: Studio 5
Chair: Elizabeth Chambliss, University of South Carolina School of Law
Disc: Margaret Lemos, Duke Law School
Primary Keyword: Regulation & Governance
Presentations:
Nothing Could Be Finer? The Role of Agency General Counsel in North and South Carolina
Elizabeth Chambliss, University of South Carolina School of Law
Dana Remus, University of North Carolina School of Law

Public-Private Lawyering
Zachary Clopton, Cornell Law School

What Deference Demands: Agencies’ Duty to Interpret When Subject to Deferential Judicial Review
Aaron Saiger, Fordham Law School

Paper Session

Room: Studio 1
Chair: Carole Silver, Northwestern University Law School
Disc: Stephen Daniels, American Bar Foundation
Primary Keyword: Professional Education
Presentations:
“BARBRI on Steroids?” Teaching (International) Lawyers How to Think, Speak, and Act like (US) Lawyers
Mindie Lazarus-Black, Temple University

A Faustian Bargain? The Role of Debt in Law Students’ Career Choices
Anna Raup-Kounovsky, University of California, Irvine
Carroll Seron, University of California, Irvine
Steven Boutcher, University of Massachusetts, Amherst

Building Teacher Awareness of Student Learning Approaches and Issues: Reflections from the Smart Casual Online Initiative
Alex Steel, University of New South Wales
Mark Israel, University of Western Australia
Mary Heath, Flinders Law School
Anne Hewitt, Adelaide Law School
Kate Galloway, Bond University
Natalie Skead, University of Western Australia

Legal Training and the Reshaping of French Law
Liora Israël, Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales, Paris

Outsiders in the U.S. Legal Professoriate
Elizabeth Mertz, University of Wisconsin, Madison

Mind Your Language
Carole Silver, Northwestern University Law School
Swethaa S. Ballakrishnen, NYU Abu Dhabi
Who Made International Justice?
CRN: 36
Paper Session

Room: Studio 10

Chair: Sara Dezalay, Goethe University

Disc: Ron Levi, University of Toronto

Primary Keyword: Transnational Legal Orders, International and Regional Institutions

Presentations:
- Beyond Diffusion of European-Style ICs: the Local Origins of the East African and Central American Courts of Justice
  Salvatore Caserta, iCourts- Center of Excellence for International Courts
  Mihreteab Taye, iCourts, Faculty of Law, University of Copenhagen

- Challenging Impunity or ‘Chasing Africans’? Discourses of Justice in The Trial of Chad’s Hissène Habré in Senegal
  Kerstin Carlson, The American University of Paris

- Competing to define international crimes: Elites of core crimes and corruption in the field of international criminal law
  Mikkel Christensen, iCourts, Faculty of Law, University of Copenhagen

- Transnational Legal Power Networks: Human Rights NGOs in International Criminal Justice
  Kjersti Lohne, University of Oslo

“Law As...”: Law, Method, History.
CRN: 23
Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 2

Chair: Marianne Constable, UC Berkeley
  Sunhuya Pahuja, University of Melbourne

Participants:
- Luis Eslava, Kent Law School
- Genevieve Painter, UC Berkeley
- Rose Parfitt, Melbourne Law School
- Kunal Parker, University of Miami School of Law
- Christopher Tomlins, University of California, Berkeley

“Law As ...” is the umbrella title for a biennial symposium initiated by Professor Christopher Tomlins in 2010. Over the past five years, its participants have worked to assemble original configurations of historical, social scientific, literary, and legal scholarship in the service of conceptual innovation in the analysis of law and the history of law. As its title suggests, this project is of particular interest to socio-legal scholars. “Law As...” positions itself as a challenge to the particular mode of legal interdisciplinarity (‘Law and...Development / Economics / Literature...’ etc.) which seeks to understand more about “law” by juxtaposing it against a context perceived as external, more in touch with “reality”, and therefore potentially enlightening. “Law and Society” is, of course, one of the most important and productive example of this approach.

Primary Keyword: Legal History

Revisiting Law’s Boundaries
Salon Session

Room: Carondelet - Table 1

Chair/Disc: John Acevedo, Barry University Dwayne O. Andreas School of Law

Primary Keyword: Legal History

Presentations:
- A Washington Killing: An Early Case of Justification, Insanity or Jury Nullification?
  Al Lawrence, Empire State College

- Shocks the Conscience: A Legal Test from the Margin
  Carol Pauli, Texas A&M University School of Law

“Law and Disorder in the Postcolony”: Celebrating Ten Years in Print and Practice.
CRN: 23
Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 2

Chair: Eve Darian-Smith, UC Santa Barbara

Participants:
- John Comaroff, Harvard
- Sally Engle Merry, New York University
- Lisa Wedeen, University of Chicago

Published in 2006 by University of Chicago Press, and edited by Jean Comaroff and John Comaroff, Law and Disorder in the Postcolony began with a problem, a presumption and a paradox. Its problem concerned the ubiquitous use of law in the postcolonies of Africa, Asia, Europe, and Latin America all said then to be increasingly “disordered.” Its presumption was that “something [new] may be at issue” in the effervescence of their different deployments of, and challenges to, the law –
something residing at the core of these “hypen-nated” nations that signalled a [new] conjuncture of “violence, sovereignty, il/legality [and] modernity”. That these postcolonies seemed to be making “a fetish of the rule of law, of its languages and its practices, its ways and means” even as they continually mocked, mimicked, suspended, and sequestered the law was the paradox at the core of the book.

Primary Keyword: Ethnicity

(Human) Rights, Justice and Courts in North and South East Asia

CRN: 33

Paper Session

Room: Galerie 6

Chair/Disc: David Engel, University at Buffal, SUNY

Primary Keyword: Courts And Trials

Presentations:

Amos Yee, Free Speech, and Maintaining “Religious Harmony” in Singapore
Yee Suan Poon, National University of Singapore
George Radics, National University of Singapore

How Movement Activists Mobilize the Law: Lessons from Taiwan’s Environmental Litigation
Yu-Hsien Sung, University of South Carolina
Chin-shou Wang, National Chen Kung University

Litigating the Vietnam War: The (Un)making of Victims and Crimes in the United States and South Korea
Justine Guichard, Sciences Po Paris

South Korean Courts on Sex Trade Law: Conflicting Movements & Contested Meanings
Chelle Jones, University of Michigan

American Colonialism, Memory, and Alternative Legal Histories

CRN: 39

Paper Session

Room: Riverview I

Chair/Disc: Aziz Rana, Cornell Law School

Primary Keyword: Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

Presentations:

Establishing order, excluding Englishmen, and incorporating Indians: The structure of authority in the Massachusetts Bay Colony
Daragh Grant, Harvard University

The Philippines and the Emergence of the Creedal Constitution
Aziz Rana, Cornell Law School

The Role of Federal Torts in the Conquest of America
K-Sue Park, Texas RioGrande Legal Aid

AMR: Christopher N. J. Roberts - Human Rights Struggles, Contentious Histories, and Sociolegal Scholarship

Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Salon E

Author: Christopher Roberts, Univ. of Minnesota Law School

Chair: Christopher Roberts, Univ. of Minnesota Law School

Readers:

Mark Goodale, University of Lausanne
Christopher Roberts, Univ. of Minnesota Law School
Christopher Tomlins, University of California, Berkeley
Richard Ashby Wilson, University of Connecticut School of Law

Today, the idea of human rights enjoys near-universal support; yet, there is deep disagreement about what human rights actually are – their true source of origin, how to study them, and how best to address their deficits. Are human rights truly universal or do they represent Western interests? Can human rights overcome state sovereignty or do they simply reinforce it? Are human rights prizes to be fought for or utopian myths? What categories of rights have priority over others?

In his sweeping exploration of how the modern international human rights system came into being, Christopher N. J. Roberts traces these contemporary questions and conflicts back to their moments of inception. He shows how more than a half century ago, a series of contradictions worked their way into the foundation of the modern system of human rights. By viewing human rights as representations of human relations that emerge from struggle, this book charts a new path into the subject of human rights and offers a novel approach for sociolegal scholars who wish to address some of the most challenging contemporary human rights issues.

Primary Keyword: Human Rights

Cause Lawyering and Social Movements

CRN: 21

Paper Session

Room: Studio 6

Chair/Disc: Corey Shdaimah, University of Maryland

Primary Keyword: Social Movements and Legal Mobilization
Presentations:

- Cause Lawyering against Sexual Violence: Forgotten Histories, New Developments, and Enduring Conflicts
  Rose Corrigan, Drexel University

- Collective Goods without Collective Action? Foundation Funding of Civil Rights Litigation Firms: The Case of the Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund
  Devin Fernandes, Johns Hopkins University

- Movements in Progressive Legal Thought
  Scott Cummings, University of California, Los Angeles

Citizenship and Immigration: Engaging Local Contexts
CRN: 2
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 1
Chair: Jamie Longazel, University of Dayton
Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

Presentations:

- Globally Competitive, Locally Contradictory: An Analysis of International Students Migration Flows in the United States
  Jasmine Trang Ha, University of Minnesota

- The DREAM ACT, Concepts of Citizenship, and the Politics of Patriotism
  Deenesh Sohoni, College of William and Mary

- To Naturalize or Not: How the State Legal Context Influences Hispanic Immigrants’ Decisions
  Linda Williams, Arizona State University

- Unauthorized Legal Practice Meets Unauthorized Immigration: An Analysis of ‘Notario’ Fraud in the U.S.
  Juan Pedroza, Stanford University

- Undocumented Mexicans’ Political Engagement: The Role of Local Immigration Laws
  Angela S. Garcia, University of Chicago

Constitutional Theory Development in Asia and in the Americas
CRN: 1
Paper Session

Room: Studio 3
Chair: Younghoa Jung, Chonbuk National University
Disc: Brett McDonnell, University of Minnesota Law School
Primary Keyword: Corporate and Securities Law

Presentations:

- Something to Hide? Empirical Challenges of Researching Foreign Corruption Enforcement
  Friederycke Haijer, Utrecht University

Corporate Law Enforcement and Dispute Resolution
Paper Session

Room: Salon B
Disc: Brett McDonnell, University of Minnesota Law School
Primary Keyword: Corporate and Securities Law

Presentations:

- Brazil’s Never Ending Hunger for Amendments
  Bruno Silva, UNESA

- Decolonial Thought and the Citizenship Model of the New Latin American Constitutionalism
  Enzo Bello, Universidade Federal Fluminense & Universidade Estácio de Sá

- Ecuador’s Constitutional Culture through the Discourse of Rights
  Gabriela Espinoza, Yale Law School

Conundrums and Contradictions: Legal and Political Strategies in the Social Movement to Increase Employment Access for People With Criminal Convictions
Paper Session

Room: Studio 10
Chair/Disc: Laura McTighe, Columbia University
Primary Keyword: Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

Presentations:

- Help Me Help Myself: Promoting Entrepreneurship as a Strategy to Improve Economic Security for Formerly Incarcerated People
  Kelly Orians, Rising Foundations

- Legal versus Just: Employers’ Consideration of Criminal History in the Hiring Process
  Melissa Burch, University of Texas at Austin

- Springing the Candor Trap: Addressing and Combating the Use of Applicant-Supplied Information About Past Convictions as a Test of Rehabilitation
  CT Turney-Lewis, A New Way of Life Reentry Project
**Critical Perspectives on Reproductive Justice: From Activism to the Academy**

CRN: 7  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Studio 9  
**Chair:** Rachel Rebouche, Temple University Law School

**Participants:**  
Aziza Ahmed, Northeastern University School of Law  
Lisa Kelly, Columbia Law School & Center for Reproductive Rights  
Elizabeth Kukura, Temple University Beasley School of Law  
Stu Marvel, Emory Law School  
Seema Mohapatra, Barry University Dwayne O. Andreas School of Law

Advocates and academics increasingly recognize the limitations of reproductive rights discourses in addressing the practical realities of reproductive health care delivery. The reproductive justice movement has helped foment this recognition by emphasizing how racism and poverty shape reproduction and engaging with the spectrum of reproductive healthcare and choices throughout an individual's life.

This roundtable has three goals. First, participants will focus on the emergence of the reproductive justice movement and current topics that absorb its supporters' attention. Each roundtable participant will focus on a different aspect of reproductive justice advocacy. Second, the purpose of this roundtable is not just to celebrate reproductive justice, but also to develop a critical framework for assessing the movement's successes and failures. Finally, the roundtable will include a conversation about tools and methods for teaching classes and producing scholarly work in the area.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**

- **The GFC and Beyond - How Do We Deal With Corporate Misconduct?**
  Vicky Comino, University of Queensland

- **The Need for Speed: Delaware's Experiment in Bringing Business Arbitration Back to its Roots via the Delaware Rapid Arbitration Act**
  Peter Reilly, Texas A&M School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Crime and Victims

**Presentations:**

- **Chemical Detectives in Colonial India**
  Mitra Sharafi, University of Wisconsin-Madison

- **Crime Television in India: The Visual Politics of Gender, Violence and Detection**
  Inderpal Grewal, Yale University

- **Unlocking the Mysteries of the Past: Alfred Lucas and the Archeology of Crime Scene Investigation**
  Binyamin Blum, Hebrew University

  Laura Brueck, Northwestern University

- **‘The Indian Vidocq’: Robert Reid’s Physiognomy of the Mind and Criminal Humanism**
  Projit Mukharji, University of Pennsylvania

- **“Armless and Harmless”: The Private Detective, Family and the State in Contemporary India**
  Srimati Basu, University of Kentucky

**Fiduciary Theories of Public Authority**

**Primary Keyword:** International Law and Politics

**Presentations:**

- **Fiduciary Political Theory and its Alternatives**
  Stephen Galoob, University of Tulsa College of Law

- **International Institutions as Trustees of Humanity**
  Evan Fox-Decent, McGill University Faculty of Law

- **Re-imagining a Dignified Life in Exile: Fiduciary Duty, Human Rights-based Capabilities and Protracted Refugee Situations**
  Anna Purkey, Human Rights Research and Education Centre, University of Ottawa

- **Reorienting the Relationship between Rights, Choice and Freedom under a Fiduciary Theory of the State: Advancing Legal and Social Belonging for Non-Citizens**
  Bethany Hastie, UBC Faculty of Law
Global Perspectives on Human Rights and Access to Justice
Paper Session

Room: Balcony K

Chair/Disc: Bettina Lemann Kristiansen, Åarhus University

Primary Keyword: Access to Justice

Presentations:
Access to Higher Education: The Case of Young Undocumented Migrants in the Mission District
Paola Suarez, UC Berkeley

Accessing Justice Post-Kiobel: A Study of Corporate Human Rights Litigation
Tanesha A Thomas, CUNY Graduate Center

Forced Disappearances: Cases in the Inter-American Court of Human Right
Franz Erwin Oberarzbacher, Stanford Law School

Globalising a Guardian Institution: Ombudsmen in Developing Countries
Adriaan Bedner, Leiden University

Globalization and Its Consequences in Regard to Legal Aid
Bettina Lemann Kristiansen, Åarhus University

The Social Role of Property in Brazil- The Long Path from the Legislative Amendment to the Modification of Social Reality
Alexandra Fuchs de Araujo, University of São Paulo
Mariana de Araujo Mendes Lima, University of São Paulo

How to Fund Sociolegal Research
Professional Development Panel

Room: Salon D

Participants:
Rachel Bernard, American Council of Learned Societies
Jon Gould, National Science Foundation
Thomas M Keck, Syracuse University
Helena Silverstein, National Science Foundation

In this panel, program officers from the National Science Foundation’s Law and Social Sciences Program and the American Council of Learned Societies will discuss grants and fellowships for sociolegal research and provide tips on the grant- and fellowship application- writing process. NSF grant recipient, Tom Keck, will discuss the process from a grantee’s perspective.

Impartial Observers? Embedded Activists? Recent Scholarship Examines the Press As a Political Interest Group in Law and History
Roundtable Session

Room: Balcony N

Chair: Patrick File, University of Nevada, Reno

Primary Keyword: Non-State Legal Actors

Participants:
Eric Easton, University of Baltimore School of Law
Emily Erickson, California State University, Fullerton
Patrick File, University of Nevada, Reno
Dean Smith, High Point University

The American press embraces impartiality as a core professional value, yet it benefits when special legal protections and privileges are maintained or expanded. How has the press grappled with this awkward legal and political role? When have efforts to influence debate over the regulation of speech succeeded and failed? How is the press’ role changing as it evolves and fractures as an institution? Recent scholarship has examined these questions from the late 19th century onward: in the press’ attempts to secure shield laws and libel retraction statutes (Smith, File), efforts to expand access to public records (Erickson), and strategic litigation in U.S. Supreme Court cases (Easton). These studies rely on an interdisciplinary cross section of theory and illuminate an aspect of the press’ role in democracy that is understudied and bucks conventional wisdom. Scholars who study the press’ relationship to legal rights and social change will benefit from this discussion of new scholarship.

Crime, Public Security, and Human Rights in Comparative Perspective: From Palestine to the Americas
IRC: 6
Paper Session

Room: Bacchus

Chair: Roberto Kant de Lima, Federal University of Fluminense

Disc: George Bisharat, UC Hastings College of the Law

Primary Keyword: Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

Presentations:
From Occupation to Warfare: Israel Expands the Permissible Use of Force and Extinguishes Palestinian Militant Resistance
Noura Erakat, George Mason University

Police or peacemaker? identitaries controversy and conflict management in Rio de Janeiro
Lenin Pires, Federal Fluminense University
The Making and the Unmaking of the Palestinian Criminal system within the Colonised Palestinian Context.

Reem Al-Botmeh, Birzeit University

Human Rights, Conceptions of Equality and Equal Treatment in Brazil

Luis Roberto Cardoso de Oliveira, University of Brasilia

“I Will Never Be the Same”: Arrested Palestinian Children Challenging Settler-Colonial Legislative Violence

Nadera Shalhoub-Kevorkian, Hebrew University

“Police violence”: rights and moralities about police action in Rio de Janeiro

Lucia Elbaum, Universidade Federal Fluminense

Judicial Politics in Plural (Trans)national Legal Orders

Paper Session

Room: Balcony I

Chair/Disc: Moritz Baumgärtel, Université libre de Bruxelles

Primary Keyword: Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law

Presentations:

Between Anarchy and World State: the Changing Character of State Sovereignty and International Legal Authority.

Joseph Conti, University of Wisconsin, Madison

Human Rights in Contractual Relationships

Sacharias Votinius, Faculty of Law, Lund University

International Investment Law as Formally Rational Law: A Weberian Analysis

David Schneiderman, Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

International Law in Domestic Courts: The Argument for a Shift from the Contested Realm of Interpretation to the Accepted Realm of Application in Adjudication

Rupert Dunbar, Kingston University

Eric Jeanpierre, Kingston University

Primary Keyword: Ethnography

Presentations:

Ethnographic Research of Recovery Program for Young Drug User “Proyecto Hombre” in Spain

Masayoshi Koga, Chuo University

Neutralizing the Rival: Situated Practice of Violence and Legitimacy in Medellin, Colombia

Jonathan Gordon, New York University

Policing Sex: Researching The Policing of Sex Workers in Johannesburg, South Africa

I. India Thusi, University of Witwatersrand and The Opportunity Agenda

Law and Religion

Paper Session

Room: Balcony J

Chair/Disc: Mark C. Modak-Truran, Mississippi College School Of Law

Primary Keyword: Religion and Law

Presentations:

Defining Religion and Secularity for a Post-Secular Understanding of Religious Liberty

Mark C. Modak-Truran, Mississippi College School Of Law

Is There a Religious Freedom Right to Join the Islamic State?

M. Christian Green, Center for the Study of Law and Religion

Religious Exemptions to Title IX

Kif Augustine, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University

Searching for the Secular: Exploring the Argument for Traditional Marriage in Obergefell v. Hodges

Jenna Reinbold, Colgate University

Lives After Prison II: Criminal Records and Big Data

CRN: 27

Paper Session

Room: Studio 7

Chair: Marianne Quirouette, University of Toronto

Disc: Hadar Aviram, UC Hastings College of the Law

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control
Presentations:

Big Data and the Production of the Risky Subject
Paula Maurutto, University of Toronto

Kelly Hannah-Moffat, University of Toronto

Governing Through Prisoner Release?
Leslie Sebba, Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Public Conviction Records and the Overreach of Punishment: A Distinctive Facet of American Penal Excess
Alessandro Corda, University of Minnesota Law School

Records, Race, and Risk: The Proliferation of Criminal History Information in the Era of Mass Incarceration
David McElhattan, Northwestern University

Presentations:

Critical Coverage: An Empirical Study of Press Criticism of Israel's Supreme Court
Bryna Bogoch, Bar Ilan University
Yifat Holzman - Gazit, College of Management School of Law

Republican Schoolmasters Indeed: How Justices of the U. S. Supreme Court Mystify Mass Media, Attentive Citizens, and Other Pupils
William (Bill) Haltom, University of Puget Sound

The Tragic Vulnerability of the Rogue Lawyer
Lucy Jewel, University of Tennessee

Twitter as Virtual Delta: Legal Scripting of Gender-Based Violence on the Social Networking Site
Francine Banner, University of Michigan-Dearborn

Presentations:

Fracking: Do We Need to Bridge the Public-Science Divide?
Joanne Hawkins, University of Leeds

In It to Win It: Prize Competitions and the Regulation of Negative Emissions Innovation
Janine Sargoni, University of Bristol Law School

Subsidising Technology: A Study from the Energy Sector
Robert Lee, Birmingham Law School
Elen Stokes, Cardiff Law School

New Legal Realism 2 - Unifying Concept - Law School Empiricism
CRN: 28
Paper Session

Room: Salon H-G
Chair/Disc: Edward Rubin, Vanderbilt

Primary Keyword: New Legal Realism

Presentations:

Lawyering in the Twenty-First Century: Student Experiences in a Non-Traditional Law Course
Katie Sykes, Thompson Rivers University Faculty of Law

Legal and Pedagogical Contexts of English Learners: Judicial Views of Linguistic Isolation and Developmental Needs
Douglas Reed, Georgetown University

Student Evaluation and Academic Misconduct in Indian Law Schools
Jonathan Gingerich, UCLA
Aditya Singh, White & Case LLP

The Change of State’s Role and Legal Strategy of High School Textbook Regulation: Some Reflections from the Anti-Curriculum Adjustment Movement in Taiwan
Yu-Yin Tu, Tamkang University

Opening Moments of Criminalization
Paper Session

Room: Iberville
Chair: Renisa Mawani, University of British Columbia

Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law

Presentations:
Criminal Prosecutions of HIV Non-disclosure in Canada: Epidemiological Information at the Threshold of Criminalisation
Amy Swiffen, Concordia University

How She Appears: Demeanor, Domestic Violence and the Police
Marcus Sibley, Carleton University
Dawn Moore, Carleton University
Rashmee Singh, University of Waterloo

The Hostilities of Criminal Accusation
George Pavlitch, University of Alberta

The Human ‘Right’ to Psychoanalysis: A Different Entryway?
Catherine Kellogg, University of Alberta

The Probability of Accusation: An Examination of Offender Management Programs
Dale Ballucci, Western University

“A Most Mysterious Affair”: The Murder of Thomas Poole and The Aesthetics of Accusation in early Canadian Law
Matthew Unger, University of Alberta

Personhood, Dignity, and Citizenship: Inclusion, Exclusion and Constitutional Belonging
CRN: 2
Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 4
Chair: Saru Matambanadzo, Tulane University Law School
Frank Valdes, University of Miami

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Participants:
Atiba Ellis, West Virginia University
Alana Klein, McGill University School of Law
Saru Matambanadzo, Tulane University Law School
William Merkel, Charleston School of Law
Jorge Roig, Charleston School of Law

This Roundtable will explore in further detail themes joined in the plenary sessions on belonging and race and the constitution of society. Participants will apply critical race theory, feminist scholarship, gay and lesbian discourse, legal history, linguistics, as well as multi-lingual and multi-disciplinary perspectives to interrogate the meaning of inclusion and exclusion from constitutional culture, civil society, and the political process. Inspired in part by leading Lat Crit scholar Francisco Valdes and joined by cross-disciplinary scholars including Atiba Ellis, Alana Klein, Bill Merkel, Saru Matambanadzo, and Jorge Roig, participants will articulate challenging and inclusive views of citizenship with myriad cultural and constitutional dimensions that do not always harmonize with received dogma and discourse premised on narrowly drawn cultural entitlements to full constitutional citizenship.

Political Leaders, Public Opinion and Courts
Paper Session

Room: Salon C
Chair/Disc: Jennifer Balint, The University of Melbourne

Primary Keyword: Courts And Trials

Presentations:
A Historical Investigation of Presidential Speeches on Supreme Court Decisions
Paul Collins, University of Massachusetts, Amherst
Matthew Eshbaugh-Soha, University of North Texas

Changing the Courts: A Case Study of the Implementation of Quality Management Systems in Colombia
Alberto Nieto, Stanford University

Diffusion of Precedent in the American State Courts
Abigail Rury, University of Iowa

The Racial Narrative of Federal Courts Law
Charlton Copeland, University of Miami

The Role of the Expert Witness in Influencing and Educating Societal Perceptions of Autistic Spectrum Disorders (ASDs)
Colleen Berryessa, University of Pennsylvania

Rights and Liberal Legality in the Global Context
Paper Session

Room: Jackson
Chair/Disc: Zachary Kaufman, Harvard University

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Presentations:
International Human Rights Law in a Transitional Majoritarian Regime
Chris Kendall, University of Puget Sound

Liberalism, Neo-liberalism and the Rule of Law
Martin Krygier, University of New South Wales

The Constitutional Right to Health: Post-Neoliberal Institution or False Promise? Evidence from Southern Africa
Matthew Kavanagh, University of Pennsylvania
Teenage Girls Learn Their Rights About Sexual Harassment in Schools: Case Studies from Sweden and the USA
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Carondelet - Table 2

**Participants:**
Katja Gillander-Gadin, Mid-Sweden University
Nan Stein, Wellesley College

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

Studies in Legal Culture
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 5

**Chair/Disc:** Jona Goldschmidt, Loyola University Chicago

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Culture

**Presentations:**
Mud Wrestling of Justice: Rights Consciousness and Law in Everyday Practices in Taiwan
Hsiao-Tan Wang, National Chengchi University, Taipei, Taiwan (NCCU)

Should Academic Work Seek to Achieve Political Goals?
Michael Robertson, Faculty of Law, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

The Wedding Party as a Binding Contract: A Comparative Look into the Relationship between Hosts and Guests
Zvi Triger, Striks School of Law, The College of Management Academic Studies

What’s Your Motivation? An Analysis of Career Motivations of Students Who Plan to Pursue Law School
Daryl Mcadoo, UCLA

The Negative Capital Account Maze
Walter Schwidetzky, University of Baltimore

The Tax Lives of Uber Drivers: Evidence from Internet Discussion Forums
Diane Ring, Boston College Law School
Shu-Yi Oei, Tulane Law School

Why We Need to Re-Examine ADR Methods
Toni Robinson, Quinnipiac University School of Law

The Construction of the Public/Private Distinction
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 1

**Chair/Disc:** Tamara Piety, University of Tulsa College of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Public-Private Dichotomy

**Presentations:**
A Thin Line Between Private Law and Public Law – Tort Law As Policy Maker
Tamas Fezer, University of Debrecen

Estimating the Ideal Points of Organized Interests in Legal Policy Space
Thomas Hansford, UC Merced
Sarah Depaoli, UC Merced

Parking: From Quarters to Apps - An Inquiry about Public Property, Personal Rights, Transaction Costs, Commodification and Inalienability
Vanessa Casado Perez, Stanford Law School

The Lived Experience of the Law: Rights, Changing Perceptions, and Equal Access to the Law
Paper Session

**Room:** Galvez

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**
20-Week Abortion Bans and The Viability Standard
Kelsey Grimes, Temple University, Beasley School of Law

Interdisciplinary Clinical Education- On Empowerment, Women, and a Unique Clinical Model
Ruthy Lowenstein Lazar, Law school, Academic College of Management, Israel

Networking in the Shadow of the Law: Informal Access to Legal Expertise through Personal Network Ties
Erin York Cornwell, Cornell University
Megan Doherty Bea, Cornell University
Emily Taylor Poppe, Cornell University

Tax Session 2: Controversies in Theory and Practice
CRN: 31
Paper Session

**Room:** Galerie 3

**Chair/Disc:** Philip Hackney, LSU Paul M. Hebert Law Center

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation

**Presentations:**
Collaboration Theory: A Theory of the Charitable Tax Exempt Nonprofit Corporation
Eric Chaffee, The University of Toledo College of Law

20-Week Abortion Bans and The Viability Standard
Kelsey Grimes, Temple University, Beasley School of Law

Interdisciplinary Clinical Education- On Empowerment, Women, and a Unique Clinical Model
Ruthy Lowenstein Lazar, Law school, Academic College of Management, Israel

Networking in the Shadow of the Law: Informal Access to Legal Expertise through Personal Network Ties
Erin York Cornwell, Cornell University
Megan Doherty Bea, Cornell University
Emily Taylor Poppe, Cornell University
The Jimmy Savile Affair and the Politics of Paedophilia in Britain: Revisiting the Past
Bill He Benton, University of Manchester UK
Terry Thomas, Leeds University, UK

The Politics and Theory of Transition
Paper Session

Room: Bonaparte
Chair/Disc: Boris Mamlyuk, University of Memphis, School of Law

Primary Keyword: Democracy, Governance and State Theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

Presentations:
From Ferguson to Flint: In Search of an Anti-Subordination Principle for Local Government Law.
Christopher J. Tyson, Louisiana State University, Paul M. Hebert Law Center

Democratizing Punishment in Times of Political Transition: Moral Ambitions, International Interventions and Security Impasses in Post-Revolutionary Tunisia
Yasmine Bouagga, CNRS- Triangle

The Integrity of Accountability and Transitional Justice
James Gallen, Dublin City University

Women Empowerment and Representation in Local Government under a Transitional Democracy
Rokhsana Khondker, Bangladesh Supreme Court & Khan Foundation
Nausheen Khan, Georgetown University

The Politics of Women’s Legal Mobilization and Access to Justice in Latin America
IRC: 31
Paper Session

Room: Salon F
Chair: Alba Ruibal, CONICET-UNC
Catalina Smulovitz, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella
Disc: Tamara Amoroso Gonçalves, University of Victoria

Primary Keyword: Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

Presentations:
From Femicide to Generalized Violence: Civil Society Mobilization, External Intervention, and the Provision of Justice in Northern Mexico
Janice Gallagher, Watson Institute, Brown University

From the Streets Into the Courts: Legal Opportunity Structure and the Fight Against Femicide
Veronica Michel, John Jay College of Criminal Justice

Cora Fernandez Anderson, Hampshire College
Alba Ruibal, CONICET-UNC

Who Pays for Rights in the Argentine Provinces? The Case of Domestic Violence Laws
Catalina Smulovitz, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella

Feminist Legal Mobilization and Federalism in Latin America: Insights from Argentinean Subnational Cases
Alba Ruibal, CONICET-UNC

The Punitive State II: Prisoner Treatment
CRN: 27
Paper Session

Room: Studio 2
Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
Challenging the Treatment of Senior Prisoners in Canadian Penitentiaries
Adelina Iftene, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University

The Impact of Crime and Arrest on Self-Esteem: A Longitudinal Analysis
Veronica Horowitz, University of Minnesota - Minneapolis

The Limits of the Medical Model in Research on Crime and Justice
Johann Koehler, University of California, Berkeley
Tobias Smith, University of California, Berkeley

‘Madness and Civilization’ Revisited: Punishment, Psychological Evaluations, and Colonizing the Body
Elizabeth Brown, San Francisco State University

CRN: 33
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 5
Chair: Hiroshi Fukurai, University of California Santa Cruz
Disc: Daniel H. Foote, The University of Tokyo

Primary Keyword: East Asia
Presentations:

Dignity, Life, and Capital Punishment  
Jimmy Chia-Shin Hsu, Academia Sinica

Hate Speech in Japan  
Junko Kotani, Shizuoka University

Law and Citizenship in Contemporary Japan: The Experience of Newcomer “Immigrants”, Especially Filipina and JFCs  
Takeshi Akiba, Akita International University

Not the Terror but the Fallout: Soft Law, Norms and Trust in Counter-Terrorism Effort  
Eugene K B Tan, Singapore Management University

The Iridescence of Japanese Patient Safety Reforms  
Rob Leflar, University of Arkansas School of Law

The Puzzling Imprecision of Publicity Rights in Japan  
Daniel Rosen, Chuo University Law School

Thursday June 2  
12:45 p.m. – 2:30 p.m.

After Marriage Equality: What Is Next for the LGBT Movement?  
CRN: 7  
Roundtable Session

Room:  Studio 10

Chair:  Carlos Ball, Rutgers Law School

Participants:

Gary Mucciaroni, Temple University
Bruno Perreau, MIT
Nancy Polikoff, American University Washington College of Law
Russell Robinson, UC Berkeley Law
Clifford Rosky, College Of Law, University of Utah

The Supreme Court’s decision in Obergefell v. Hodges does not represent the end of the struggle for LGBT equality in this country. It does not minimize or trivialize the importance of nationwide marriage equality to note, for example, that LGBT individuals continue to be the subjects of violence and harassment in places ranging from schools to streets; that denying jobs, housing, and goods and services to sexual and gender-identity minorities remains legal in most states; and that both houses of Congress (as well as most state legislatures) are controlled by the GOP, a political party that has traditionally been unsupportive of LGBT equality measures.

For the last few decades, the LGBT rights movement’s most important objective has been to win marriage equality for same-sex couples across the country. With that victory in hand, those who care about LGBT rights must now struggle with how best to promote the interests of sexual and gender-identity minorities in a post-marriage equality society. This roundtable will bring together an interdisciplinary group of experts to address one of the most important questions facing the LGBT movement today: What does marriage equality mean for the future of LGBT rights? The participants are all contributors to a forthcoming book titled “After Marriage Equality: The Future of LGBT Rights” (NYU Press, 2016).

Participants will discuss the difficulties faced by the LGBT movement in moving in new directions and addressing new challenges; what marriage equality means for LGBT people living in conservative or “red” states; what marital rights for same-sex couple mean for children and parental rights; whether marriage equality will end most prejudice against sexual minorities or whether that prejudice will manifest itself in different ways; and whether the recognition of marital rights will encourage greater acknowledgment of the differences between lesbians and gay men in matters of relationships and monogamy. The discussion will also touch upon LGBT rights issues in other countries, including the likelihood that U.S. LGBT organizations will become more involved with LGBT issues in other nations and the state of LGBT rights in France following marriage equality in that country.

Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Cold War Histories of International Law  
CRN: 23  
Roundtable Session

Room:  Galerie 2

Chair:  Sundhya Pahuja, University of Melbourne

Participants:

Matt Craven, SOAS
Ben Golder, UNSW
Richard Joyce, Monash University
Boris Mamlyuk, University of Memphis, School of Law
Gerry Simpson, LSE

The Cold War is the most invoked, least theorised of international law’s historical foundations and intellectual obsessions. The Cold War and ‘Cold War thinking’ have bequeathed the conditions in which we live, yet in most international law literature, the Cold War appears as a marker whose provenance or nature is rarely examined. This Roundtable will stage a conversation based on a project being led by Pahuja, Simpson and Craven aiming to correct this gap in our understandings of the history and nature of international law. Drawing together a range of scholars, they are crafting a new research agenda in the field which outlines a coherent history and conceptualisation of the relationship between international law and the Cold War. Their hypothesis is that
international law and the Cold War were ‘co-produced’ such that the “Cold War” was key to the formation of international law, and international law was central to ‘actualising’ the CW as a juridical object. We will discuss this idea.

**Primary Keyword:** International Law and Politics

**East Asian Court Reform on Trial**

**CRN:** 33

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Galerie 5

**Chair:** Setsuo Miyazawa, University of California Hastings School of Law; Aoyama Gakuin University Law School

**Participants:**
- Malcolm Feeley, University of California-Berkeley
- Daniel H. Foote, The University of Tokyo
- Erik Herber, Leiden University
- Mari Hiyama, Hakuho University
- Matthew Wilson, University of Akron School of Law
- Margaret Woo, Northeastern University School of Law

Malcolm M. Feeley of UC Berkeley (a former President of LSA) wrote a seminal book on court reform, *Court Reform on Trial*, in 1983. He analyzed four cases of criminal justice reforms in the United States. On the basis of four cases of criminal justice reform in the US, he argued that perspectives and expectations on criminal justice are so fragmented in the US that an idealistic reform conceived and initiated according to one perspective may be transformed by other perspectives in implementation, particularly by those of players embedded in the status quo, and routinization of reform may produce a result that can be evaluated as a failure from the initial perspective. He concluded that it would better to introduce reforms with more realistic expectations. This roundtable session discusses selected cases of court reform in Japan and Taiwan and will analyze whether, to what extent, and how the process of policy making and policy implementation in East Asian countries differ from that described by Feeley. It may be the case in East Asian countries, for instance, that the process of policy making and policy implementation is strongly controlled by the players in the status quo from the very beginning, so that only those reforms which are acceptable to such players is likely to be introduced, implementation is tightly and carefully managed by them, and the introduced reform becomes highly routinized with a result that can be evaluated by the status quo as a success. A lively discussion is expected.

**Primary Keyword:** Courts And Trials

**Current Legal Issues in Asia and the Americas I**

**CRN:** 1

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 3

**Primary Keyword:** Human Rights

**Presentations:**
- Brazil, Haiti and the United Nations: the MINUSTAH case
  - Ronaldo Lucas da Silva, Estacio de Sá University

- Custody/Access Dispute in the Brazilian Judicial System: The Space of Juvenile in the Decision-Making
  - Carolina Freitas, Universidade Estacio de Sá

- Global Governance, Local Feminisms: A Case Study of Legislating Domestic Violence in India
  - Saptarshi Mandal, Jindal Global Law School

- The Eurocentric Construction of Human Rights and the Crisis of Modernity
  - Edna Raquel Hogemann, UNESA

- The Racial Relations in the Legal Order After Slavery Abolition: A Study in Comparative Perspective between Brazil and the United States.
  - Carlos Alberto Lima de Almeida, Universidade Estacio de Sá
  - Matheus de Almeida, Universidade Federal Fluminense

**Decolonizing Indigeneity: Resistance, Rights, Relationships and Reefer**

**CRN:** 34

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 5

**Chair/Disc:** L. Jane McMillan, St. Francis Xavier

**Primary Keyword:** Indigeneity and First Peoples

**Presentations:**
- Cannabis in Indian Country
  - William Wood, Southwestern Law School

- Featherless Indigenes: Decolonizing Indigeneity in Peru
  - Alvaro Cordova Flores, McGill University

- Narratives of Resistance?: Aboriginal gangs and their use of social media
  - Kelsi Barkway, University of Alberta
  - Jana Grekul, University of Alberta

- The Double Bind of Culturally Based Rights: Indigeneity and Bedouin Resistance to Settler Colonialism
  - Lana Tatour, University of Warwick
**Dimensions of Inequality**

CRN: 29  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Galerie 6  

**Chair:** Catherine Albiston, University of California, Berkeley  

**Disc:** Kaaryn Gustafson, UC Irvine  

**Primary Keyword:** Class and Inequality  

**Presentations:**  
A Dangerous Drive: The Neoliberal Work-Ethic, Micro-Entrepreneurship, and New Collectivities in the San Francisco Bay Area Taxi and “TNC” Industries  
Veena Dubal, University Of California, Hastings  
  
Institutional Legacies: Public Interest Law Organizations and the Two-Tiered System of Access to Justice in the United States  
Catherine Albiston, University of California, Berkeley  
Su Li, UC Berkeley  
Laura Nielsen, American Bar Foundation/ Northwestern U  
  
Producing Justice in a Morally Ambiguous Legal Terrain: Three Typologies of Legal Actors  
Tonya Brito, University of Wisconsin Law School  

---

**Empire and Law in Modern India**

CRN: 22  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Studio 4  

**Chair:** Srimati Basu, University of Kentucky  

**Disc:** Mithi Mukherjee, University of Colorado-Boulder  

**Primary Keyword:** South Asia  

**Presentations:**  
Empire, Law, and Political Freedom In India: The Sedition Trial of Bal Gangadhar Tilak (1908)  
Mithi Mukherjee, University of Colorado-Boulder  
  
Law and ‘tribal’ subjects: British colonial experiments in the Bengal Presidency  
Sanjukta Das Gupta, Sapienza University of Rome  
  
The Strange Legal Trajectory of Elections in Colonial India  
David Gilmartin, North Carolina State University  

---

**Ethnographic Explorations of Illegalities, Penalty, and Risk/Security (Part I)**

CRN: 3, 27  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Balcony M  

**Chair/Disc:** Phil Goodman, University of Toronto  

**Primary Keyword:** Ethnography  

**Presentations:**  
Criminal Behavior as Local Resistance: The Sociolegal Significance of the Hawaiian Cockfight  
Kathryne Young, Stanford University  
  
Explorations of Ethnographic and Legal Engagements  
Véroline Fortin, Université de Sherbrooke  
  
Police Liability Insurance, Grassroots Activism, and the Limits of Police Reform  
Stephen Wulff, University of Minnesota  
  
Risking Justice: Causality and Conviction in California’s Mental Health Courts  
Jessica Cooper, Princeton University  
  
Thinking Inside the Box: The Development, Meaning, and Implications of Washington DC’s “Ban the Box” Legislation  
Brandon Hunter, Princeton University  

---

**Executive Relief and the Roles of Mediating Institutions in Immigration Law and Policy**

CRN: 2  
Roundtable Session  

**Room:** Galerie 1  

**Chair:** Stephen Lee, UC Irvine School of Law  

**Participants:**  
Susan Coutin, University of California, Irvine  
Cecilia Menjivar, University of Kansas  
Hiroshi Motomura, UCLA School of Law  
  
This roundtable session will focus on three types of questions related to DAPA and DACA programs. First, how do prospective applicants and recipients of deferred action experience the uncertainty, first of litigation-induced delays in implementation of the program, and second, of possessing a form of temporary and contingent status in the United States? Second, which civil society organizations and third parties are working in immigrant communities to interpret legal developments and
facilitate applications for deferred action? What constraints and incentives do they face in educating immigrant communities and mediating implementation of these programs? Finally, how have these unconventional legal benefits changed the meaning and terms of membership? To what extent does this new category of ambiguous legal status enable individuals to assert rights and hold state actors accountable?

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

**Gender Issues in Law: Past, Present and Future**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Balcony L

**Chair:** Rosemary Auchmuty, University of Reading

**Disc:** Tamara Piety, University of Tulsa College of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence

**Presentations:**

- Abortion and the Law: Fixing the Undue Burden Standard
  - Vicki Toscano, Nova Southeastern University

- Female Lawyers in Conflict & Transition; Obstacles, Opportunities and Obduracy
  - Anna Bryson, Queens University Belfast
  - Kieran McEvoy, Queens University Belfast

- Punishing Violence Against Women: Seeking the Right Balance.
  - Arnold Loewy, Texas Tech School of Law

- The Children and Families Act 2014 and Shared Parental Leave: Supporting the Changing Family or Reinforcing the Sexual Family?
  - Gemma Mitchell, University of Birmingham

- The Paradox of ‘Good Motherhood’ in an era of Formal Equality
  - Danielle McKenzie, York University

**Human Rights and War: The Legality of Armed Conflict and Their Aftermaths**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Balcony K

**Chair/Disc:** Ron Levi, University of Toronto

**Primary Keyword:** Human Rights

**Presentations:**

- Accounting for the (Almost Complete) Failure of the
- Entrapment Defense in Post-9/11 Terrorism Cases: An Empirical Analysis
  - Jesse Norris, State University of New York at Fredonia

- Courts and Coups: Military Legal Prerogatives across the Globe
  - Brett Kyle, University of Nebraska Omaha
  - Andrew Reiter, Mount Holyoke College

- Reparations, Assistance, and the Experience of Justice: Lessons from Colombia and the Congo
  - Peter Dixon, Harvard University

- The International Criminal Court and Civilian Violence: Probing the Compellence Logic of ICC Intervention in Civil Wars
  - David Mendeloff, Norman Paterson School of International Affairs, Carleton University
  - Sean Winchester, Norman Paterson School of International Affairs, Carleton University

- Unbalanced Scales: Damage Claims in the War on Terror
  - Rick Abel, UCLA School of Law

**International Responses to Institutional and Religious Child Abuse**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Balcony N

**Chair/Disc:** Aleardo Zanghellini, University of Reading

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**

- An Unexpected Path: Bankruptcy, Justice, and Intersecting Identities in the Catholic Sexual Abuse Scandals
  - Meredith Edelman, Australian National University

- Justice Denied: What America Must Do to Protect Its Children
  - Marci Hamilton, Univ. of Pennsylvania and Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law

- Prosecuting Desire, Protecting Innocence: How American Prosecutors Make Sense of Child Sexual Abuse Allegations
  - Jamie Small, University of Dayton

- When the sex exception meets religious exemptions: Obstacles to suing the Catholic Church for child sexual abuse in Ireland and Australia
  - Kate Gleeson, Macquarie University
The Future of Comparative Legal History
IRC: 41
Roundtable Session

Room: Bacchus

Chair: Joshua Tate, SMU Dedman School of Law

Participants:
- Mia Korpiola, University of Turku
- Catharine MacMillan, University of Reading
- Polly Price, Emory University School of Law
- Rafael Mafei R. Queiraz, University of São Paulo, Law School
- Mario Alberto Cajas Sarria, Universidad ICESI

This roundtable session is sponsored by the International Research Collaborative on Comparative Legal History. The panelists, who represent three different continents (Europe and North and South America), will discuss the advantages to be gained by approaching legal history from a comparative perspective, as well as the special challenges posed by comparative research. In keeping with one of the four main themes of the 2016 meeting, special attention will be given to the historical role of empires in creating and shaping legal pluralism. At the conclusion of the session, members of the IRC will be invited to discuss our future plans, including our contribution to the 2017 meeting in Mexico City.

Primary Keyword: Legal History

Judicial Reform: Design, Discipline and Resistance
Paper Session

Room: Salon C

Chair/Disc: Onur Bakiner, Seattle University

Primary Keyword: Human Rights

Presentations:
- A test for political maturity: The 2014 election to High Council of Judges and Prosecutors in Turkey
  Idil Elveris, Istanbul Bilgi University
- The Police Ombudsman in Recife, Brazil: Accountability for the Swedish to See?
  Anthony Pereira, King’s College London
- Turkey’s Judiciary and the Question of Impunity
  Onur Bakiner, Seattle University

Legal Change and Legal Culture
Paper Session

Room: Galvez

Chair/Disc: John Strawson, University of East London

Primary Keyword: Legal Culture

Presentations:
- About “Revolutions” in Private Law
  Pablo Lerner, College of Law and Business
  Rebecca Selberg, Lund University Department of Gender Studies
  Niklas Selberg, Lund University Faculty of Law
- From Civil Law to Common Law in a Sleight of Hand?
  The New Brazilian Civil Procedure Code and the Need to Search for a New Decision-Making Model
  Erik Wolkart, Columbia Law School
- Kaleidoscopes of Knowledge: Reflections on Processes of Injustice and (Re)colonization Produced by Legal Education, and Strategies for Resistance
  Preeti Dhaliwal, University of Victoria

Lives After Prison III: The Possibilities of Penal Change
CRN: 27
Paper Session

Room: Studio 7

Chair/Disc: Andrea Leverentz, University of Massachusetts Boston

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
- In Katrina’s Wake: Dismantling Mass Incarceration in New Orleans Ten Years After the Storm
  Jill McCorkel, Villanova University
  Ashley Bell, Villanova University
  Kenya Mack, Villanova University
- Penal Change and Fiscal Crisis: An Analysis of State Level Developments in New Jersey Since 2000
  Michael Campbell, University of Missouri, St. Louis
  Heather Schoenfeld, Northwestern University
- Self-Improvement vs. Job Skills: Gender Differences in the Perception of Help Among Male and Female Inmates
  Rebecca Trammell, Metropolitan State University of Denver
Thursday 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

**New Approaches to Comparative Constitutionalism**
Paper Session

**Room:** Jackson

**Chair/Disc:** Angela Maria Paez Murcia, Universidad de La Sabana

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**Presentations:**
- Constitutional Archetypes
  - David S Law, Washington University
- Structural Safeguards and Judicial Review: A Comparative Perspective
  - David Landau, Florida State University College of Law
- Studying “Second Generation” Constitutional Courts: After the Heroes Have Left
  - Mark Tushnet, Harvard University
- Why a Written Constitution Two Conceptions of Constitutionalism
  - Miguel Schor, Drake University Law School

**One Toke Over the (State) Line: The Horizontal-Federalism Implications of Marijuana Legalization**
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Salon E

**Chair:** Jessica Berch, Concordia University School of Law
**Chad DeVeaux,** Concordia University School of Law

**Participants:**
- W. David Ball, Santa Clara University School of Law
- Jessica Berch, Concordia University School of Law
- Seth Davis, University of California, Irvine School of Law
- Brannon Denning, Samford University Cumberland School of Law
- Chad DeVeaux, Concordia University School of Law

As Erwin Chemerinsky recently observed, “the struggle over marijuana regulation is one of the most important federalism conflicts in a generation.” The shifting legal status of marijuana—from a banned “hippy” psychoactive drug, to a semi-licit medical treatment, to a recreational drug—has occurred despite the existence of federal laws prohibiting the possession, sale, and transportation of marijuana. The horizontal-federalism (e.g., comity) implications of piecemeal marijuana legalization have received little scholarly attention. Unlike other state vice-legalization experiments such as gambling and prostitution—which involve actions undertaken at a fixed location—marijuana legalization involves the trafficking of goods that can easily cross state lines inside luggage, through the mail, or in the trunks of cars. It causes problems in other ways as well. For example, the effects of marijuana use in pot-friendly states can spillover into their prohibitionist neighbors when impaired users drive their cars across state lines.

The inevitable conflicts engendered by state-by-state pot legalization came into sharp focus last December when Nebraska and Oklahoma sought to invoke the Supreme Court’s original jurisdiction to enjoin marijuana legalization in Colorado. Their Complaint contends that “Colorado has created a dangerous gap in the federal drug control system” enabling marijuana to “flow . . . into neighboring states, undermining [their] own marijuana bans, draining their treasuries, and placing stress on their criminal justice systems.” The Court has deferred deciding whether it will hear the case until the upcoming term. But whatever the outcome, the suit is likely a harbinger of future interstate conflict.

The patchwork of laws and their competing policies creates confusion and is so divisive that it may open the door to “rivalries and reprisals” of the sort that the Constitution was designed to avert. Drivers from marijuana-friendly states may be subjected to pre-textual stops. The Supreme Court unanimously held that such stops are virtually immune from Fourth Amendment scrutiny so long as they are precipitated by even the slightest infraction—or even no infraction at all if an officer in good faith believes that an infraction has occurred. Many difficult issues may soon surface. First, prohibitionist states, like Nebraska and Oklahoma, which share borders with states that have decriminalized marijuana, like Colorado, may increase penalties for marijuana possession and use. Such a move will exacerbate the U.S.’s already epidemic-incarceration rate by stepping up the penalties for marijuana possession. “The normal method of deterring unlawful conduct,” the Supreme Court has noted, “is to impose an appropriate punishment on the person who engages in it. If the sanctions that presently attach to a violation . . . do not provide sufficient deterrence,” ordinarily lawmakers will conclude that “those sanctions should be made more severe.”

Second, prohibitionist States may enact laws that, in essence, reach into the territory of their pot-friendly neighbors to impose liability on those who provide marijuana that later causes transboundary harm.

The temperature on this second situation rose substantially in July 2015 when the 10th Circuit held that the Constitution permits a State to directly regulate activities beyond its borders that produce substantial effects within the state. The court concluded that Supreme Court precedents positing that the dormant Commerce Clause “precludes the application of a state statute to commerce that takes place wholly outside the State’s borders, whether or not the commerce has effects within the state” are no longer good law. Because the 10th Circuit’s holding conflicts with those in other circuits, the Supreme Court will likely need to step in to resolve the circuit split. Moreover, the decision came on the heels of Justice Scalia’s recent characterization of the entire dormant Commerce Clause
jurisprudence as “a judicial fraud.”

If the 10th Circuit’s construction—which enjoys wide-spread support in the academic community—is affirmed, it will have enormous implications for state marijuana regimes. Prohibitionist States may attempt to apply their own laws to marijuana sales in neighboring jurisdictions. Such extraterritorial application is not without precedent. In Bernhard v. Harrah’s Club, the California Supreme Court applied the State’s dram-shop law to a Nevada defendant in contravention of Nevada’s own law, which exempted sellers from such liability. More recently, in Shaw v. LDC Enterprises, Inc., the Indiana Court of Appeals affirmed the application of Indiana’s common law of nuisance to an Illinois bar suspected of serving underage Hoosiers in contravention of contrary Illinois policy. Our panelists will tackle thorny constitutional issues raised by the confluence of marijuana decriminalization and the apparent demise of the extraterritoriality principle.

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**Parents, Children, and Identities**
CRN: 7
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 9

**Chair:** Seema Mohapatra, Barry University Dwayne O. Andreas School of Law

**Disc:** Clare Huntington, Fordham Law School

**Primary Keyword:** Family and Youth

**Presentations:**
Identity Building  
Kim Pearson, Gonzaga University School of Law

Non-Exclusive Adoption  
Amy Mulzer, New York University School of Law

The Citational Life of Zalba et al.: Maternal Incarceration and the Evolution of Feminist Legal Advocacy in the 1970s and 1980s  
Sara Matthiesen, Brown University

Transgender Children, the Heckler’s Veto, and Teaching Early Acceptance  
Dara Purvis, Penn State Dickinson School of Law

Trust and the Project of Informed Consent in IVF  
Jody Madeira, Indiana University Maurer School of Law

**Power, Business and Legal Practice**
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon B

**Chair/Disc:** Shlomit Azgad-Tromer, UC Berkeley

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, Business and Society

**Presentations:**
A Car is a Computer Network and Other Lessons from General Motors’ delayed recall of a Defective Ignition Switch  
Robert Rosen, University of Miami

BP and Deep Water Horizon: Implications for Mass Torts, Sustainable Development and the Power of the Media  
Clara Hackett, Queen’s University Belfast

Getting More than Justice on Paper – An Insurance Based Model to Replace the Bankruptcy Priority as a Solution for the Crisis of Unpaid Wages  
Omer Kimhi, Haifa University Faculty of Law

The Restatement (Second) of Contracts §211: Unfulfilled Expectations and the Future of Modern Standardized Consumer Contracts  
Eric Zacks, Wayne State University Law School

CRN: 28
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Galerie 3

**Chair:** Meredith Rountree, Northwestern Law

**Participants:**
Mario Barnes, University of California-Irvine  
Elizabeth Mertz, University of Wisconsin, Madison  
Meredith Rountree, Northwestern Law  
Shauhin Talesh, University of California, Irvine

In recent decades, the legal academy has begun to incorporate forms of empirical scholarship and even training. In this open roundtable, we invite members of CRN 28 and others interested in the topic to join with us in contemplating how and whether translation of social science for legal scholars and for law students works. What should the goal of such translations be? What contributes to success and failure (once we have defined what success and failure mean in this context)? Can the legal academy act as an incubator for innovative forms of collaboration or hybrid methods -- and what about the law's own epistemological and normative specializations? Roundtable speakers will kick off the discussion by considering
the languages of law and of social science in terms of these questions.

**Primary Keyword:** New Legal Realism


**Paper Session**

**Room:** Regent

**Primary Keyword:** Religion and Law

**Presentations:**

- Religious Associations in a Democratic Society: A Political Theology
  **Victor M. Muniz-Fraticelli, McGill University**

- The New Religious Freedom, Corporate Religion, and Sovereignty: A View from Hosanna-Tabor and Hobby Lobby
  **Matthew Scherer, George Mason University**

- The Trinity Western University Law School Proposal – Exploring the Relationship between TWU and the Law Societies of Canada as an Interaction between Communities
  **Blair Major, McGill University**

**Representing Social Science to Government and the Public**

**Public Outreach Session**

**Room:** Salon D

**Chair:** Richard Lempert, University of Michigan

**Participants:**

- Neil Canfield, National Science Foundation (NSF)
- Robert Dingwall, Academy of Social Sciences (UK)
- Wendy Naus, Consortium of Social Science Assns (COSSA)
- Felice Levine, American Educational Research Association (AERA) / Consortium of Social Science Assns (COSSA)

Even in the best of times the social and behavioral sciences compete with natural sciences and applied disciplines for public resources. What has changed in recent years is that some in the U.S. Congress have attempted to drastically reduce the capacity of the National Science Foundation to fund social and behavioral science research and have also threatened social science funding by other agencies. Coupled with this has been a proliferation of government “wastebooks” which invariably single out some social research projects as exemplars of work the government should not be supporting. Both the social science community and the NSF have pushed back against the threat to social science funding when they have found criticism of social science funding unjustified. UK social science has been under similar pressures since the 1980s and has developed considerable experience with more proactive approaches to legislators and other stakeholders. However, this is a source of tension among social scientists over the balance between ‘constructive engagement’ and outright confrontation.

This panel features four people who have been in the forefront of these efforts. Wendy Naus is the Executive Director of the Consortium of Social Science Associations (COSSA), of which LSA is a governing member. COSSA lobbies for more adequate social science funding on behalf of its member organizations and seeks to better communicate the value of social science research to relevant publics. Felice Levine, a past president of LSA, is the Executive Director of the American Educational Research Association and the current Chair of COSSA’s Board of Directors. In both capacities she has been a leader in increasing understanding of and generating support for the social and behavioral science. Neil Canfield works in NSF’s Office of Legislative and Public Affairs, where he communicates on behalf of NSF the value of the SBE sciences, including coordinating the agency’s response when projects funded by NSF’s SBE directorate are criticized as wasteful. Robert Dingwall, longtime LSA member, is a Fellow and Council member of the Academy of Social Sciences in the UK, which oversees the Campaign for Social Science. All four have fascinating stories to tell. Richard Lempert, another past president of LSA and moderator of this session, is LSA’s COSSA representative and has also played a role in these activities. Come learn more about ongoing threats to the social and behavioral sciences and ways that audience members can assist in countering unwarranted criticisms.

**Tax Session 3: Comparative Tax Issues**

CRN: 31

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Salon H-G

**Chair/Disc:** Danshera Cords, Albany Law School

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation

**Presentations:**

- A Tale of Four Chinese Law Firms: Invoice-Collection and Collaborated Tax Evasion
  **Huina Xiao, City University of Hong Kong**

- Does One Size Really Fit All? A Comparative Study of the Transfer Pricing Frameworks of Brazil, India, and South Africa
  **Jose Ibarra, Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, University of London**

- Taxing Social Change in New Zealand
  **Lisa Marriott, Victoria University of Wellington**
The Major Problems of the Current Chinese Individual Income Taxation and Its Possible Reform
ZHIGANG HONG, Shanghai University of International Business & Economics, School of Law

The Future of Law and Society in Latin America
IRC: 8
Paper Session

Room: Salon F
Chair: Rachel Sieder, CIESAS
Disc: Javier Couso, University Diego Portales/Utretch University

Primary Keyword: Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law

Presentations:
- Disobeying the Law in Latin America
  Mauricio Garcia Villegas, Universidad Nacional de Colombia
- Human Rights and Legal Institutions in Latin America
  Karina Mariela Ansolabeher, FLACSO-Mexico
- Indigenous Struggles, Legal Pluralities and Fragmented Sovereignties: Reflections on Law, Illegality and the State in Latin America
  Rachel Sieder, CIESAS
- Supreme and Constitutional Courts in Latin America: Directions in Constitutional Justice
  Francisca Pou Gimenez, ITAM
- The Armed Forces, Civil-Military Relations, and the Rule of Law in Latin American Third Wave Democracies
  Julio Rios-Figueroa, CID, Mexico City

The Politics of Respectability and Immigrants
CRN: 2
Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 4
Chair: Angela Banks, William and Mary

Participants:
- Muneer Ahmed, Yale University
- Elizabeth Keyes, University of Baltimore School of Law
- Jennifer Lee, Temple University Beasley School of Law
- Mariela Olives, Howard University School of Law
- Jayesh Rathod, American University Washington College of Law

Social scientists have thoroughly interrogated the politics of respectability as a strategy for responding to marginalization. The majority of this scholarship has focused on African Americans, but it is equally applicable to any social group facing marginalization. The legalist strand of the politics of respectability argues for equal rights based on the marginalized group having the same values, norms, and practices as mainstream society. Legal scholars have been slow to examine the existence of a politics of respectability within immigrant communities. This roundtable will feature legal scholars whose research examines how immigrants are framed within American society, the impact framing has on immigrants’ legal rights, and framing strategies. The participants will explore whether these framing strategies qualify as the politics of respectability, challenges with successfully deploying these strategies, and the broader, perhaps unintentional, consequences of these strategies.

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

The Punitive State III: Death Penalty, Wrongful Convictions, and Punishing Violent Crimes
CRN: 27
Paper Session

Room: Studio 2
Chair/Disc: Natalie A. Pifer, University of California, Irvine

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
- Examining the Accumulation of Racial Disparities within Death Penalty Institutions: A Multi-stage Analysis of Case-Processing Outcomes
  Nick Petersen, University of Miami
- From Death Row to ‘Official’ Innocence - How Wrongfully Convicted Capital Prisoners are Exonerated in China
  Michelle Miao, University of Nottingham
- From Pervert to Predator: Defining and Regulating the Sexually Violent Predator in California
  Rebecca DiBennardo, UCLA
- The American Adversarial System and Wrongful Convictions
  Myeonki Kim, University of Wisconsin Law School
- The Continued Punitive Turn for Violent Crimes
  Nazgol Ghandnoosh, The Sentencing Project
  Ashley Nellis, The Sentencing Project
The Role of Law and Policies for Environment and Humanities At Risk
Paper Session

Room: Riverview I
Chair: Myanna Dellinger, University of South Dakota School of Law
Disc: Vasuki Nesiah, NYU Gallatin

Primary Keyword: Disasters

Presentations:

An “Act of God”? Rethinking Contractual Impracticability in an Era of Anthropogenic Climate Change
Myanna Dellinger, University of South Dakota School of Law

Emergencies, Crises, and Social Change: Preservative-Transformative Tensions and the Purpose(s) of Governance
Andrew Brighten, U.C. Berkeley

International Response to Natural Disasters: Challenges and Opportunities
Chien-yu Liu, Georgetown University Law Center

“A Decade After Devastation: A Critique of New Orleans’ Rebuilding Process”
Cassandra Shepard, Northwestern University

Undocumented Immigrant Youth Mobilization in the Formation of a National Social Movement
CRN: 21
Paper Session

Room: Studio 6
Chair/Disc: Sheri-Lynn Kurisu, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Primary Keyword: Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

Presentations:

Civil Disobedience as a Cross-Generational Social Movement Strategy: The Case of Undocumented Immigrant Youth Activism
Kevin Escudero, Brown University

Deconstructing Illegality: Latino Undocuactivists Mobilizing for Change in the U.S.
Joanna Perez, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

What Is Newsworthy and of Public Interest: A World View
CRN: 45
Roundtable Session

Room: Studio 8
Chair: Bryna Bogoch, Bar Ilan University
Amy Gajda, Tulane University

Participants:
RonNell Andersen Jones, Brigham Young University
Andras Koltay, Pazmany Peter Catholic University
Lyrissa Lidsky, University of Florida
Mary-Rose Papandrea, University of North Carolina
David Rolph, University of Sydney
Sonja West, University of Georgia

News organizations and other media have long defended their right to determine what news or information should be made available to the public, and freedom of expression has often been used to bolster the claims by these organizations to publish information that they deem to be newsworthy, despite potentially harmful effects on individuals. The question is whether the publication of emotionally harmful but truthful information that is merely in the public interest and not necessarily of public concern should be protected; the answer—to protect the media’s judgment or to protect the individual—has a profound impact on media and journalism around the world, especially in light of the increasing concern about media ethics in the digital age. This roundtable of experts on media law from different countries will explore the issue globally, looking at incidents of perceived privacy and other harmful personal invasions and how courts internationally have responded.

Primary Keyword: Popular Culture, Media, Finance, and The Arts

When The Bullet Hits The Road: Understanding Illicit Gun Behavior and Perceptions of Legal Fairness Among Active and Detained Gun Offenders
Paper Session

Room: Balcony J
Chair/Disc: Jennifer Carlson, University of Toronto

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
Guns and inequality: Perceptions of disparate treatment and outcomes among detained gun offenders
Melissa Barragan, University of California, Irvine

Prohibited Persons and the Law: Interrogating how felons experience the label “prohibited person,” and how it impacts their perception of the efficacy and legitimacy of the Law.
Kelsie Chesnut, University of California, Irvine

Self-Control Measurement and Men’s Use of Guns
Carol Newark, UC Irvine

35-Years Later, What Have We Learned About ‘Language, Audience, and the Transformation of Disputes’?
Roundtable Session
Room: Bonaparte
Chair: Christine Harrington, New York University

Participants:
Matthew Canfield, New York University, Department of Anthropology
David Engel, University at Buffalo, SUNY, School of Law
Carol Greenhouse, Princeton
Anna-Maria Marshall, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

2016 marks the 35th year anniversary of Lynn Mather and Barbara Yngvesson’s now classic article, “Language, Audience, and the Transformation of Disputes,” published in the Law & Society Review. This roundtable examines dispute processing theory and empirical research in the Law & Society field since 1980, when their article appeared. Specifically, what larger social forces (i.e., cultural, economic, political, and legal) play a significant role in the framing of particular grievances as “legal disputes”? What affect do legal institutions and actors have on expanding or reducing “access to justice,” and for whom? How do participants in these processes perceive law in terms of redressing or exacerbating social inequalities? Participants will formally respond to these questions, as well as those presented by the discussant and the audience.

Primary Keywords: Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

Thursday June 2
2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

CRN: 30
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session
Room: Salon E

Author: Intisar Rabb, Harvard University

Chair: Tamir Moustafa, Simon Fraser University

Readers:
Dan Smail, Harvard University
Nurfadzilah Yahaya, National University of Singapore
Adnan Zulfiqar, University of Pennsylvania
Jedidiah Kroncke, FGV Sao Paulo

The importance of Islamic criminal law to the sociolegal community has increased in recent years, as applications of that system of law has spread in the past decade together with attempts to understand its origins and impact. This author-meets-reader panel will explore the book Doubt in Islamic Law (2015) by Intisar Rabb. The panel brings together leading scholars of both Islamic and other types of criminal law from both medieval and modern periods. Each will help contextualize the new versions of Islamic criminal law and the related rules of reasonable doubt that have emerged in the past decade in the Muslim world. It turn out that doubt is centrally important to Islamic law of any era, according to the author, and it is thus worth teasing out whether and how doubt helps construct systems of law in response to societal pressures as the author argues in her book.

In the book, the author uncovers the lost history of doubt. This history calls into question a popular notion about Islamic law – which some have upheld and promoted and others have criticized and opposed. The notion is that Islamic law is a divine legal tradition that has little room for discretion or doubt, particularly in Islamic criminal law.

Primary Keywords: Islamic Law and Society

Consumers’ Reactions to Financial Problems: Multi-National Perspectives
CRN: 25
Paper Session
Room: Studio 10

Chair/Disc: Edward Janger, Brooklyn Law School
**Primary Keyword:** Household Finance

**Presentations:**
- Creative families: coping strategies to navigate through a sea of financial distress
  - Catarina Frade, Faculty of Economics - University of Coimbra
  - Lina Coelho, Faculty of Economics - University of Coimbra
- Exploring a gender dimension in household financial negotiation processes
  - Miguel Oliveira, Centro de Estudos Sociais - University of Coimbra
  - Fernanda Jesus, Center for Social Studies - University of Coimbra
  - Catarina Frade, Faculty of Economics - University of Coimbra
  - Raquel Ribeiro, Center for Social Studies - University of Coimbra
- Healthy financial behavior and it’s influential factors
  - Tamara Madern, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences
  - Nadja Jungmann, Hogeschool Utrecht
- Ownership flats – an unsure investment in an over-indebted Sweden
  - Karin Lundström Florin, Örebro University
  - Karin Blad, Örebro University

**Current Legal Issues in Asia and the Americas II: Access to Justice.**
**CRN:** 1
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 3

**Disc:** Fernanda Duarte, UNESA e INCT/InEAC/PROPRI/UFF
Rafael Mario Iorio Filho, Universidade Estácio de Sá e INCT-InEAC

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**
- Access to Justice in Asia and in Latin America: Comparative Perspectives on Japanese and Brazilian Legal Aid Services
  - Cleber Alves, Universidade Federal Fluminense
  - Diogo Esteves, Universidade Federal Fluminense
- Evolution of Class Actions for a Balanced Environment and Animal Rights
  - Lucía Frota Pestana de Aguiar Silva, Universidade Estácio de Sá
- The Application of Judicial Precedents System in the Brazilian Legal System Against the New Civil Procedure Code
  - Maria Carolina Amorim, UNESA - Universidade Estácio de Sá
- The Impact of Simplification of Judicial Procedures on Access to Judicial Systems: The Case of Colombian Abstract Judicial Review
  - Hernan Correa-Cardozo, Universidad de los Andes
- The National Council of Justice and its role in the Brazilian Judiciary Branch
  - Shirley Santos, Estácio de Sá
- The Procedure in the North American and in the Brazilian Systems - A Brief Comparative Analysis
  - Carlos Nascimento, Universidade Estácio de Sá

**Ethnographic Explorations of Illegality, Penalty, and Risk/Security (Part II)**
**CRN:** 3, 27
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Balcony M

**Chair:** Robert Werth, Rice University

**Disc:** William Garriott, Drake University

**Primary Keyword:** Ethnography

**Presentations:**
- Addicted to Punishment: Racialized Drug Rehabilitation and the Governance of Inequality
  - Allison McKim, Bard College
- Beyond the Actuarial and Asymmetric: Considering Affect, Rapport and Intimacy within Parole
  - Robert Werth, Rice University
- Doing Innocence, Doing Gender: Criminal Masculinities, Accountability, and the Gender Consequences of Aggressive Policing
  - Forrest Stuart, University of Chicago
- Reentry to Nothing: Urban Marginality in the Shadows of Mass Incarceration
  - Alessandro De Giorgi, Department of Justice Studies, San Jose State University
- Risk/Averse: Pretrial Risk and Pretrial Incarceration in New Orleans, Louisiana
  - Kaya Williams, University of Chicago
Formal Institutions As Instruments of Social Movements
CRN: 21
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 6

**Chair:** Lynette Chua, National University of Singapore

**Disc:** Michael McCann, University of Washington

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**
- Public Law, Democratization and Protest – The Case of Occupy Boise
  **Glenn Patmore,** Melbourne Law School, The University of Melbourne
- The Dance of Policy Argumentation: Framing Marriage for Different Policy Venues
  **Shauna Fisher,** West Virginia University
- The Second Phase: Positioning the LGBT Rights Movement to Bridge the Gap between Formal and Lived Equality
  **Leonore Carpenter,** Temple University Beasley School of Law
- What a Long, Strange Trip it’s Been: The U.S. Supreme Court and Marriage Equality
  **Gerald Rosenberg,** University of Chicago

Gender and Judging in Muslim Courts
CRN: 32 and IRC: 20
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 8

**Chair/Disc:** Monika Lindbekk, University of Oslo

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Judging

**Presentations:**
  **Jessica Carlisle,** University of Manchester
- Inscribing Islamic Shari’a in Egyptian State Law: The Case of Divorce
  **Monika Lindbekk,** University of Oslo
- Muslim Judges at the Road of Intersection
  **Nahda Shehada,** Institute of Social Studies
- The Moroccan Women’s Judges Club: To What Extent has it Shaped the Application of the 2004 Family Law?
  **Dörthe Kirsten Engelcke,** University of Göttingen
- What Do Sulh (Mediation) Sessions Tell Us About Gender and the Management of Disputes in Islamic Judiciaries? Some Ethnographic Perspectives from Malaysia
  **Michael Peletz,** Emory University

Gender, War, and Peacebuilding
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Balcony K

**Chair:** Valorie Vojdik, University of Tennessee College of Law

**Participants:**
- Halla Shoaibi, Birzeit University
- Valorie Vojdik, University of Tennessee College of Law

This roundtable will explore new and emerging theory and research regarding the role of gender in conflict and peace building. Over the past twenty years, feminist theorists and activists have made visible the role of gender in conflict and peace building, leading to legal and policy reforms that seek to address the ways in which women experience the burdens and costs of conflict. Global advocacy by feminist scholars, for example, has resulted in reforms in international criminal law aimed at eliminating impunity for sexual violence against women in war. More recently, social and legal theorists have moved beyond stereotypical conceptions of women as victims, recognizing the more complex and varied roles that women occupy during and after armed conflict. In recent conflicts, for example, women have been participants in war, in regular armed forces, as guerillas and freedom fighters, and often as child soldiers.

This roundtable will identify the ways in which the role of women in war is being reconceptualized, both by scholars and international legal systems. What do recent conflicts tell us about the contemporary roles of women in and at war? How have recent conflicts informed our understandings of the gendered aspects of conflict and peace building? What legal changes need to be implemented to address the needs of female combatants, including girl soldiers? How can various stakeholders engaged in peace building better address the needs and roles of women to promote gender equality while facilitating peace and stability?

The roundtable participants include legal scholars on gender, conflict, and international law, as well an international expert in gender and conflict who has worked with numerous NGOs in Afghanistan and Iraq. Participants and attendees will work together, building on their research and experience on the ground, to identify and explore emerging new theories of gender and conflict in scholarship, law, and peace building efforts.

**Primary Keyword:** War and Law including Security and Terrorism
Human Rights in Regional and National High Courts: Studies of Doctrinal Development in Europe and the Americas

Paper Session

Room: Balcony L

Primary Keyword: Human Rights

Presentations:
- Evolving Patterns of Judicial Activism in Brazil and Colombia
  Sandra Botero, Willamette University
  Rodrigo Nunes, St. Edward's University
- Guilty of Complicity: Strengthening Accountability for Extraordinary Renditions on European Soil
  Gabriele Marino, Bocconi University - Milan (Italy)
  Arianna Vedaschi, Bocconi University - Milan (Italy)
  Ilias Trispiotis, University of Leeds
  Danielle Anne Pamplona, Puc-PR, Brazil

Institutional Injustices: Discretion and Detention in Immigration Enforcement

CRN: 2

Paper Session

Room: Galerie 1

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

Presentations:
- A Need for more Balanced Immigration Enforcement: Moving Away from Mass Detention Policies
  Sel Cowger, Temple Beasley School of Law
- A Reciprocal Theory of Legal Consciousness and Procedural Justice: Central American Experiences in Immigration Court
  Maya Barak, American University
- Justice Delayed: Immigrant Incarceration & Detention
  Hillary Mellinger, American University

Interface of Law and Economy in East Asia

CRN: 33

Paper Session

Room: Galerie 5

Chair: Eri Osaka, Toyo University

Disc: Annelise Riles, Cornell Law School

Primary Keyword: Economy, Business and Society

Presentations:
- A Tale of Two Organizational Forms – A Review of the Introduction of Japanese Limited Liability Company (J-LLC) and Japanese Limited Liability Partnership (J-LLP)
  Takashi Shimizu, The University of Tokyo
- Challenges of an Aging Japan: How to Maintain the Working Force?
  Caslav Pejovic, Kyushu University
- Labor Arbitration Theory and Practice in China During Period of 2007-2010
  Jianyong Li, Shanghai University
- Race and Ethnicity as Seen in Okinawa’s Jury Trials under the US Occupation
  Anna Dobrovolskaia, Independent Scholar
- Welcome Economics, Goodbye Foreign Laws?: Assessing Trends in Corporate Law Research in Japan using Citation Data
  Manabu Matsunaka, Graduate School of Law, Nagoya University

International Law and Environmental Justice: The Intersections of Race, Gender, Poverty and Indigeneity

CRN: 23

Paper Session

Room: Galerie 2

Chair/Disc: Sheila Foster, Fordham Law School

Primary Keyword: International Law and Politics

Presentations:
- “Sacrifice Zones” in the Green Energy Economy
  Dayna Nadine Scott, Osgoode Hall Law School
Environmental Racism, American Exceptionalism, and International Law
Carmen Gonzalez, Seattle University School of Law

International Law, Environmental Justice, and the Rights of Women and Girls in Mining
Sara Seck, Western University

Climate Justice and Vulnerable Groups: Synergies, Strategies and Challenges
Sumudu Atapattu, University of Wisconsin Law School

**Intimate Ordering After Obergefell**
CRN: 7
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 9

**Chair:** Elizabeth Kukura, Temple University Beasley School of Law
**Disc:** Michael Boucai, SUNY Buffalo Law School

**Primary Keyword:** Family and Youth

**Presentations:**
- Consideration of Genetic Connections in Child Custody Disputes between Same-Sex Parents: Fair or Foul?
  Jessica Feinberg, Mercer University School of Law
- Marital Status Nondiscrimination and Its Relationship to Marriage
  Courtney Joslin, UC Davis School of Law
- Second Order Questions About Marital Choice
  Kaiponane Matsumura, Arizona State University - Sandra Day O’Connor College of Law
- The Fear of Foreign Husbands; The Gendered Aspects of US Marital Immigration Law
  Marcia Zug, University of South Carolina School of Law
- Unbundling and Decoupling Marital Rights
  Albertina Antognini, University of Kentucky College of Law
  Andrew Woods, University of Kentucky College of Law

**Comparing Legal Professions 30 Years After “Lawyers in Society”**
IRC: 41
Paper Session

**Room:** Balcony J

**Chair:** Ole Hammerslev, University of Southern Denmark, Department of Law
  Hilary Sommerlad, University of Leeds

**Disc:** Rick Abel, UCLA School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Professions and Other Legal Services Providers

**Presentations:**
- Judges in Society
  Rosemary Hunter, Queen Mary University of London
- Present and Future - A Revised Sociological Portrait of the Indian Legal Profession
  Swethaa S. Ballakrishnen, New York University Abu Dhabi
- Redress for Lawyers’ Professional Negligence: Insurance, Claims, and Resolution across Countries
  Herbert Kritzer, University of Minnesota Law School
- The Changing Legal Services Landscape in England & Wales
  Steven Vaughan, Birmingham Law School
- When and Why do Legal Professions Seek to Influence Law?
  Lynn Mather, SUNY Buffalo Law School
  Leslie Levin, University of Connecticut Law School

**Law, Society, and Psychological Science, Part 1**
IRC: 29
Paper Session

**Room:** Bacchus

**Chair:** Victor Quintanilla, Indiana University
**Disc:** Richard Wiener, University of Nebraska

**Primary Keyword:** Civil Justice and Disputing

**Presentations:**
- An Experimental Investigation of the Contexts and Mechanisms Shaping the Procedural Preferences of Judges When Triaging Family Law Disputes.
  Victor Quintanilla, Indiana University
  Amy Applegate, Indiana University, Maurer School of Law
- Mary Murphy, Indiana University
  Jim Sherman, Indiana University, Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences
- Diversity Policies and Discrimination Claims: Evidence from Social Psychology
  Tessa Dover, University of California, Santa Barbara
  Cheryl Kaiser, University of Washington
  Brenda Major, UC Santa Barbara
  Victor Quintanilla, Indiana University
System Justification and Housing Bias Against Sexual Minorities
Jordan Blenner, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Richard Wiener, University of Nebraska

Understanding Judgments of Racial Discrimination: The Role of Intent and Harm and Anti-discrimination Law
Aaron Moss, Tulane University
Meagan Magaldi, Tulane University
Laurie O’Brien, Tulane University
Stefanie Simon, Carleton College

Judicial Decision-Making
Paper Session

Room: Balcony I

Chair/Disc: Charlton Copeland, University of Miami

Primary Keyword: Judges and Judging

Presentations:
Can You Bury Ideology? An Empirical Analysis of Policy Preferences at One of the World’s Most Overworked Apex Courts
Alexander Hudson, University of Texas at Austin, and FGV Law School Rio de Janeiro
Ivar A. Hartmann, FGV Law School Rio de Janeiro

Lawmaking, Legitimacy, and Legislative Commentary
Missy Lonegrass, LSU Law Center

The Racialized Consequences of Compliance on Mental Health Court Completion
Lindsey Beach, University of Washington

Using Risk and Needs Assessment Information at Sentencing: Observations from Ten Jurisdictions
Jennifer Elek, National Center for State Courts

Law and Violence in the Colonial State: Boundaries and Legacies
Paper Session

Room: Studio 1

Chair/Disc: Sara Dezalay, Goethe University

Primary Keyword: Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

Presentations:
Lawyers for Slaves: The North Carolina Bar, 1830-1861
Barbara Fedders, University of North Carolina School of Law
Alfred Brophy, University of North Carolina School of Law

Penal Law in Mandate Palestine: A Question of Interpretation and Negotiation
Ran A. Levy, Tel Aviv University

What Did Law Do to Colonial Domination and Violence? A Reflection Based on the Case of Colonial Algeria
Laure Blevis, Université Paris Ouest / ISP

Legal Culture and Change
Paper Session

Room: Galvez

Chair/Disc: Malcolm Feeley, University of California-Berkeley

Primary Keyword: Legal Culture

Presentations:
Constitutive Legal Change: Recognizing State Harms through Activating the Record of Law
Jennifer Balint, The University of Melbourne

Images of Cosmopolitan Law
Antonios E. Platsas, Higher School of Economics - National Research University

Law and Social Change Beyond Institutionalism: Pakistan
muhammad azeem, lahore university of management sciences

Reassembling Law and Unveiling a Legal Concept of ‘Culture’: Indigenous Perspectives on the Construction of Cultural Difference in Sentencing Law
Mary Spiers Williams, Australian National University

The Law of the Jungle: Hobo Courts in Prewar America
Brian Frye, University of Kentucky College of Law

Legal Temporalities in Colonial Contexts
CRN: 22
Paper Session

Room: Studio 4

Chair/Disc: Pooja Parmar, University of Victoria

Primary Keyword: British Colonialism

Presentations:
Before and After the Invention: Temporalities in Patent Law and their Effects
Hyo Yoon Kang, University of Kent, UK
Renisa Mawani, University of British Columbia

Law and Anachronistic Space on the Northwest Frontier of Colonial India
Elizabeth Kolsky, Villanova University

Legal Time and Colonial Continuities: The Case of the Madras Maintenance of Public Order Act in India
Kalyani Ramnath, Princeton University

Revisiting Lord Dalhousie’s “Doctrine of Lapse”: Statutes of Limitation and Temporal Duration in East India Company’s Policy toward Hereditary Succession in Native Princely States in mid-19th Century British India
Sudipta Sen, University of California, Davis

**New Developments in Access to Justice Research**

Paper Session

**Room:** Regent

**Chair/Disc:** Rebecca Sandefur, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**
- Analyzing the Role of Judges
  Anna Carpenter, University of Tulsa College of Law
  Alyx Mark, North Central College
  Colleen Shanahan, Temple University Beasley School of Law
- How Does Law Matter?: Exploring How Substantive Law Shapes How People Handle Their Justice Problems
  Rebecca Sandefur, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
- Implications of the Justice Index, www.justiceindex.org
  David Udell, National Center for Access to Justice at Cardozo Law School
- Status, Networks, and Conformity: Corporate Law Firms and Isomorphism in Pro Bono Ties
  Steven Boucher, University of Massachusetts, Amherst
- The Effectiveness and Sustainability of Low Bono Practice
  Tanina Rostain, Georgetown
  Alyse Bertenthal, University of California - Irvine

**Perspectives on Affirmative Action & Diversity**

Paper Session

**Room:** Iberville

**Chair/Disc:** Karen Miksch, University of Minnesota

**Primary Keyword:** Race and Ethnicity

**Presentations:**
- Celebrating Difference; Mandating Color Blindness – Why Ethnic Studies Programs are Constitutionally Sound and Good Public Policy – The Journey from Milliken v. Bradley (II) to Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School District No. 1 and Arizona’s Prohibition of Ethnic Studies
  M. Isabel Medina, Loyola University New Orleans College of Law

- De Facto Segregation, Diversity, and Disparities: Fisher v. Texas, the Remix
  Vinay Harpalani, Savannah Law School
  Shakira Pleasant, Savannah Law School

- How Can the Language of Equality Favor One Race Over Another: How Did Affirmative Action Gain its Prominence as a Key Policy Strategy?
  Tamar Hofnung, Hebrew University of Jerusalem

- The Impacts of Affirmative Action on Employment Disparities in the United States and India
  Samuel Myers, Jr., University of Minnesota
  Vanishree Radhakrishna, High Court of Karnataka

- Why Malia and Sasha Obama Need Affirmative Action
  Sheldon Bernard Lyke, Whittier Law School

**Publishing in the Law & Society Review**

Professional Development Panel

**Room:** Salon D

**Participants:**
- Jeannine Bell, Indiana University Maurer School of Law
  — Bloomington
- Susan Sterett, Virginia Tech NCR
- Margot Young, University of British Columbia

Susan Sterett, Jeannine Bell, and Margot Young, the new editors of the Law and Society Review, will speak about their editing philosophy and experiences from their first several months of reviewing and selecting manuscripts for the journal and will answer questions from the audience.

**Primary Keyword:** Professional Education
Reconciliation and Regulation: Indigenous Law, Rule of Law, and International Law

CRN: 34
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 5

**Chair/Disc:** L. Jane McMillan, St. Francis Xavier

**Primary Keyword:** Indigeneity and First Peoples

**Presentations:**
- Complex System Methodology for Gender Justice Research in Liberia and Australia
  *Veronica Fynn Bruey, Australian National University*
- Reconciliation Without Justice: Competing Timelines in Indigenous Land Claims
  *Lindsey Bell, Royal Holloway, University of London*
- The Irony of Extinguishment Principles: Fragility and Indigenous Property Rights in Australia
  *David Yarrow, Victorian Bar*
- Working with Indigenous Legal Traditions: Reflections on Approaches to Maori Law in Aotearoa - New Zealand
  *Carwyn Jones, Victoria University of Wellington*

White Supremacy as Terrorism: Matching the Resources to the Reality

*Melissa Castillo, Temple University, Beasley School of Law*

**Reimagining Boundaries of Class, Inequality and Social Services**

Paper Session

**Room:** Bonaparte

**Chair/Disc:** Leslie Garfield, Pace Law School

**Primary Keyword:** Class and Inequality

**Presentations:**
- Lawyering for Child Victims – Swedish Gaps and Gains
  *Maria Forsman, Umeå Forum for Studies on Law and Society*
- Listening and learning: Intersectional challenges during courtroom observations
  *Torun Elsrud, Dept of media and journalism*
- The European Approach to Age Discrimination Protection: Fit for Purpose?
  *Mark Butler, Lancaster University*

Redefining the War(s) on Terror: Agency, Resilience, Resistance

Paper Session

**Room:** Salon C

**Chair/Disc:** Tugba Basaran, University of Kent

**Primary Keyword:** War and Law including Security and Terrorism

**Presentations:**
- Educational Institutions and Their Critical Role in the Fight Against Terror
  *Maureen Duffy, University of Calgary*
- International Obligations and National Legislation: The Development of the Canadian Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorist Financing Complex
  *Vanessa Iafolla, University of Alberta*
- The War Against Boko Haram: the U.S. Role in Countering the Spread of Terrorism in Africa
  *Miriam Abaya, Temple University Beasley School of Law*

**Rights, Identities, and Political Cultures**

Paper Session

**Room:** Salon B

**Chair/Disc:** Laura Zlotowski, University of California-Irvine

**Primary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Presentations:**
- Procedural Justice: Why Popular Beliefs Matter
  *Denise Meyerson, Macquarie University*
- Public Discourse, Meaning-Making and the Failure to Protect Transgender Workers
  *Sarah Hampson, University of Washington Tacoma*
- Race, Religion, and Political Community in Public Schools
  *Brian McQuay, University of Washington Tacoma*
- Race Conflict and Justice Dissonance: Prisoner Re-Entry Service Providers’ Perceptions of Law, Justice and Fairness
  *Tanya Whittle, University of Delaware*
**Session I: Rights Making and Taking in the World of Work**
CRN: 8, 47
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 7

**Chair:** Diane Frey, Murphy Institute, CUNY

**Disc:** Nantiya Ruan, University of Denver

**Primary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

**Presentations:**
Defensible Decisions: An Examination of Formalized Criminal Background Checks in Employment Practice
Megan Kurlychek, University at Albany
Shawn Bushway, University at Albany
Megan Denver, University at Albany
Garima Siwach, University at Albany

Rana Plaza, Global Supply Chains and Offshore Manufacturing: Gender Issues
Nabila Khan, International Women's Rights Project

The Workers’ Republic: Rights-Making and the Creation of the National State
Luke Norris, Columbia Law School

Workers’ Rights and Wage Theft: The Practical Application of California’s “Employee-Friendly” Laws
Matthew Fritz-Mauer, University of California, Irvine

**Sovereignty, Colonialism and Post-Colonial (Political) Developments in East Asia**
CRN: 33
Paper Session

**Room:** Galerie 4

**Chair/Disc:** Eugene K B Tan, Singapore Management University

**Primary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

**Presentations:**
Cultural decolonization and restitution of plundered cultural property to its country of origin
Yue Zhang, University of Wisconsin-Madison Law School

From Colony to SAR: The Evolution of Rule of Law Discourse in Hong Kong’s Democratic Development
Stuart Hargreaves, Faculty of Law, the Chinese University of Hong Kong

Money in Taiwanese Politics – A Historical Analysis of Taiwanese Congressional Elections and the Campaign Finance Law (From 1935 to 2016)
Po Liang Chen, University of Washington School of Law

The Dilemmas of Party Politics in Hong Kong: How does Law Lead and Response to Social Change
Haibo Ye, Shenzhen University Law School

The Forms and Meanings of Sovereignty: US Subic Bay Naval Base and the Subic Bay Freeport Zone, Philippines
Victoria Reyes, Bryn Mawr College

**Talking Law and Politics**
Paper Session

**Room:** Balcony N

**Chair:** Jeffrey Dudas, University of Connecticut

**Disc:** Rose Corrigan, Drexel University

**Primary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Presentations:**
A Socio-Legal Examination of The Right to Die: A Cross-Country Comparison
Jill Weinberg, Northwestern University

Higher Counsel: Training the Conservative Christian Legal Movement
Joshua Wilson, University of Denver
Amanda Hollis-Brusky, Pomona College

The Radical and the Righteous: Discourses of Anti-Professionalism in Homebirth Midwifery
Renee Cramer, Drake University

The Thin White Duke of Death & The Spider at the Center of a Web: The Joker, Moriarty, and Law and Order in Contemporary America
Jeffrey Dudas, University of Connecticut

**Tax Session 4: Evasion and Compliance**
CRN: 31
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon H-G

**Chair/Disc:** Goldburn Maynard, University of Louisville Brandeis School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation
Thursday 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

**Presentations:**
- Demolition Blues: Anti-Tax Avoidance Measures against a Backdrop of Strong and Weak Purposivism
  - Steven Dean, Brooklyn Law School
  - Lawrence Solan, Brooklyn Law School

- Dream or Nightmare? Securities and Tax Law Compliance for Crowdfunded For-Profit Businesses Relying on the JOBS Act of 2012
  - Danshera Cords, Albany Law School

- Regulating Offshore Tax Evasion: A Theoretical Framework
  - Shu-Yi Oei, Tulane Law School

- Taxpayer Voice
  - Adam Thimmesch, University of Nebraska College of Law

**The Boundaries of Rights and Identities**
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon F

**Primary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Presentations:**
- Guidelines for Communicating Rights to Non-native Speakers of English in Australia, England and Wales, and the USA
  - Margaret van Naerssen, Immaculata University

- Hate Speech Ain’t Free: U.S. and international Perspectives on the Right to be Free from Hatred
  - Robert Zarnetske, University of Massachusetts, Boston

- Repairing the Migrant ‘Crisis’: Rights as Friendship
  - Bal Sokhi-Bulley, Queen’s University Belfast

- Rethinking Rights, Care, and Justice: Lessons From the Disability Movement
  - Laura Back, University of Washington

- Theorizing Law on the Ground: A Comparison of the Implementation of Anti-Bullying Legislation
  - Hana Shepherd, Rutgers Sociology
  - Idit Fast, Rutgers University

- When “Laws Are Important, But Not That Much”: Rights in Conflict in Reproductive Healthcare in Contemporary Turkey
  - Ayse Toksoz, University of Washington

**The Punitive State IV: The State of Criminal Justice Reform**
CRN: 27
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 2

**Chair/Disc:** Mona Lynch, University of California, Irvine

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

**Presentations:**
- Penal Reform in Light of America’s Broader, Hidden Penal State
  - Joshua Kaiser, Northwestern University and American Bar Foundation
  - Sara Wakefield, Rutgers University

- The Contradictions of Criminal Justice Reform
  - Katherine Beckett, University of Washington

- The Hinterland of Sentencing Reform
  - Christopher Seeds, New York University

- The Prison Boom as an Impediment to the Roll Back of Mass Imprisonment
  - John Eason, Texas A&M University

**The State of Rights Scholarship in Law and Society**
Paper Session

**Room:** Riverview I

**Chair:** Jeb Barnes, USC

**Disc:** Jeffrey Dudas, University of Connecticut
  - Jonathan Goldberg-Hiller, University of Hawai`i

**Primary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Presentations:**
- Generalizing Rights Construction and Deployment
  - Scott Barclay, Drexel University
  - Andrew Flores, UCLA School of Law

- Pitting “Rights” against “Rights”: Organization Practices in Response to Stepped-up Civil Rights Enforcement and Guidance Letters
  - Kristin Bumiller, Amherst College

- Rights as Regulation: Organizations as Interpreters of Rights
  - Thomas Burke, Wellesley College
  - Susan Silbey, MIT
Thursday 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.

**Who is Right about Rights? Making Sense of Rights Scholarship**
Jeb Barnes, USC

**We Need to Talk About “Transitional Justice”: Idealism Or Imperialism? Towards A Neo-Colonial Critique of Post-Conflict Trendy Ideology**
Paper Session

**Room:** Galerie 3

**Chair:** Mikkel Christensen, iCourts, Faculty of Law, University of Copenhagen

**Disc:** Mark Goodale, University of Lausanne

**Primary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

**Presentations:**
- Elections as Sacred Rituals
  Gilad Abiri, Yale Law School
- Judicial Decisions and Everyday Life: The Case of Church Closures
  Kevin McMahon, Trinity College
  John R. Reitemeyer, Trinity College
- “The Trumpet That Shall Never Sound Retreat?": Assessing the Christian Conservative Legal Worldview After Obergefell
  Jason Whitehead, California State University, Long Beach
- “You, Judge, Are Not Willing to Obey and Follow the True and Real Law”: Sovereign Citizen Legal Performance as Expressions of Sovereign Religious Conceptions of the Law
  Spencer Dew, Centenary College of Louisiana
  Jamie Wright, Centenary College of Louisiana

A Study of the Child Right in the Context of Law and Society of East Asia: An Experiment of Cross-Cultural Collaboration in Comparative Law
CRN: 33
Paper Session

**Room:** Galerie 6

**Chair:** Amy Huey-Ling Shee, National Chung Cheng University

**Disc:** Yoshiharu Matsuura, Graduate School of Law, Nagoya University

**Primary Keyword:** East Asia

**Presentations:**
- A Method of Collaborative Communication for a Comparison of Law and Society in a Glocalized Context
  Yoshiharu Matsuura, Graduate School of Law, Nagoya University
- Children’s Voice in Healthcare Decision-making: A Comparison between China and Taiwan
  Wei Ouyang, National Chung Cheng University
- Juvenile Justice in Taiwan
  Kuo-hsing Hsieh, National Chung Cheng University
- Realize the Right of Inclusive Education for Children with Disabilities through Changing the Law, Environment and the Minds of People Related
  XIANGSHUN DING, Renmin University of China Law School

**Religion and Decision-Making**
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon C

**Chair/Disc:** Leilah Vevaina, The Max Planck Institute for Religious and Ethnic Diversity

**Primary Keyword:** Religion and Law
Thursday 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.

Sharing Global Information on Child Right: focused on “Parental Rights” and “Phishing”
Amy Huey-Ling Shee, National Chung Cheng University
Chuan-Chi Kuo, National Chung Cheng University

AMR: Jeb Barnes and Thomas F. Burke - “How Policy Shapes Politics”
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Salon E

Author: Jeb Barnes, USC
Thomas Burke, Wellesley College

Chair: Charles Epp, University of Kansas

Readers:
Charles Epp, University of Kansas
Anna Kirkland, University of Michigan
Carroll Seron, University of California, Irvine
Shaunin Talesh, University of California, Irvine

Judicialization, juridification, legalization—whatever term they employ, scholars around the world are fascinated by the increasingly powerful role of courts, litigation and legal rights in social life and seek to understand its implications for politics. How Policy Shapes Politics (Oxford University Press, 2015) argues that claims about the effects of judicialization are inherently comparative, so that scholars who seek to probe its effects need to adopt research designs that compare judicialized and non-judicialized politics and policy. Accordingly, the book analyzes the field of injury compensation in the United States, in which judicialized policies operate side-by-side with bureaucratized social insurance programs. The authors find no evidence to support some of the most familiar claims about the turn to courts, litigation and legal rights—that the allure of law traps activists in its spell, that court victories produce paralyzing backlashes, or that law-focused public policy is more prone to path dependency than bureaucratic alternatives. In many respects the politics of rights and litigation does not look much different from other forms of politics.

Nonetheless, in the field of injury compensation judicialization has had powerful political consequences. By dividing social interests into victims and villains, winners and losers, judicialization has generated a fractious, chaotic politics in which even seeming allies-business and professional groups on one side, injured victims on the other-sometimes became divided amongst themselves. By contrast, social insurance programs that compensate for injury have brought social interests together, narrowing the scope of conflict and over time producing a more technocratic politics.

Primary Keyword: Civil Justice and Disputing

Contending Visions of Islamic Law and Society in the 20th and 21st Centuries
CRN: 30
Paper Session

Room: Studio 5

Chair: Seval Yildirim, Whittier Law School

Disc: Nurfadzilah Yahaya, National University of Singapore

Primary Keyword: Islamic Law and Society

Presentations:
A Supreme Court is not Enough: Re-evaluating the Role of the Egyptian Supreme Constitutional Court in Reconciling Islamic Shari’a with Modern Constitutionalism
Ahmed Elsayed, University of Copenhagen - Currently Fellowship at NYU

Hey...You Guys are Married (!) Regulating Sexuality through Legitimizing Marriage and Children in Bangladesh
MD ANISUR RAHMAN, National University of Singapore (NUS)

Islamic Law & the Duty to Fight: States, Non-State Actors and Jihad’s Evolution
Adnan Zulfiqar, University of Pennsylvania

The Implications of Weber’s Theory on Persian Law for Islamic Constitutionalism in Iran
Maliheh Zare, NYU School of Law

Book Introduction Session: Critical Engagements and Visions in International Law
CRN: 23
Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 2

Chair: Sundhya Pahuja, University of Melbourne

Books and Authors:
Shawkat Alam, Sumudu Atapattu, Carmen G. Gonzalez, and Jona Razzaque (eds), International Environmental Law and the Global South (Cambridge University Press, 2015) [presented by Carmen Gonzalez]

Sumudu Atapattu, Human Rights Approaches to Climate Change: Challenges and Opportunities (Routledge, 2015)

Olivia Barr, A Jurisprudence of Movement: Common Law, Walking, Unsettling Place (Routledge, 2016)


Luis Eslava, Michael Fakhri, and Vasuki Nesiah (eds), Bandung, Global History and International Law: Critical Pasts and Pending Futures (Cambridge University Press, 2016) [presented by Michael Fakhri]

Ben Golder, Foucault and the Politics of Rights (Stanford University Press, 2015)

Markus Gunneflo, Targeted Killing: A Legal and Political History (Cambridge University Press, 2016)


James Parker, Acoustic Jurisprudence: Listening to the Trial of Simon Bikindi (Oxford University Press, 2015)

Primary Keyword: International Law and Politics

Book Panel - Introducing New Books in Realist and Empirical Legal Methods
CRN: 28
Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 3

Books and Authors:


Riaz Tejani: Marketing Justice: Neoliberal Access and the For Profit Law School, Stanford University Press (May 2016)


Richard Rottenburg (for co-editors Sally Merry, Sung-Joon Park, and Johanna Mugler): The World of Indicators: The Making of Governmental Knowledge through Quantification, Cambridge University Press (September 2015)

Primary Keyword: New Legal Realism

Primary Keyword: New Legal Realism

Book Panel - Introducing New Books in East Asian Law and Society
CRN: 33
Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 5


Chaihark Hahm and Sung Ho Kim, “Making We the People: Democratic Constitutional Founding in Postwar Japan and South Korea”, Cambridge University Press, 2015

Editors/Authors: Toshihisa TOYODA, Katsumi MATSUOKA, Takayuki Li, and Yuka KANEKO; “Asian Law in Disasters: Towards a Human-Centered Recovery”, Routledge, 2016


Joachim Zekoll and Moritz Bälz, “Formalisation and Flexibilisation in Dispute Resolution”, Brill, 2015

Primary Keyword: East Asia

Examining Interventions to Protect Consumers

CRN: 25

Paper Session

Room: Studio 10

Chair/Disc: Freya Kodar, Faculty of Law, University of Victoria

Primary Keyword: Household Finance

Presentations:

Applying the Federal Civil Debt Collection Framework to Combat Abuses in Criminal Justice Debt Collection
Neil Sobol, Texas A&M University School of Law

Fixes That Fail: The Effects of Some Governmental Interventions on Consumers During in The 2008 Foreclosure Crisis
Judith Fox, Notre Dame Law School

Performance-Based Investor Protection
Lauren Willis, Loyola Los Angeles

Perpetual Debts
Dalie Jimenez, University of Connecticut School of Law

Exercising Influence: The Relevance and Irrelevance of the State in the Creation of International Governance Regimes

Paper Session

Room: Studio 3

Primary Keyword: International Law and Politics

Presentations:

Noncompliance, Renegotiation, and Justice in International Adjudication: A WTO-EU Perspective
Sivan Shlomo Agon, Bar-Ilan University

The governance of data flows through trade agreements
Mira Burri, University of Bern

The Job Market for Justice in the Inter-American Human Rights System
Cosette Creamer, Boston University School of Law
Carolina Alvarez Utoft, Centre of Excellence for International Courts at Faculty of Law of University of Copenhagen

Who Holds Influence Over WTO Jurisprudence?
Krzysztof Pelc, McGill

Gender in Legal Practice

CRN: 32 and IRC: 32

Paper Session

Room: Studio 8

Chair: Swethaa S. Ballakrishnen, New York University Abu Dhabi

Disc: Hilary Sommerlad, University of Leeds

Primary Keyword: Gender and Judging

Presentations:

Gender and What is Made of it: Being a Woman Judge in Turkish Judicial Culture
Seda Kalem, Istanbul Bilgi University

Legal Practice: Dysfunctional Spaces, the Cost of Belonging, and the Possibilities of Change
Paula Baron, La Trobe University

Patronage and Gender Roles in the Inquisitorial Justice in Chile
Jeanne Hersant, Universidad de Playa Ancha
Professor Alice Erh-Soon Tay - Visions of Law and Social Change in Australia's Legal Academy
Susan Bartie, University of Tasmania

The Elastic Ceiling: Gender and Professional Career in Chinese Courts
Sida Liu, University of Wisconsin-Madison
Jiahui Ai, Nanjing University
Chunyan Zheng, Zhejiang University

Gendered Inequality and Violence As Human Rights Problems

Paper Session

Room: Balcony K

Chair/Disc: Margot Young, University of British Columbia

Primary Keyword: Human Rights

Presentations:
“We Will Kill the Men and Take all the Women as Wives”: Gender-Based Violence in Darfur
Gabrielle Ferrales, University of Minnesota
Allison Nobles, University of Minnesota
Hollie Nyseth Brehm, The Ohio State University
Michael Englehart, The Ohio State University

European Human Rights Law on Violence Against Women: Promising Results at the Horizon or Fata Morgana?
Renée Römkens, Atria, National Institute On Gender Equality And Women’s History

International Women’s Rights and Migration: Sex Selection in the United States and India
Sital Kalantry, Cornell Law School

No, It’s Actually about Human Rights in Gaming Journalism: Gamergate, Cyberharassment, and the Dangers of being a Woman on the Internet
Daniel Tagliarina, Lycoming College
Corinne Tagliarina, University of Connecticut

Reading Between the Lines of Rights: A Critical Analysis of International and National Discourse (De) marginalizing Indigenous and Minority Rights to Higher Education
Sahar D. Sattarzadeh, Institute for Reconciliation and Social Justice, University of the Free State

Women’s Involvement in Human Trafficking
Lauren McCarthy, University of Massachusetts Amherst

Human Rights And Institutions: The Organizational Dimensions of Human Rights Violations and Reforms
Paper Session
Room: Iberville
Chair: Leslye Obiora, University of Arizona
Primary Keyword: Human Rights
Presentations:
Human Rights as Reform, Rhetoric and Regulation in Northern Irish Policing
Richard Martin, University of Oxford

Summary executions by Brazilian Police Death Squads: forms of private justice in the city of Salvador, BA
Mariana Possas, Federal University of Bahia
Andrija Almeida, UFBA

The Human Rights of Non-Citizens in Ecuador
Stephen Meili, University of Minnesota Law School

Immigrant Integration in an Era of Executive Action
CRN: 2
Paper Session
Room: Galerie 1
Chair: Stephen Lee, UC Irvine School of Law
Disc: Juliet Stumpf, Lewis and Clark Law School
Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation
Presentations:
Brokering DACA Relief
Stephen Lee, UC Irvine School of Law

Exile as Punishment: Crime-Based Deportation, Post-Conviction Rehabilitative Relief and Executive Action 20 Years After IIRIRA
Annie Lai, University of California, Irvine School of Law

Immigration Legacies, Regional Collaborations, and the Implementation of DACA
Els de Graauw, Baruch College -- CUNY
Shannon Gleeson, Cornell University

The Significance of the Federal in Immigrant Integration
Ming Chen, University of Colorado Law School

Three Ways of Looking at a Marriage
Kerry Abrams, University of Virginia

Imperial Legalities from Below: The Legal Lives of Vagabonds, Fugitives, Debtors, and the Recently Departed
CRN: 15
Paper Session
Room: Studio 6
Chair: Rohit De, Yale University
Disc: Sunil Amrith, Harvard University
Primary Keyword: British Colonialism
Presentations:
Diasporic Deaths And Mobile Legalities In India’s Great Imperial Migration
Julia Stephens, Yale University

Elimination through Law: Settler-Colonialism’s Logic of Elimination and Constitutional Law in Israel
Mazen Masri, City University London
On the Lam: Criminal Fugitives and Mobility in Nineteenth-Century British Imperial Law  
Catherine Evans, Center for History and Economics, Harvard University

Mario Prost, Keele University  
Yoriko Otomo, School of Oriental and African Studies (SOAS) - University of London

Who is To Uphold the Honour of the Crown?  
Thomas McMorrow, University of Ontario Institute of Technology

**Presentations:**  
International Courts, Political Constraints, and the Expansion of Rights: The IACtHR  
Wayne Sandholtz, University of Southern California

Internationalized Trials, Atrocities, and a Sociology of Justifications  
Ron Levi, University of Toronto

Legal Mobilization and International Courts  
Rachel Cichowski, University of Washington

The Archive goes to Strasbourg: Facts, Values and the Limits of Historical Knowledge at the European Court of Human Rights  
Jessica Greenberg, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

**Intended Consequences? The Effects of Legal Reform in Matters of Sex**  
Paper Session

**Room:** Balcony J

**Chair/Disc:** Leonore Carpenter, Temple University Beasley School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**  
Comparing Faith-Based and Secular Schools With Respect to GSAs and Approaches to Creating Safe, Equitable and Inclusive Schools for LGBTQ Students  
Donn Short, University of Manitoba

Foucault, Neo-Conservatism and the Government of Same-Sex Attraction in Uganda  
Michael Ashworth, University of Bristol

Sodomy’s Penumbra  
Joseph Fischel, Yale University

The Law and Politics of Abortion Travel  
Lisa Kelly, Columbia Law School & Center for Reproductive Rights  
Joanna Erdman, Schulich School of Law, Dalhousie University

**International Courts and Society**  
Paper Session

**Room:** Bonaparte

**Chair:** Gregory Shaffer, University of California Irvine

**Disc:** Lisa Sundstrom, University of British Columbia

**Primary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, International and Regional Institutions

**Presentations:**  
A New Look at the Endowment Effect and Why It Matters to the Law  
Kenworthey Bilz, University of Illinois

An Experimental Approach to Understanding Trust in Law and Society  
Kees van den Bos, Utrecht University  
Liesbeth Hulst, VU University Amsterdam

Experiencers, Witnesses, and Evaluators: Confirmation Bias in Title VII Sexual Harassment  
Katherine Kimble, University of Nebraska-Lincoln  
Richard Wiener, University of Nebraska

Loyalties v. Royalties  
Sarah Polcz, Stanford Law School

The Effects of Regarding Others to Have a Mental Disability: Limits of the ADA  
Richard Wiener, University of Nebraska  
Jordan Blenner, University of Nebraska-Lincoln  
Katelyn Farnum, University of Nebraska-Lincoln  
Katherine Kimble, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Judging Parents -- Problems With Legal Enforcement of Helicoptering Parenting Norms
Roundtable Session

Room: Balcony I
Chair: David Pimentel, University of Idaho College of Law

Participants:
Naomi Cahn, GW
David DeLugas, National Association of Parents
Bridget Kevane, Montana State University
Danielle Meitiv, Empower Kids America
Elizabeth Porter, University of Washington School of Law
Diane Redleaf, The Family Defense Center
Zvi Triger, Striks School of Law, The College of Management Academic Studies

In the last generation, parenting norms have shifted strongly in favor of Intensive Parenting, with particular emphasis on protecting children from risks of harm, both real and imagined. Despite a recent backlash against the trend -- based on the concern that coddling children with overprotection, or “helicoptering,” inhibits children’s development and even their welfare -- the law has encouraged it by enforcing the overprotective norms in a variety of legal contexts. Such enforcement generates fear among parents who, desperate to keep custody of their own children, capitulate to these pressures, voluntarily surrendering their [14th amendment] rights to raise their children as they see fit. The coerced conformity in child-rearing practice does violence to pluralism, and to the diverse conceptions of family, in various cultural and socio-economic communities within society. Panelists have advocated reform, mostly through scholarly work, but also by pursuing cases in this area.

Primary Keyword: Family and Youth

New Challenges in Disaster Law and Climate Change Adaptation
CRN: 24
Roundtable Session

Room: Studio 4
Chair: Robert Verchick, Loyola New Orleans Law School

Participants:
Lloyd Burton, University of Colorado, Denver
Yoshida Kunihiko, Hokkaido University
Karen Bradshaw Shulz, Arizona State University Law School
Lisa Sun, Brigham Young University Law School
Michalyn Steele, Brigham Young University

Sometimes we fail to learn the lessons of past disasters and sometimes we learn them too well, as focusing too much on the last disaster can induce myopia and distort resource allocation. This roundtable will give presenters and audience members the chance to consider some of the new challenges in disaster law and climate change adaptation, in light of both past disasters and changing environmental and social conditions. From planning for the resilience of transportation, water, and energy infrastructure to dealing with issues surrounding species translocation to finding ways to protect and compensate vulnerable communities, evacuees, and refugees to developing techniques for informing and engaging communities on critical disaster issues, we will explore emerging and future challenges that will shape disaster risk in the years to come.

Primary Keyword: Disasters

New Corporeal Humanisms: Law & Social Change
Paper Session

Room: Jackson
Chair/Disc: Michael Thomson, University of Leeds

Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law,

Presentations:
Animal Beings: Toward a New Feminist Legal Subjectivity for More-Than-Human Animals
Maneesha Deckha, University of Victoria

Bioethics of Vulnerability: Regulating Gamete Donation and the Rights of the Donor-Conceived Child
Stu Marvel, Emory Law School

Corporeal or Embodied Humanisms?
michael thomson, University of Leeds
Sam Lewis, University of Leeds

Jurisdiction in gender recognition: governing legal embodiment
Chris Dietz, Centre for Law and Social Justice, University of Leeds (UK)

Of Rats and Dogs: The Role of Care Theory in Framing a New Interspecies Ethics
Marie Fox, University of Birmingham

Vulnerability- Navigating the Empowerment/Protection Dichotomy
Beverley Clough, University of Leeds

Perspectives on Law & Social Theory
Paper Session

Room: Galvez

Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law
Readers Consider Aaronson’s “From Slave Abuse to Hate Crime”  
CRN: 27, 44  
Roundtable Session

**Presentations:**

Behavioral Sociology of Law and Behavioral Law and Economics: Why We Need Less Rather Than More Purity  
*Peter Mascini, Erasmus University Rotterdam*

Law and the Politics of Defamation in the Sikh Communities of British Columbia, 1990-2010  
*Bonar Buffam, University of British Columbia Okanagan*

Max Weber and the Possibility of the Constitution of Everyday Subjectivity  
*Peter Swan, Carleton University*

Reparations: Why Payment Doesn’t Adequately Redress Emotional and Symbolic Damage  
*Steve Larocco, Southern Connecticut State University*

The Precarious Legitimacy of Legal Standardization: An Empirical Study of Four Model Public Health Laws  
*Arielle Tolman, Northwestern University*

**Primary Keyword:** Legal History

**Redress and Recognition in Law and Society**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Salon B

**Chair:** *Poulami Roychowdhury, McGill University*

**Disc:**  
*Anna-Maria Marshall, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*

**Primary Keyword:** Disputes and Negotiation

**Presentations:**

Historicizing Marital Status: Struggle and Accommodation in Post-Apartheid South Africa  
*Michael Yarbrough, John Jay College (CUNY)*

Reconciliation and Resistance: The Social and Political Basis of Redress  
*Poulami Roychowdhury, McGill University*

Sovereignty as Redress: Chernobyl, Crimea, and other Territorial Claims in Response to Mass Harm  
*Monica Eppinger, Saint Louis University*

The Moral Economy of Democracy  
*Loka Ashwood, Auburn University, Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology*

Tribal Laws and Same-Sex Marriage  
*Ann Tweedy, Hamline University School of Law*

**Reproducibility, Replicability, and Reliability in Sociolegal Scholarship**  
**Professional Development Panel**

**Room:** Salon D

**Chair:** *Helena Silverstein, National Science Foundation*

**Participants:**

*Jon Gould, National Science Foundation*  
*Devon Johnson, George Mason University*  
*Saul Kassin, John Jay College, CUNY*  
*Thomas M Keck, Syracuse University*  
*Nancy Reichman, University of Denver*  
*Susan Silbey, MIT*

Disciplines across the social, behavioral, and economic sciences are taking steps to encourage reproducible, replicable, and reliable research. Journals and funding agencies, including the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health, are establishing guidelines for data sharing and research transparency. Universities are creating repositories to improve access to data. In some fields, coordinated projects seek to
estimate the reproducibility of published research findings. But efforts to enhance reproducible and replicable research and to increase data sharing are not free of cost. For many types of research, privacy interests and IRB requirements restrict data sharing, and other impediments limit the generation of reproducible and replicable research.

The objective of this roundtable is to generate inter- and cross-disciplinary dialogue concerning the value, variability, and limits of reproducibility, replicability, and reliability in the context of sociolegal research, and the implications of data sharing requirements, transparency efforts, and other practices designed to improve reproducible and replicable research. Among the specific questions roundtable participants will address are the following: To what extent is lack of reproducibility and replicability a problem for sociolegal scholarship? What inhibits reproducible and replicable research? How can reproducibility and replicability be improved and encouraged? What are the costs, implications, and side effects of efforts to reproduce and replicate sociolegal research? Should law and society scholars be expected to generate reproducible and/or replicable research? How do issues of reproducibility and replicability vary across different sociolegal approaches, different institutions, and researchers at different career stages?

**Resisting Stereotypes of Gender and Sexuality At Law**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Regent

**Chair/Disc:** JoAnne Myers, Marist College

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**

- Acting Gay, Acting Straight: Sexual Orientation Stereotyping
  *Luke Boso, University Of San Francisco School Of Law*

- Is Black the New Gay? Sexual Orientation and the Elision of Status and Conduct
  *Zachary Herz, Columbia University*

- Violence Against Transgender People
  *Justin O’Neill, University of California, Berkeley*

  “If You’re in a Committed Relationship with Someone and They’re Unfaithful to You, then It’s Adultery, Yeah?”: Sex and the Civil Partnership
  *Charlotte Bendall, University of Essex*

**Session II: Rights Making and Taking in the World of Work**

**CRN:** 8, 47

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 7

**Chair:** Diane Frey, Murphy Institute, CUNY

**Disc:** Julia Tomassetti, Maurer School of Law, Center for Law, Society, and Culture

**Primary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

**Presentations:**

- Employer Liability for Nonemployee Discrimination
  *Dallan Flake, Brigham Young University*

- Hungarian Labor Law and Industrial Relations Institutions: Flawed and Failed German and EU Transplants
  *András Tóth, Hungarian Institute for Political Science*
  *Marley Weiss, University of Maryland Carey School of Law*

- Mammies, Matriarchs and the Michelle Obama Effect: Black Women and the Salience of Race and Gender in the Contemporary Workplace®
  *Natasha Martin, Seattle University*

- Statutory Interpretation from the Agency Perspective: An Empirical Examination of Statutory Methodologies at the National Labor Relations Board
  *Amy Semet, Princeton University*

  The Changing Professoriate: A Case Study of Legal, Professional, and Administrative Norms in Non-Tenure Track Conditions of Employment
  *Gerald Turkel, University of Delaware*

**Tax Session 5: Deterrence and Enforcement**

**CRN:** 31

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Salon H-G

**Chair/Disc:** Karie Davis-Nozemack, Georgia Tech

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation

**Presentations:**

- Dynamic Deterrence
  *Emily Satterthwaite, University of Toronto Faculty of Law*

- Joint Winners, Separate Losers: Proposals to Ease the Sting for Married Taxpayers Filing Separately
  *Michelle Lyon Drumbl, Washington and Lee University School of Law*
Permitting Abused Spouses to Claim the Earned Income Tax Credit in Separate Returns
Fred Brown, University of Baltimore School of Law

Sex Reassignment Surgery, Etc.: The TaxWoman Cometh
Bobby Dexter, Chapman University Dale E. Fowler School of Law

Traversing Theoretical Borders: New Perspectives on Migrant Inclusion and Exclusion
CRN: 2

Paper Session

Room: Galerie 4

Chair: Miranda Hallett, University of Dayton

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

Presentations:
- Cultural Rights of Native Majorities between Universalism and Minority Rights
  Ruud Koopmans, WZB Berlin Social Science Center
- Four Waves of Exclusion: Rethinking The Mexican Repatriation Program
  Marla Ramirez, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
- “Migration Capital” and “Ethnic Capital” in the Bourdieusian Perspective
  Jaeun Kim, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Vaccine Refusal: Arguments, Beliefs, and Potential Responses to a Growing Public Health Problem

Paper Session

Room: Riverview I

Chair/Disc: James Dillon, UC Berkeley

Primary Keyword: Health and Medicine

Presentations:
- Fighting Against Vaccine Refusal: Strategies, Challenges and Lessons from Australia
  Dorit Rubinstein Reiss, Hastings College of Law
- Individual Choice, Religious Liberty, and the Complicated Relationship between Vaccination and Abortion Politics
  Jennifer Reich, University of Colorado

Refused Medical Treatment for Their Children
Linda Fentiman, Pace University Law School

Vaccines: Benefits and Misconceptions
Frank Welch, Louisiana Office of Public Health

Veterans At The Delta: Returning Military Servicemembers in American Law and Society
Roundtable Session

Room: Balcony N

Chair: Benjamin Pomerance, New York State Division of Veterans’ Affairs

Participants:
- Katrina J. Eagle, The Law Office of Katrina J. Eagle
- John S. Odom, Jones & Odom, LLP
- Patricia E. Roberts, William & Mary Law School
- Michael Wishnie, Yale Law School

Primary Keyword: Access to Justice

Wither Redistribution? Feminism, Neoliberalism, Work, and Family

CRN: 7

Paper Session

Room: Studio 9

Chair: Maxine Eichner, UNC School of Law

Disc: Erez Aloni, Whittier law school

Primary Keyword: Class and Inequality

Presentations:
- A Feminist Anti-Work Critique of Hobby Lobby and Ames
  Jennifer Denbow, California Polytechnic State University

Childcare and the New Parens Patriae
Meredith Harbach, University of Richmond School of Law

Neoliberal Antidiscrimination Law: The Case of Title VII
Deborah Dinner, Emory University School of Law

Unequal Childhoods: The Law of Attachment
Clare Huntington, Fordham Law School
Alternatives and Complements to Traditional Work Law
CRN: 8
Paper Session
Room: Studio 10
Chair/Disc: Kenneth Dau-Schmidt, Indiana University Bloomington - School of Law
Primary Keyword: Labor
Presentations:
ESOPs and Comparative Employee Ownership in Emerging Economies: Pathway or Pitfall for Economic Democracy?
Jedidiah Kroncke, FGV Sao Paulo

Perfecting the Employment Marketplace.
Deepa Das Acevedo, University of Chicago Law School

The Evolving Project of Human Rights Due Diligence, its Implications for Transnational Labor Regulation and the Importance of Rule Intermediaries
Ingrid Landau, University of Melbourne

The Principle of Primacy of Fact in Latin American Work Law
Sergio Gamonal, Adolfo Ibáñez University
César F. Rosado Marzán, IIT-Chicago-Kent College of Law

AMR Salon Session: Aaron Dhir - “Challenging Boardroom Homogeneity: Corporate Law, Governance, and Diversity”
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session
Room: Carondelet - Table 4
Author: Aaron Dhir, Osgoode Hall Law School of York University
Chair: Darren Rosenblum, Pace Law School
Readers:
Afra Afsharipour, UC Davis School of Law
James Fanto, Brooklyn Law School
Amanda Packel, Stanford Law School
Darren Rosenblum, Pace Law School

Challenging Boardroom Homogeneity: Corporate Law, Governance, and Diversity (Cambridge University Press, 2015) empirically investigates regulatory responses to the lack of gender representation in corporate boardrooms using semi-structured interviews with directors and documentary content analysis of securities filings.

Primary Keyword: Corporate and Securities Law

AMR Salon Session: Katharina Heyer - “Rights Enabled: The Disability Revolution, from the US, to Germany and Japan, to the United Nations”
CRN: 40
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session
Room: Carondelet - Table 5
Author: Katharina Heyer, University of Hawai‘i
Chair: Sagit Mor, University of Haifa
Readers:
Lynette Chua, National University of Singapore
David Engel, University at Buffalo, SUNY, School of Law
Anna-Maria Marshall, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
Sagit Mor, University of Haifa

Rights Enabled: The Disability Revolution (University of Michigan Press, 2015) follows the journey of the disability rights model across national and ideological boundaries. It asks: how do rights travel? What medium do they travel by, and how are they transformed once they reach foreign shores? Drawing on extensive fieldwork and original sources, this book examines three case studies – Germany, Japan, and the United Nations – to trace the evolution of a disability rights model from its American origins through its adaptation in countries with radically different approaches to disability, welfare policy, and the role of law as a tool for social change.

The 1990 Americans with Disabilities Act provided the first articulation of disability as a civil rights issue and became a powerful source of inspiration for German and Japanese activists. They became “rights tourists” to learn about rights consciousness and legal mobilization to protest the segregation and stigma they experienced in their home countries’ sophisticated but still segregated disability welfare states. Returning home, these activists were determined to graft the American rights model onto existing disability policy, and combine equal rights guarantees with the protection of disability difference. Finally, the passage of the 2006 UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities provided yet another, human rights model to challenge disability discrimination, stigma, and exclusion.

Rights Enabled develops a framework for analyzing the ways rights transplants might either support or contradict local legal culture, generate new approaches to legislating disability rights, and inspire political movements. The transplantation of American-style rights regimes into global settings contexts raises important issues for sociolegal scholarship on comparative
rights, the global reach of social movements, and the uses and limitations of rights-based activism.

**Primary Keyword:** Disabilities and Law

**AMR Salon Session: Kunal Parker - “Making Foreigners: Immigration and Citizenship Law in America, 1600 - 2000”**

Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Carondelet - Table 3

**Author:** Kunal Parker, University of Miami School of Law

**Chair:** Renisa Mawani, University of British Columbia

**Readers:**

Rose Cuison-Villazor, UC Davis School of Law
Michelle McKinley, University of Oregon

At a time when immigration and citizenship issues crowd upon the public consciousness in both North America and Europe with particular urgency, and keeping in mind the significance of migration and citizenship as a theme for the New Orleans Law and Society meeting, this interdisciplinary author-meets-reader panel seeks to bring leading scholars of immigration to discuss Kunal Parker’s Making Foreigners: Immigration and Citizenship Law in America, 1600 - 2000 (Cambridge University Press, 2015). The book seeks to reconceptualize the history of U.S. immigration and citizenship law from the colonial period to the beginning of the twenty-first century by joining the histories of immigrants to those of Native Americans, African Americans, women, Asian Americans, Latino/a Americans, and the poor. Kunal Parker argues that, during the earliest stages of American history, being legally constructed as a foreigner, along with being subjected to restrictions on presence and movement, was not confined to those who sought to enter the country from the territorial outside, but was also used against those on the territorial inside. Insiders thus shared important legal disabilities with outsiders. It is only over the course of four centuries, with the spread of formal and substantive citizenship among the domestic population, a hardening distinction between ‘citizen’ and ‘alien,’ and the rise of a powerful centralized state, that the uniquely disabled legal subject we recognize today as the immigrant has emerged. The book advances new ways of understanding the relationship between foreignness and subordination over the long span of American history. At the same time, it insists that designation as foreign has had no necessary relationship to where one has stood in relationship to territory.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation


Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Carondelet - Table 2

**Author:** Jennifer Carlson, University of Toronto

**Chair:** Michael Musheno, University of Oregon

**Readers:**

Elizabeth Brown, San Francisco State University
Charles Epp, University of Kansas
Michael Musheno, University of Oregon

This session focuses on three questions to interrogate Jennifer Carlson’s Citizen-Protectors: The Everyday Politics of Guns in an Age of Decline, the first book to examine gun carry as an everyday practice. Today in the United States, there are over 11 million concealed carry licensees, and the top reason cited for gun ownership is protection. Why are Americans – disproportionately men – choosing to carry guns as part of their everyday lives? And how does gun carrying impact contemporary notions of crime, citizenship, and governance? How is legality implicated in the new politics of lethal force? Unpacking how gun carrying transforms the gendered and racialized meanings of citizenship; the social distribution of policing (at least symbolically); and the moral politics of killing, this book develops the term “citizen-protector” to capture how men use guns to assert their authority and relevance to their families and even their broader communities by embracing a duty to protect – up to and including the willingness to kill.

**Primary Keyword:** Crime and Victims

**AMR Salon Session: Ronald Den Otter - “In Defense of Plural Marriage” (Cambridge University Press 2015).**

Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Carondelet - Table 1

**Author:** Ronald Den Otter, Cal Poly San Luis Obispo

**Chair:** Sonu Bedi, Dartmouth

**Readers:**

William Araiza, Brooklyn Law School
Maxine Eicher, UNC School of Law
Eileen Scallen, UCLA
Alexander Tsesis, Loyola University Chicago School of Law

Marriage is, according to the recent United States Supreme Court decision Obergefell v. Hodges (2015) invalidating bans on gay marriage, a “keystone to our social order” and a “building block of our national community.” (Obergefell at 16). By denying
this kind of status to same-sex couples, the state, as the Court reasons, “demeans gays and lesbians” by “lock[ing] them out of a central institution of the Nation’s society.” (Obergefell at 17). One of the central themes of the 2016 Law and Society Conference is the question of who belongs and who doesn’t. The Court has made clear that gays and lesbians belong to the institution of marriage. But every state in the United States prohibits marriages between three or more individuals. Den Otter’s book asks whether those who seek a polyamorous relationship also belong. The book answers in the affirmative arguing for a radical yet inclusive idea of marriage that includes marriages between three or more individuals.

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**AMR: Leila Kawar - “Contesting Immigration Policy in Court: Legal Activism and Its Radiating Effects in the United States and France.” Herbert Jacob Book Award Co-Winner**

**CRN: 2, 21**

**Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session**

**Room:** Salon E

**Author:** Leila Kawar, University of Massachusetts Amherst

**Chair:** Alexandra Huneeus, University of Wisconsin

**Readers:**
- Bryant Garth, University of California Irvine
- Adriana Kemp, Tel Aviv University
- Doris Marie Provine, Arizona State University

Studies of immigration policy making have tended to concentrate on the rules and remedies produced by judicial decisions in immigration matters, leading to skepticism over whether litigation is a viable strategy for constraining the globally restrictive tendency of migration controls. In her comparative study, Contesting Immigration Policy in Court: Legal Activism and Its Radiating Effects in the United States and France published by Cambridge University Press in 2015, Leila Kawar challenges the conventional wisdom that “cause litigation” has little long-term impact on policy making unless it produces broad rights-protective principles. Drawing on extended fieldwork and extensive archival research in France and the U.S., Kawar argues that the work of specialized litigators is best understood as a culturally productive activity in which doctrine is only one element. Drawing on extended fieldwork and extensive archival research in France and the U.S., Kawar argues that the work of specialized litigators is best understood as a culturally productive activity in which doctrine is only one element. When engagement with courts is understood in this way, the radiating effects of high-profile activity in court may be illuminated as legal frames, narratives, and performances that inform and reshape how legislative and administrative actors approach immigration policy.

Taking up and extending the constitutive turn in sociolegal scholarship, Kawar distinguishes the specific legal instruments and mechanisms produced by legal activism around immigration. In the U.S., immigrant rights litigation has operated primarily through fact-intensive class action lawsuits, while its French counterpart has been notable for its austere and highly formalized register. In the U.S., institutionalizing their efforts allowed aspiring legal activists to cement alliances with the support structures that had developed around public interest law. In France, by contrast, the process of repeatedly petitioning the Conseil d’État gradually incorporated immigrant rights legal networks into the orbit of this institution. Bringing law’s distinct modalities into focus shows that neither adversarialism nor liberal pluralism is an inevitable feature of legality, and offers a reminder that studying the constitutive power of rights rhetoric is only scratching the surface of this phenomenon.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

**Authority and Inspiration: Investigating Liminal Practices of International Law**

**CRN: 23**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 4

**Disc:** Geoffrey Gordon, VU University, Amsterdam

**Primary Keyword:** International Law and Politics

**Presentations:**
- ‘Tragedy of the Commons’ and ‘Common Heritage of Mankind’: Global Commons, Seminal Interventions, and the Fascinations of Interdisciplinarity
- Surabhi Ranganathan, University of Cambridge
- Imaging Scenes of Mass Atrocity from Afar: The (Re)construction of Place and Space in the Opening Statements at the International Criminal Court
- Sofia Stolk, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam
- The Authority to Inspire: EU Crisis Management at the Limits of Discipline
- Renske Vos, University of Edinburgh
- The Auto-Construction of Authority in Academic Presentations and Lectures: Taking a Closer Look at the Cyberwar Debate
- Lianne Boer, VU University Amsterdam
- The Principle of Distinction as Visuality; Targeting in Counterinsurgency
- Amin Parsa, Lund Universisty-Faculty of Law
- Inspired by Destruction. The Construction of International Criminal Justice
- Marieke de Hoon, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam
**Challenging and Changing American Jury Traditions**

**CRN:** 4  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 8  
**Chair:** Nancy Marder, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law  
**Disc:** Mary Rose, University of Texas  
Neil Vidmar, Duke Law

**Primary Keyword:** Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation

**Presentations:**
- Exorcising Presumptions: The Screening of Felonious Jurors in Maine  
  **James Binnall,** UC Irvine School of Law
- Foster v. Chatman: A Watershed Moment for Batson and the Peremptory Challenge?  
  **Nancy Marder,** IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law
- Judicial Instructions in Three Cause Célèbre American Trials: Guiteau (1882), Tucker (1904), and Sacco-Vanzetti (1921)  
  **Marie Comiskey,** University of Toronto
- Jury Instructions for Character Evidence: What Information are Jurors Given and How Well do They Understand It?  
  **Jennifer Hunt,** SUNY Buffalo State and Baldy Center for Law and Social Policy  
  **Elizabeth Foster,** Widener University
- “We know he was guilty, but the proof was not there”: The Performance of Objectivity in Jurors’ Post-Trial Narratives of Decision-Making When Common Sense and Legal Rationality Conflict  
  **Katherine Culver,** University of Pennsylvania

**Popular Decision on the Death Penalty: Saiban-in and Death Sentencing in Japan**

**CRN:** 33, 4  
**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Galerie 6  
**Chair:** Valerie Hans, Cornell Law School  
Takashi Maruta, Kwansei Gakuin University Law School

**Participants:**
- Takashi Maruta, Kwansei Gakuin University Law School  
  **Takeshi Nishimura,** Osaka Bar Association  
  **Satoru Shinomiya,** Kokugakuin University Law School  
  **Dimitri Vanoverbeke,** University of Leuven (KU Leuven)

Japan has still the death penalty. It is actually decided by the decision of professional judges (3 judges) and lay judges (6 citizens). Two issues have come out so far: (1) It is not decided by the unanimous voting of the panel; (2) There is a conflict between the court precedent that has been a guideline, standard or conditions of rendering death penalty shared among professional judges but often Saiban-in ignored the precedent and renders death penalty according to their own standard. Those decisions are occasionally overturned at the higher court level. Thus this panel will discuss and analyze (1) the meaning of letting lay citizen decide death penalty, (2) If the precedent standard should be kept as rigid rule for Saiban-in or can it be ignored, and (3) the merit of letting professional judges solely sentence death penalty from US experience. The following are presenters and topics for discussions: Satoru Shinomiya (Kokugakuin University) “Death Penalty and Saiban-in: How System Works and Popular Image of Death Sentencing through Survey”; Takeshi Nishimura (Nishimura, Shimada LO) “Remaining Issues in Death Sentencing System in Japan; Takuya Katsuta (Osaka City University) “Death Sentencing Comparing with Saiban-in and American Jury”; Futoshi Iwata (Sophia University) “Issues of Popular Death Sentencing through US Experience; Takashi Maruta (Kwansei Gakuin Law School) “Future Death Penalty in Japan.”

**Primary Keyword:** Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of

---

**Citizenship and Immigration: Sociolegal Tales from Israel**

**CRN:** 2  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Galerie 1  
**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

**Presentations:**
- A Palestinian, A Photograph, and the Refugee Crisis: A Discussion of Edward Said, Alan Kurdi, and Contemporary Migrancy  
  **Victoria Bisnauth,** Carleton University
- Breaking the Hunger (Lishbor Shever): The Israeli Tale of African Asylum Seekers  
  **Tamar Katz Peled,** Technion, Emek Israel
- Indian Jews in Israel: Equal Rights under Secular Law but Unequal According to Religious Laws. How Does a Modern Nation with an Ancient People Navigate the Relationship between Church and State?  
  **Joseph Hodes,** Texas Tech University
- The Family Reunification Cases in Israel from the Standpoint of Palestinian Women: A Qualitative Research  
  **Yael Plitmann,** Yale Law School

---

**Primary Keyword:** Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of
Lay Participation

**Deconstructing the Carceral/Police State**
CRN: 12  
Paper Session

**Room:** Balcony M

**Primary Keyword:** Race, Critical Race Research

**Presentations:**
- Abolitionist Ethics  
  Allegra McLeod, Georgetown University
- Prosecuting Radicalization  
  Amna Akbar, Ohio State University
- Race and Property  
  Sherally Munshi, Georgetown University Law Center

**Deportability and the Spectrum of Gender-Based Violence Across North America**
CRN: 2  
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 6

**Chair:** Rupaleem Bhuyan, University of Toronto

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

**Presentations:**
- A complex view of violence grounded in intersectionality: the case of Central American migrant women in Mexico’s southern border region.  
  Margarita Pintin-Perez, El Colegio de la Frontera Sur - San Cristobal de las Casas
- Fleeing domestic violence from a “Safe” country?: Refugee determination for Mexican asylum seekers in Canada  
  Rupaleem Bhuyan, University of Toronto  
  Adriana Vargas, University of Toronto  
  Margarita Pintin-Perez, El Colegio de la Frontera Sur - San Cristobal de las Casas

**Discussion:**

- Precarity and vulnerability in the lives of immigrant women in the southern border of Mexico  
  Martha Luz Rojas Wiesner, Ecosur
- Sexuality, identity, and (in)humanity: Article 3 of the European Convention on Human Rights and LGBT* asylum-seekers  
  Natasa Mavronicola, School of Law, Queen’s University Belfast

**Discussing the Future of Access to Justice Research**
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Salon H-G

**Chair:** Lisa Moore, Canadian Forum on Civil Justice

**Participants:**
- Nicole Aylwin, Osgoode Hall Law School/Canadian Forum on Civil Justice
- Albert Currie, Canadian Forum on Civil Justice
- Trevor C.W. Farrow, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University
- Les Jacobs, York University
- Matthew Dylag, Osgoode Hall Law School at York University

There is a growing demand for quantifiable data that supports the need for increased access to legal aid, justice services and legal information. There remains little debate about the importance of access to justice issues. Rather, the conversation has shifted from what to how. Questions about what the issues are have given way to a call for action, rooted in research that reflects best practices and innovative strategies for addressing these issues. The Cost of Justice Project by the Canadian Forum on Civil Justice includes research, surveys and reports on A2J issues across Canada. The results of this work have, and continue to inform national strategies for change. This roundtable brings together researchers from this Project to discuss the next stages in access to justice research, how evidence-based data by the Cost of Justice Project is being used to develop and advance national strategies, the difficulties of doing methodological-based A2J research and the future of this Project.

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Expectations in Law in the Time of Disasters**
Paper Session

**Room:** Balcony I

**Chair:** Takayuki Ii, Senshu University

**Disc:** Mitchell Crusto, Loyola University College of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Disasters

**Presentations:**
- Gendering Disasters in International Law  
  Gabrielle Simm, University of Technology Sydney
- Law in the Time of Disaster: Comparative Experiences in Tabasco, Mexico and New Orleans  
  Miguel Rabago Dorbecker, Universidad de los Andes
Shunichiro Koyanagi, Dokkyo University

Legal Response to the Cacophony of Disasters: The Ghanaian Story  
Olivia Anku-Tsede, University of Ghana Business School

Responses of International Humanitarian Law to Human Disasters  
Olutunji Oyelade, University

**Gender and Judging**  
CRN: 32

Paper Session

**Room:** Regent

**Chair/Disc:** Monika Lindbekk, University of Oslo

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Judging

**Presentations:**
Contempt of Equality: Determinations and Sentencing for Contempt of Court in Family Law in Quebec  
Paul Millar, Nipissing University  
Martine Dennie, Laurentian University

How thick is the Peace Palace’s glass ceiling? A feminist analysis of the appointment of the ICJ’s (only) four female judges  
Bérénice K. Schramm, SOAS University of London

Skol Summer Muse Advertise as an insight on how Brazilian Courts interprets the concept of discriminatory advertising  
Tamara Amoroso Gonçalves, University of Victoria

The Right to a "Gay" Family Life: The Role of Supranational and Constitutional Courts in Endorsing Social Change in Europe  
Valentina Volpe, Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law  
Sabrina Ragone, Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law

Transformation of Gender Stereotypes in Judiciary: Impact of Social Changes and Armed Conflict  
Tetyana Fuley, National School of Judges of Ukraine

**Human Rights and Vulnerable Groups: The Pros and Cons of Affording Special Protection**

Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 7

**Chair:** Eva Brems, Ghent University

**Disc:** Sally Engle Merry, New York University

**Primary Keyword:** Human Rights

**Presentations:**
A method of external referencing to interpret the rights of persons with disabilities: (Missed) opportunities for human rights integration in the ECtHR’s case law  
Joseph Damamme, Université libre de Bruxelles  
Dorothea Staes, Université St Louis, Brussels

Assessing human rights claims of ‘new’ groups: A proposal of a framework of analysis, applied to the cases of peasants and youth  
Arne Vandenbogaerde, University of Antwerp  
Ellen Desmet, University of Antwerp/University of Ghent

Cornered tribunals: the two European courts and their migrant rights dilemma  
Moritz Baumgärtel, Université libre de Bruxelles

Prohibition of discrimination on the ground of social condition: an efficient tool to protect socio-economically underprivileged people?  
Sarah Ganty, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB) - Free University of Brussels

The Disability Convention and the Dutch: a first assessment of the added value of ratification of the CRPD for disabilities rights in the Netherlands  
Barbara Oomen, University College Roosevelt (Utrecht University)

**Interdisciplinary Explorations of Penal History: Prison, Penal Change, and Law**  
CRN: 27, 44

Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 2

**Chair:** Ashley Rubin, Florida State University

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

**Presentations:**
Iterating Punishment: A Case Study of America’s Proto-Prisons (1785-1822) to Illustrate the Five Stages of Penal Innovation  
Ashley Rubin, Florida State University

Simon GRIVET, Cena, EHESS
The Privilege Of Punishing: Explaining The Rise Of America’s Hidden Penal Regime, 1900-2015
Joshua Kaiser, Northwestern University and American Bar Foundation

The Temporality of Law, Three Ways: Legal “Events” and Regime Shifts in the Mass Incarceration State
Anjuli Verma, University of California, Irvine

**Intimate Exceptionalism**
CRN: 7
Paper Session

Room: Studio 9
Chair: Margo Kaplan, Rutgers Law School
Disc: Sarah Swan, Columbia University

Primary Keyword: Family and Youth

Presentations:
Intimate Lies: How Does and How Should the Law Treat Deception Within Our Closest Relationships
Jill Hasday, University of Minnesota Law School

Punishing Sexual Fantasy
Andrew Gilden, Stanford Law School

Relational Crime
Cynthia Godsoe, Brooklyn Law School

Representations of ‘sexting’ and sexual violence on legal dramas: implications for adolescents’ sexual and intimate citizenship
Emily Lockhart, York University

The Wrong Kind of Help
Jane Stoever, University of California, Irvine School of Law

A Focus on Specific Economic and Social Rights and Vulnerable Groups in a Neoliberal World
CRN: 47 and IRC: 22
Paper Session

Room: Studio 1
Chair: Inga Winkler, Center for Human Rights & Global Justice, New York University School of Law
Disc: Allison Corkery, Center for Economic and Social Rights

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights

Presentations:
Analyzing Mexico’s policies to eradicate violence against women and girls with disabilities and the efforts of civil society organizations (CSOs) setting the agenda to realize the right of freedom from exploitation, violence, and abuse of women and girls with disabilities (Article 16 of the CRPD).
Ana Maria Sanchez Rodriguez, University of Massachusetts Boston

Exclusion of the Homeless from Public Spaces in Japan:
A preliminary study
Kiyoshi Hasegawa, Tokyo Metropolitan University

Justiciability of Economic and Social Rights - Reflections on the Judicial Response in India
Pam Rajput, High Level Committee on the Status of Women, Govt. of India

Realising Education through Enhanced Cooperation
Azbike Onuora-Ogono, University of Pretoria Faculty of Law

The human right to water and environmental justice in the neoliberal era
LaDawn Haglund, Arizona State University

Law and Development: Institutions, Market, Courts, Indicators, and Sustainability.
IRC: 13
Paper Session

Room: Balcony K
Chair: Lawrence Friedman, Stanford University Law School
Disc: Pedro Fortes, FGV LAW SCHOOL
Manuel Gomez, Florida International University College of Law

Primary Keyword: Democracy, Governance and State Theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

Presentations:
Designing a Constitutional Court for a New Democracy: The Appointment of Supreme Court Justices in Mexico (Presidential Power and the Appearance of Senate Control)
Rolando Garcia Miron, Stanford Law School

Law and Urban Development: Lessons from Chile’s Market-Based Housing Policy Regime
Diego Gil Mc Cawley, Stanford Law School

Legal Indicators and Managerial Governance: Towards Evidence-based Law and Development Policy?
David Restrepo Amariles, HEC Paris
**Law, Society, and Psychological Science, Part 3**  
IRC: 29  
Paper Session

**Room:** Balcony J

**Chair:** Janice Nadler, American Bar Foundation & Northwestern Law School

**Disc:** Avani Sood, University of California, Berkeley, School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

**Presentations:**
- Battered Woman’s Syndrome: When do People Experience Imminent Danger?  
  Colin Holloway, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
- Do Legal Standards Matter? Unpacking Juror Applications of the Insanity Defense  
  Rebecca Helm, Cornell University
- Motivated Constructions of Criminal Attempt  
  Avani Sood, University of California, Berkeley, School of Law
- Public Perceptions of Consent  
  Roseanna Sommers, Yale University

**Judicial Conflict Resolution (JCR): Methodological and Comparative Challenges**  
CRN: 43  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Studio 3

**Chair:** Michal Alberstein, Bar Ilan University  
Nadav Davidovitch, Ben Gurion University of the Negev

**Disc:** Marc Galanter, University of Wisconsin-Madison  
Linda Mulcahy, London School of Economics and Political Science

**Participants:**
- Paola Lucarelli, University of Florence Italy - Law school
- Diana Richards, University College London

This roundtable session is inspired by an ERC five-years project on Judicial Conflict Resolution (JCR). Inspired by the “vanishing trial” phenomenon, the study will examine judicial activity in promoting settlement in Israel, Italy and the UK, by introducing the perspective of conflict resolution, and employing both quantitative and qualitative methods of research. This roundtable will engage representatives from the three countries of research, along with prominent scholars, experts in judicial work, sociology of conflicts, and mixed methods. It will develop a conversation related to the theory and practice of studying judicial behaviour, as well as to comparative aspects and questions of boundaries between legal systems - common law and continental law as well as different fields of law - criminal and civil.

**Primary Keyword:** Judges and Judging

**Language, Law and Social Change in Multilingual/Multicultural Legal Orders**  
CRN: 16  
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon B

**Chair:** Anne Lise Kjær, University of Copenhagen, Faculty of Law, iCourts

**Disc:** Cornelis Baaij, Yale Law School

**Primary Keyword:** Language And Discourse,

**Presentations:**
- Reluctant translators: Scandinavian Supreme Courts and their discursive implementation of the case law of the European Court of Human Rights  
  Anne Lise Kjær, University of Copenhagen, Faculty of Law, iCourts
- The Irony of Multilingual Legislation: The Less We Understand, the Better  
  Lawrence Solan, Brooklyn Law School
- Theorising Multilingual Legal Order  
  Janny HC Leung, The University of Hong Kong / Yale Law School

**Law, Feminism, and Response**  
CRN: 38  
Paper Session

**Room:** Galerie 3

**Chair:** Åsa Gunnarsson, Forum for Studies on Law and Society

**Disc:** Kathleen Lahey, Queen’s University Faculty of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality
Presentations:

Law, Sex and Gender: One is Not Born a Woman
Louise Langevin, Laval University

Responses of Criminal Law to Femicides: Comparative Analysis of Finland and Spain
Marjo Rantala, University of Helsinki
AMALIA VERDU, University of Helsinki

The Swedish Model for Prostitution and Myths, Half-truths and Resistance
Åsa Yttergren, Umeå Forum for Studies on Law and Society

Trust as a Necessary Tool of Power in Elderly Care?
A Question of Who Defines the Best Interest for the Elderly in Sweden
Ann-Christine Petersson Hjelm, Forum for Studies on Law and Society

Wellbeing and Gender Equality for the Elderly in the Context of Artic Sweden
Lena Wennberg, Umeå University, Umeå Forum for Studies on Law and Society

Lawyers, Political Reform, and the Public Interest
Paper Session
Room: Balcony L
Chair/Disc: Milton Heumann, Rutgers University
Primary Keyword: Legal Professions and Other Legal Services Providers

Presentations:

Criminal Court Judges’ Views of Required, Permissible, and Impermissible Form of Assistance to Pro Se Defendants
Jona Goldschmidt, Loyola University Chicago - Dept of Criminal Justice and Criminology

Elite Law Firm Commitment to Racial Diversification: External Presentation or Internal Value?
Atinuke (Tinu) Adediran, Northwestern University

Rationalizing Pro Bono: Corporate Social Responsibility and the Reinvention of Legal Professionalism in Elite Law Firms
John Bliss, Harvard Law School
Steven Boucher, University of Massachusetts, Amherst

Unionization at Justice Canada: Case Study
Andrij Kowalsky, Ball State University

White Hats and Dark Sides: The Permeability of the Career Boundary between Prosecution and Defense in State Courts
Kay Levine, Emory Law School
Ronald Wright, Wake Forest University Law School

Legal Geography I: Settler Societies, State Power and the Legal Geographies of Difference/Indifference
CRN: 35
Paper Session
Room: Salon F
Chair/Disc: Hed Ehrlich, University of California, Berkeley
Primary Keyword: Geographies of Law

Presentations:

Hadeel Abu Hussein, National University of Ireland

Recent Decisions of the Israeli Supreme Court and the Shaping of the Legal Geography of the Negev, Southern Israel
Alexandre (Sandy) Kedar, Law School, University of Haifa

Security, Law and Indifference
Tugba Basaran, University of Kent

Legal Issues for Marriage and Family- Past, Present and Future.
Paper Session
Room: Iberville
Chair: Amy Huey-Ling Shee, National Chung Cheng University
Primary Keyword: Family and Youth

Presentations:

Ceremonies of Protest: The Legal Regulation of Marriage in the Australian Civil Wedding Ceremony
Becky Batagol, Faculty of Law, Monash University

Commitment-based parenthood: parental status and responsibility in English law
Gillian Douglas, Cardiff University

Marriage and the Baby Carriage: Parentage Law After Obergefell v. Hodges
Joanna Grossman, Hofstra University School of Law
The Outlawed Family: Does the Modern Family Really Need the Law?
Sharon Shakargy, Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Legal Pluralism and Legal Culture
Paper Session

Room: Salon C

Chair/Disc: Keebet von Benda-Beckmann, Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology

Primary Keyword: Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law

Presentations:
Empires as Engines of Mixed Legal Systems
Vernon Palmer, Tulane Law School

Moving away from a binary of state law and ‘custom’ in former colonies: An examination of the continuing development of the legal tradition of apology and forgiveness in the Kingdom of Tonga
Debra McKenzie, University of Victoria

What Constitutes Constitutions: On the socio-legal relationships between constitutions and legal pluralism
Alberto Febbrajo, University of Macerata

Methods Cafe Primer - Doing Empirical Research Using Critical Race Theory (eCRT)
Professional Development Panel

Room: Bissonet

Chair: Ellen Berrey, University of Denver

Participants:
Tonya Brito, University of Wisconsin Law School
Kaaryn Gustafson, UC Irvine
Mona Lynch, University of California, Irvine
Osagie Obasogie, University of California Hastings
Victor Quintanilla, Indiana University
Nicole Gonzalez Van Cleve, Temple University

This session provides an introduction to empirically-grounded research that engages law and critical race theory, also called eCRT. The panelists do research in a variety of empirical and disciplinary traditions. Each will discuss how they have designed and implemented empirical research in dialogue with critical race theory, which until recently has been focused primarily at the level of theorizing rather than empirical testing and elaboration. Topics covered may include formulating a research question, designing a study, sampling/selecting cases, measuring race, accounting for how racism and intersectionality operate, incorporating narrative, and navigating obstacles, among other themes. The goal is to open up conversations that have been happening among people who do eCRT to include a wider audience. This session is inspired by Laura Gomez’s 2012 presidential address, recommendations by the Committee on LSA’s Second Half Century, and a 2012 session about eCRT’s prospects.

Primary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

Movements, Mobilities and the Law
CRN: 23
Paper Session

Room: Salon D

Chair/Disc: Olivia Barr, University of Melbourne
Rose Parfitt, Melbourne Law School

Primary Keyword: Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law

Presentations:
Blowing winds, flowing waters: Feng shui, mobilities and the law
Anita Lam, York University

Moving in place: incarcerated mobilities
Sarah Armstrong, University of Glasgow

The Dynamic Landscape: Fluid Materiality of Law and Place
Sarah Marusek, University of Hawaii Hilo

Popular Participation in Democratic and Constitutional Politics
Paper Session

Room: Studio 5

Chair/Disc: Andrea Freeman, University of Hawai‘i William S. Richardson School of Law

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Presentations:
Bottom-up Constitutionalism: The Case of Internet Neutrality
Christoph B. Graber, University of Zurich, Faculty of Law

Civil Disobedience to Overcome Corruption: The Case of Occupy Wall Street.
M. Patrick Yingling, Reed Smith LLP
Governing by representation? (Participatory) data collection, citizen participation and the mobilization of legal regulations during reconstruction planning in New Orleans after Katrina
Anne Dölemeyer, Leipzig University

Itinerary Justice in Brazil
Bernardo Medeiros, Instituto de Pesquisa Economica Aplicada, Ipea

Properties and Politics of Transnational Law
CRN: 36
Paper Session

Room: Bacchus
Chair: Peer Zumbansen, Kings College London
Disc: Sara Seck, Western University

Primary Keyword: Transnational Legal Orders, International and Regional Institutions

Presentations:
Property as Power: Transnational Real Estate Investment, Urban ‘Renewal’ and Economic Progress
Priya S. Gupta, Southwestern Law School

Refugees, Migrants and Transnational Law
Satvinder Juss, King’s College London

With and Beyond the State: The Promise and Limits of Transnational Legal Ordering
Gregory Shaffer, University of California Irvine
Terence Halliday, American Bar Foundation

Race, Social Justice, and the Law: Studies from the Educational Diversity Project
Paper Session

Room: Galvez
Chair: Walter Allen, University of California, Los Angeles
Charles Daye, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
Disc: Abigail Panter, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
Linda F. Wightman, University of North Carolina, Greensboro

Primary Keyword: Race and Ethnicity

Presentations:
Exploring Law School Students’ Support of Social Justice
Kalen Kennedy, Howard University
Walter Allen, University of California, Los Angeles
Chantal Jones, University of California Los Angeles
Lloyd Sloan, Howard University

Law School Enrollment among Immigrant-origin and Native Black Law Students
Chantal Jones, University of California Los Angeles
Walter Allen, University of California, Los Angeles
Charles Daye, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
Daryl Mcadoo, UCLA
Abigail Panter, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

The Pursuit of the Public Interest: Social and Ideological Factors Influencing Career Choice
James Pratt, University of California Irvine
Walter Allen, University of California, Los Angeles

Rights Across Geographies of Law
CRN: 3
Paper Session

Room: Balcony N
Chair: Nina Siulc, Rutgers University
Disc: Haley Duschinski, Ohio University

Primary Keyword: Ethnography

Presentations:
Monsieur Lefebvre visits Los Angeles: Examining rights to the city in Los Angeles
Chima Michael Anyadike-Danes, University of California, Irvine

Navigating the ‘Victim Role’ in the Courtroom
Anne Groggel, Indiana University

Spatial Justice and the Limits of the Rechtsstaat in Catalonia: Contributions to an Ethnography and Geography of Law
Johanna Romer, New York University

Same-Sex Marriage: Lessons from the Beginning of the Fight for Inclusion
Paper Session

Room: Jackson
Chair/Disc: Joe Rollins, City University of New York

Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Presentations:
A Survey Of Public Accommodation Statutes And Religious Liberty: Free Access Or Free Exercise?
Lucien Dhooge, Georgia Institute of Technology
Families, Soldiers, and Workers: Balancing Law and Politics in Pursuit of LGBT Inclusion

*Eric van der Vort, Syracuse University*

The Recognition of Same-Sex Marriage in Mexico via the Supreme Court

*Imer Flores, UNAM*

Weber’s Sociology of Law and Same-Sex Marriage in the U.S. Federal Courts

*Nicholas Pedriana, University of Wisconsin-Whitewater*

**Studying Border Practices in the EU and the US**

CRN: 2

Paper Session

**Room:** Galerie 4

**Chair/Disc:** Rebecca Hamlin, University of Massachusetts Amherst

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

**Presentations:**

Between Reluctance and Openness: Methodological Implications of Secrecy for Studying Border Security

*Karine Cote-Boucher, Universite de Montreal*

Is the U.S. Gaming Refugee Status for Central Americans? A Study of the Screening and Refugee Status Determination Process for Central American Women and Children Detained in Artesia, New Mexico

*Galya Ruffer, Northwestern University*

Movement across Schengen Internal Borders During the “Migratory Crisis” in 2015: The Italy-France Case

*Sara Casella colombeau, Aix-Marseille University, CNRS, UMR 7305 LAMES, LabexMed*

Noble Cause at the Dutch Borders? Unravelling Crimmigration Control in EU Border Areas

*Maartje van der Woude, Leiden Law School*

The Drowned and the Deported: Rearticulating Refugees, Humanity and Credibility in Contemporary Europe

*Abigail Stepnitz, UC Berkeley*

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation

**Presentations:**

America’s “Ideal Vision” for the Distribution of Wealth by Race

*Goldburn Maynard, University of Louisville Brandeis School of Law*

De/reconstructing Poverty

*Francine Lipman, University of Nevada, Las Vegas*

Matthew Bruenig, Demos.org

How We Can Win the Tax War on Poverty: Looking Beyond Efficiency to Design a More Effective Low-Income Housing Tax Credit

*Michelle Layser, Georgetown University Law Center*

Taxation and House Price Risk

*Danielle Hemel, University of Chicago Law School*

**Technology, Law, Ethics, Human Rights and Citizenship in East Asia**

CRN: 33

Paper Session

**Room:** Galerie 5

**Chair:** Daniel Rosen, Chuo University Law School

**Chair/Disc:** Takeshi Akiba, Akita International University

**Primary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Presentations:**

A Comparative Study on the Equity-Based Crowdfunding Market in Taiwan

*Hungyi Chen, Nagoya University, Japan*

The Janus Face of Citizenship: the Legal and Sociological Endless Contestation: A Case of Malaysia

*Nur Atiqah Tang Abdullah, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM)*

Shamsul Amri Baharuddin, Institute of Ethnic Studies (KITA), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM)

Triple Review: How do the Research Ethics Committees of Biobanks Function in Taiwan

*Chao-Tien Chang, National Chiao Tung University*

Understanding the Myanmar (Burma) National Human Rights Commission in the Context of Transition

*Jonathan Liljeblad, University of New England*

Whose Security Is It Anyway? : China’s New Cybersecurity Law and Its Implications

*Ching-Yi Liu, National Taiwan University*
Friday  June 3  
10:15 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.

Narratives, Resources and Equality  
CRN: 38  
Paper Session  
Room:  Galerie 3  
Chair:  Kathleen Lahey, Queen’s University Faculty of Law  
Disc:  Ann Mumford, King’s College London  
Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality  
Presentations:  
Plants v. Zombies: Final Appeal of Property, Trespass, Nuisance, and Claims to Genetic Resources In the Sovereign and Supreme Court of Gaea  
Bita Amani, Queen’s University, Faculty of Law  
Securing Energy Access For Rural Women: A Role For Policy And Law  
Lilian Idiaghe, Centre for Petroleum, Energy Economics and Law, University of Ibadan  
The making and unmaking of gendered social sufferings in the polluted and patriarchal waterscapes of Nigeria’s Niger Delta  
Victor Okorie, Obafemi Awolowo, University,  
Truth is a stubborn beast – how will you handle it?: Truth seeking and credibility assessment during asylum proceedings  
Sule Tomkinson, University of Kansas  
Witchcraft label and Violence against Aged Women in Igboland, South-Eastern Nigeria  
Scholastica Atata, University Of Ibadan, Ibadan  

Access to Health and Safety in Asia in Global Settings  
CRN: 33  
Roundtable Session  
Room:  Galerie 5  
Participants:  
Lesley Jacobs, York University  
Hiroyuki Kojin, Tokyo Medical University  
Toshimi Momo Nakanishi, Faculty of Medicine, Yamagata University  
Ilan Vertinsky, University of British Columbia  
Yoshitaka Wada, Waseda Law School  

Although Access to Health and Safety are indispensable rights that should be guaranteed for every person, its delivery system is influenced by numerous other social factors and institutional environment including government’s policy, economical situation, cultural values and international market in global era. In each country in Asia, Access to Health and Safety Rights are facing with serious problems. In most cases, these problems related to influences from global economy and we can observe each country’s adaptation to those imposed forces utilizing complementary system and institutions. Although its form and appearance are different from each other depending on the country’s specific circumstances, some common structural relationships between influence of global economy and each unique delivery of health and safety service can be found there. In this session we examine the problems each country is facing with and its efforts to overcome the situation.  
Primary Keyword: Health and Medicine  

Accommodating Disability, Transforming Society  
CRN: 40  
Paper Session  
Room:  Salon B  
Chair/Disc:  Sagit Mor, University of Haifa  
Primary Keyword: Disabilities and Law  
Presentations:  
Assessing Social Change and Its Implications for People with Disabilities: The Legalization of Physician-Assisted Dying in Canada  
Joan Gilmour, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University  
Free trade Agreements and Healthcare: Examining the impact of the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) on the right to health in the UK  
Melinee Kazarian, The University of Portsmouth  
Sara Hourani, The University of Bournemouth  
Realizing the Goal of ‘Education For All’ in Nigeria with Focus on Disabled Trainees: a Case Study of Federal College of Education Oyo State, Nigeria  
Mariam Abdurahaeem-Mustapha, Faculty of Law, University of ilorin  
Rights Are Not Justice: A Case Study in Campus Segregation and How University Accessibility Policies Do Violence to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA/ADAAA)”  
Laura Harrison, University of California, Santa Cruz  
The Rights of the mentally ill and the Nigerian Society: Enhancing dignity in mental health through Legislation Abdulwasiu YUSUFF, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria
American Slavery, American Commerce: Rethinking the Political Economy of the Antebellum United States
CRN: 44

Paper Session

Room: Studio 4

Primary Keyword: Legal History

Presentations:

Private Vengeance, Public Law: J.T. Zealy’s Slave Daguerreotypes
Mary Campbell, University of Tennessee

“Infinitely Dangerous to the Revenue of the United States”: Duty Remission and the Evolution of America’s Antebellum Political Economy
Jane Manners, Princeton University

“the fate of millions... on the tongue of one...”: Thomas Jefferson, Richard Dobbs Spaight, and American Slavery’s Echoing “no...”
Anthony Baker, Atlanta’s John Marshall Law School

AMR Salon Session: Ming Chen, Rose Cuisin-Villazor - “The Immigration and Nationality Act of 1965: Legislating a New America”
CRN: 2
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Carondelet - Table 4

Author: Gabriel Chin, UC Davis School of Law
Rose Cuisin-Villazor, UC Davis School of Law

Chair: Ming Chen, University of Colorado Law School

Readers:
Kerry Abrams, University of Virginia
Ming Chen, University of Colorado Law School
Stephen Lee, UC Irvine School of Law
Kunal Parker, University of Miami School of Law

Along with the civil rights and voting rights acts, the Immigration and Nationality Act of 1965 is one of the most important bills of the civil rights era. The Act’s political, legal, and demographic impact continues to be felt, yet its legacy is controversial. The 1965 Act was groundbreaking in eliminating the white America immigration policy in place since 1790, ending Asian exclusion, and limiting discrimination against Eastern European Catholics and Jews. At the same time, the Act discriminated against gay men and lesbians, tied refugee status to Cold War political interests, and shattered traditional patterns of Mexican migration, setting the stage for current immigration politics. Drawing from studies in law, political science, anthropology, and economics, this book reflects the modern interdisciplinary approach to immigration studies and is essential for immigration law scholars and teachers.

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

AMR Salon Session: Sakiko Fukuda-Parr, Terra Lawson-Remer, Susan Randolph - “Fulfilling Social and Economic Rights”
CRN: 47
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Carondelet - Table 3

Author: Sakiko Fukuda-Parr, The New School for Public Engagement
Susan Randolph, University of Connecticut
Terra Lawson-Remer, The New School

Chair: Richard Ashby Wilson, University of Connecticut School of Law

Readers:
Audrey Chapman, University of Connecticut
LaDawn Haglund, Arizona State University
Balakrishnan Rajagopal, MIT

Fulfilling Social and Economic Rights by Sakiko Fukuda-Parr, Terra Lawson-Remer and Susan Randolph (OUP 2015)
One of the most ambitious legacies of the 20th century was the universal commitment to ensure freedom from want as a human right. How far have we progressed; to what extent are countries across the world living up to this commitment? States have long escaped accountability for these commitments by claiming inadequate resources. This book charts new territory in examining the extent to which countries meet their obligations to progressively realize social and economic rights. It develops an innovative evidence based index, the Social & Economic Rights Fulfillment (SERF) Index and Achievement Possibilities Frontier methodology, rigorously based on international human rights norms, making possible for the first time comparisons of performance across very differently resourced countries and over time. The book provides an overall global picture of progress, regress and disparities amongst and within countries and explores the factors influencing performance—including whether treaty and legal commitments, gender equity, democracy/autocracy, and economic growth, explain good performance-revealing surprising results. The data provide empirical evidence to resolve some long-standing controversies over the principle of ‘progressive realization’. The book concludes by observing how the SERF Index can be used in evidence based social science research, policy making and accountability procedures to advance social change.

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights
Two interrelated books will be discussed. One addresses the crime of aggressive warfare for the case of Iraq, the other representations of mass violence for the case of Darfur. Their common denominator is a concern with modern categories of international law in the context of mass violence. The session thus aligns well with central concerns entailed in the theme of the 2016 annual meetings. One of four thematic plenary sessions, for example, addresses “Natural and Unnatural Disasters – human crises and law’s response.” The books suggested for this “authors meet readers” session address aggressive warfare and genocide, “unnatural disasters” thus, resulting from human action, and legal responses to them (or the lack thereof) in the context of competing fields. A brief description of the content of each of the two books lays open an obvious correspondence with the sub-theme of the conference:

(1) Iraq and the Crime of Aggressive War examines crimes committed in the context of the U.S. led war in Iraq, from the torture of detainees at Abu Ghrab to unnecessary military attacks on civilians. The book is an account of the violations of international criminal law committed during the United States invasion of Iraq. Taking stock of the entire war, it uniquely documents the overestimation of the successes and underestimation of the failings of the Surge and Awakening policies. The authors show how an initial cynical framing of the American war led to the creation of a new Shia-dominated Iraq state, which in turn provoked powerful feelings of legal cynicism among Iraqis, especially the Sunnis. The predictable result was a resilient Sunni insurgency that reemerged in the violent aftermath of the 2011 withdrawal. Examining more than a decade of evidence, this book makes a powerful case that the American war in Iraq constituted a criminal war of aggression. (This book appeared in the summer of 2015 with Cambridge University Press.)

(2) Representing Mass Violence: Conflicting Responses to Human Rights Violations in Darfur asks how interventions by the UN Security Council and the International Criminal Court influence representations of mass violence. Further: what images arise instead from the humanitarianism and diplomacy fields? How are these competing perspectives communicated to the public via mass media? The book zooms in on the case of Darfur. It is based on an analysis of more than three thousand news reports and opinion pieces and interviews with leading newspaper correspondents, NGO experts, and foreign ministry officials from eight countries. The book shows the dramatic differences in the framing of mass violence around the world and across social fields. Representing Mass Violence contributes to our understanding of how the world acknowledges and responds to violence in the Global South. (This book appeared in the summer of 2015 with the University of California Press.)
Author: Nick Cheesman, Australian National University

Chair: Martin Krygier, University of New South Wales

Readers: Eve Darian-Smith, University of California Santa Barbara
Martin Krygier, University of New South Wales
Sally Engle Merry, New York University
Frank Munger, New York Law School

A panel discussion of Nick Cheesman, Opposing the Rule of Law: How Myanmar’s Courts Make Law and Order (Cambridge Studies in Law and Society, Cambridge University Press, March 2015), the first major study of courts and politics in contemporary Myanmar. Combining sophisticated social and legal theorising with a detailed account of courts in a little-understood country, it advances both general theory and close empirical description at the highest level. It develops a persuasive argument that the rule of law is opposed to law and order, a concept with which it is commonly conflated. By paying close attention to the Burmese-language records of 393 criminal cases supplemented by findings from fieldwork and archival research of hitherto unutilised or underutilised published and classified official documents, the book pushes the study of politics in contemporary Myanmar beyond the binary of democracy movement versus military dictatorship, and also disrupts conventional thinking about how authoritarian rulers use courts for political ends.

Primary Keyword: Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

Bans From Within: Disposable Bodies and the Law
CRN: 12
Paper Session

Room: Balcony M

Chair/Disc: annie bunting, York University

Primary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

Presentations:
A Sight/site We Cannot Bear: The Eviction from Public Space of Women Wearing the Niqab
Sherene Razack, Ontario Institute for Studies in Education, University of Toronto

Fanon to the White Girl? Law, Dehumanization, and Neoliberal Feminism in Settler Colonial Contexts
Leslie Thielen-Wilson, Nipissing University

Policing migrant criminality in “the jungle”: Neglecting questions of justice
Gada Mahrouse, Concordia University

Citizenship, Law, and the Gig Economy
CRN: 8, 37
Roundtable Session

Room: Studio 10

Chair: Amy Cohen, Ohio State University
Brishen Rogers, Temple University Beasley School of Law

Disc: Veena Dubal, University Of California, Hastings

Participants:
Kevin Kolben, Rutgers Business School
Sabeel Rahman, Brooklyn Law School
K Sabeel Rahman, Brooklyn Law School

The so-called “gig” economy has injected questions of contingent work and citizenship back into political debates. The term describes economic sectors characterized by short-term labor contracts, including those dominated by freelance work, those dominated by independent contractor relationships, and those now being transformed by so-called “sharing economy” companies such as Uber and TaskRabbit. While it is unclear if the “gig economy” is a markedly new phenomenon, its prominence has highlighted the important role that non-traditional and freelance work plays in the US labor market, and increasingly in global labor markets. By some estimates over 30% of the U.S. workforce, or about 54 million workers, engaged in some kind of freelance activity in 2014-2015.

This panel seeks to examine this phenomenon from the perspective of law and citizenship. Some of the questions that will be addressed include: How does the nature of sharing and gig economy platforms affect social integration,

Primary Keyword: Economy, Business and Society

Disasters, Law, and the State in Comparative Perspective
CRN: 33 and IRC: 15
Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 6

Chair: Eric Feldman, University of Pennsylvania Law School

Participants:
Moritz Baelz, Goethe University Frankfurt
Erik Herber, Leiden University
This roundtable brings together a distinguished group of sociolegal scholars to analyze and discuss the intersection of law and disasters. In almost every respect, disasters and law are deeply intertwined. The law defines what counts as a disaster, establishes the prospects and limits of disaster mitigation, enables and/or prevents disaster victim compensation, and determines the pace and direction of rebuilding and resiliency. In the absence of law, the human suffering that inevitably accompanies disaster is dramatically magnified, yet law itself is rarely a remedy to such suffering. How, then, should sociolegal scholars approach the study of law and disasters? How can they contribute to the lessening of human suffering? To what degree might they be able to offer ways of understanding law and legal institutions that have practical consequences for managing aspects of the disaster lifecycle? What can they learn from the intersection of law and disasters that might contribute to sociolegal scholarship?

Our approach in this roundtable is explicitly comparative, thanks to the participation of scholars from Korea, Taiwan, the Netherlands, Germany, Japan, and the US. Although our primary focus is Asia, our discussion with reach well beyond that region. Our comparative focus results from the observation that unlike some aspects of financial regulation where one can identify the growth of transnational legal institutions that indicate at least some degree of legal and regulatory convergence, disaster management has aggressively resisted cross-border cooperation. The ‘go it alone’ approach to disasters is in some ways puzzling, given the regularity with which the property, personal, and institutional damage wrought by disasters resists the limit of national borders. One set of questions roundtable participants will address, therefore, concerns the range of similarities and differences in how law and disasters intersect in different nations, why nations have not developed a robust set of cross-border institutions for managing disasters, and the degree to which collaborative or cooperative approaches to disasters are feasible and/or desirable.

**Presentations:**

- Between INAH and UNESCO: Questions of Heritage Governance in Oaxaca, Mexico
  - Hilary Leatham, University of Chicago

- Legislating Dissent: Race, Republicanism and the Public Sphere in Post-Independence Nicaragua
  - Justin Wolfe, Tulane University

- Los Indios de Anansaya: Race, Property, and Citizenship in colonial Perú
  - Pilar Margarita Hernández Escontrías, Northwestern University

- The Conservative Struggle for the Constitution in Colombia, 1950-53
  - Diana Andrade Melgarejo, Princeton University

- The Interplay Between U.S. Diplomacy and Argentine Constitutionalism in Late 19th Century Argentina
  - Jonathan Miller, Southwestern Law School

**Primary Keyword:** Disasters

**Development and Change in Latin American Legal Institutions**

CRN: 44

Paper Session

**Room:** Balcony K

**Chair/Disc:** Alexandra Huneeus, University of Wisconsin

**Primary Keyword:** Legal History
Room: Studio 2
Chair: Ashley Rubin, Florida State University
Disc: Michael Campbell, University of Missouri, St. Louis.
Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
Hidden Alchemy: African-American Women, Market Capitalism, and the Sex Trade in Antebellum St. Louis, 1804-1860
Mark M. Carroll, University of Missouri

Policing the Mentally Ill in Los Angeles: The Development and Deployment of Specialized Policing Units in Penal Reform
Natalie A. Piifer, University of California, Irvine

Politics and the judicial construction of ‘mob justice’ in pre-and post apartheid South Africa.
Gail Super, University of Cape Town

Equality and Social Rights in a Neoliberal World
CRN: 47 and IRC: 22
Paper Session

Room: Studio 1
Chair/Disc: Surabhi Chopra, Chinese University of Hong Kong

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights

Presentations:
Inclusive Development of the Girl Child in Africa
Olanike Odewale, Lead City University, Ibadan, Nigeria

Inequalities and Human Rights: Shifting the Focus to Achieving Substantive Equality and Addressing Stigma
Inga Winkler, Center for Human Rights & Global Justice, New York University School of Law

Pros and cons of a trans-disciplinary perspective when exploring economic and social rights in a neoliberal world.
Claudio Schuftan, People’s Health Movement

Stuck in the Middle: The Approach(es) of the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in the Crises
Ben Warwick, Durham University

The realization of socio economic rights through clinical legal work: effectiveness of the principle of equality and non-discrimination
Diana Quintero, Universidad Icesi

Law, Society, and Psychological Science, Part 4
IRC: 29
Paper Session

Room: Balcony J
Chair: Eugene Borgida, University of Minnesota
Disc: Avani Sood, University of California, Berkeley, School of Law

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
Decision-Making in Plea Bargaining: A Psychological Perspective
Eugene Borgida, University of Minnesota
JaneAnne Murray, University of Minnesota
Lauren Clatch, University of Minnesota

Does race matter? An assessment of the role of implicit bias in juvenile diversion officer decision-making for low-risk juvenile offenders
Alisha Caldwell, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Richard Wiener, University of Nebraska

More than meets the eye: How visual attention can bias or unite legal punishment decisions
Yael Granot, New York University
Emily Balcetis, New York University
Tom Tyler, Yale Law School

The Influence of Rap Music Stereotypes on Inferences of Threat
Adam Dunbar, University of California-Irvine
Charis Kubrin, University of California-Irvine

Judges and Therapeutic Jurisprudence
CRN: 43 and IRC: 38
Paper Session

Room: Studio 3
Chair: David Wexler, University of Puerto Rico
Disc: Richard Cornes, Essex University

Primary Keyword: Judges and Judging
Presentations:
A New Problem-Solving Court to Implement Therapeutic Jurisprudence In Offenders’ Re-entry to Society
Jalila Jefferson-Bullock, Arizona Summit Law School
Michael Jones, Arizona Summit Law School

A Solution Focussed Judging Program in Australia: Enhancing the Role and Skills of Judicial Officers
Astrid Birgden, Consultant Forensic Psychologist, Just Forensic
Joanna Kalowski, Joanna Kalowski and Associates

Child Welfare Workers in Family Court: A Therapeutic Jurisprudence Analysis
Vicki Lens, Columbia University School of Social Work
Colleen Cary Katz, Silberman School of Social Work, Hunter College
Kimberly Spencer Suarez, Columbia University

SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY, A KEY PRINCIPLE FOR LAW AND JUSTICE Improving social harmony, quality of life, and transparency, through integration of values of Social Sustainability
Alexander de Savornin Lohman, Center for Sustainable Justice

The Judge’s Team on the Bench
Dale Dewhurst, Athabasca University
Ann Marie Dewhurst, Valerian Consulting

Therapeutic Jurisprudence as a lens for law and legal system reform – The mainstreaming of therapeutic jurisprudence & the International Framework for Court Excellence
Pauline Spencer, Magistrates’ Court of Victoria
David Wexler, University of Puerto Rico

Law and the Food System
Paper Session

Room: Studio 8
Chair: Peter Carstensen, University of Wisconsin Law School
Disc: Alfonso Morales, University of Wisconsin - Madison
Primary Keyword: Regulation & Governance

Presentations:
A Categorical Irony: State Regulation and the Erosion of Boundaries Between Organic and Conventional Foods in the U.S. Market
Michael Haedicke, Drake University

Legal mobilization of food system policy by small-scale diversified farmers
Lauren Suerth, University of Wisconsin - Madison

Of Recipes and Regulations: Socio-Legal Actions For and Against Paty’s Taco Truck
Alfonso Morales, University of Wisconsin - Madison
Sean Basinski, The Street Vendor Project
Mathew Shapiro, The Street Vendor Project

Unleashing the power of the Amazon? Regulating interacting anti-ageing, eco and fair trade claims in superfood marketing: the case of acai berries
Christine Parker, Melbourne Law School, University of Melbourne

Law, Breaking At Its Seams: The Transnationalization of Legal Fields
Paper Session

Room: Bacchus
**Chair:** Aziza Ahmed, Northeastern University School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, International and Regional Institutions

**Presentations:**
- A Special Kind of “Global Convergence”: Investigating the Actors, Norms and Processes of Transnational Corporate Governance
  - Peer Zumbansen, Kings College London
  - Dionysia Katelouzou, King’s College London

- Globalization and Adjustments In The Provision Of High End Corporate Legal Services In Latin America During The Last Two Decades: The Case Of Venezuela
  - Manuel Gomez, Florida International University College of Law

- Melting the Boundaries of Law: Transnational Climate Law and Practice
  - Natasha Affolder, Peter A. Allard School of Law, UBC

**Laypersons, Social Movements, and the Law**
**CRN:** 21
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 6

**Chair/Disc:** Michael Yarbrough, John Jay College (CUNY)

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**
- Beyond Litigation: The Law and Politics of Kurdish Mobilization before the ECtHR
  - Dilek Kurban, Hertie School of Governance

  - Natalia Angel-Cabo, Osgoode Hall Law School

- Legal Mobilization by Migrant Workers: Destabilizing Citizenship by an Invisible Underclass
  - Vasanthi Venkatesh, Jurisprudence and Social Policy, University of California, Berkeley

- ¿Undermining mobilization? Collective action and legal reform as resistance against mining projects in Mexico.
  - Jorge Pelaez Padilla, Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México (UACM)

**Legal Geography Panel II Law and the Material World:**
**Water, Ice and and Material Hazards**
**CRN:** 35
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Salon F

**Chair/Disc:** Tugba Basaran, University of Kent

**Primary Keyword:** Geographies of Law

**Presentations:**
- Changing the Game: Network Governance of Multinationals in Chevron Corp. v. Yaiguaje, 2015 SCC 42 Lawyers’ Resistant Political Agency Furthering Corporate Accountability on the Part of a Multinational
  - Rebecca Bromwich, Carleton University

- Mapping for Business and Human Rights
  - Patrick Keenan, University of Illinois College of Law

- Mapping international legal responsibility for the human right to health: Expanding law on the books and deepening the obligation to protect against risk associated with disasters
  - Jean Carmalt, John Jay College of Criminal Justice

- Mapping Space and Erasing Communities: The 1928 Flood Control Act, National Territories and Security
  - Laura Hatcher, Southeast Missouri State University

**Legal Instrumentalism From Below: National and Supranational Corporate Accountability**
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Galvez

**Chair:** Sanne Taekema, Erasmus School of Law

**Disc:** Ronen Shamir, Tel Aviv University

**Chair/Disc:** Jonathan Klaaren, University of the Witwatersrand

**Primary Keyword:** Geographies of Law

**Presentations:**
- Evaluating the potential of legal mobilization: ending impunity for corporate violations in Palestine
  - Jeff Handmaker, International Institute of Social Studies, Erasmus University

- From Law to Action: Mobilizing Social Action to Achieve Corporate Social Responsibility Associated to Legal Violations in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict
  - Tom Moerenhout, Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies

- Reconceptualizing legal instrumentalism. How individuals may use the rule of law
  - Sanne Taekema, Erasmus School of Law
Friday 10:15 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.

Revisiting the Theory of Change for Corporate Social Responsibility: a case study on the extractives industry in South Africa

Fola Adeleke, University of Witwatersrand

**Legal Pluralism: Culture and Religion**  
CRN: 48  
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon C

**Chair:** Janine Ubink, University of California Irvine

**Chair/Disc:** James Krueger, University of Wisconsin-Madison

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law

**Presentations:**
  Tamara Relis, London School of Economics, South Asia Centre
- Law, the democratic state, and the community: politics by other means  
  Catherine Warrick, Villanova University
- Marriage/Breakdown amongst Punjabi-Sikhs in Canada: The relevance of religious and culture-specific norms and practices amongst a transnational diaspora community  
  Preet Kaur Virdi, SOAS, University of London
- The Rise of Sharia in the West: A Feminist Institutionalist Analysis of Sharia Law in Australia, Canada and the UK  
  Amira Aftab, Macquarie University
- Understanding the new Indonesian village police: Vigilantes, enforcers of Islamic regulations, or institutes of customary law?  
  Keebet von Benda-Beckmann, Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology

**Life of the Law - The Relationship Between Academics, the Media, and Advocacy**  
Public Outreach Session

**Room:** Balcony L

**Chair:** Osagie Obasogie, University of California Hastings

Bridging three distinct worlds of journalism, scholarship and advocacy, the podcast Life of the Law advances the research of scholars in the Law and Society Association by connecting reporters, editors and producers with scholars to produce engaging, critically curious stories about the law in the lives of Americans.

This year, Life of the Law is partnering with scholars to produce a series of reports examining the impact of money in politics on fair access to state courts. The series is funded by an advocacy foundation.

What is the model for journalists to produce feature reports, or for scholars in academia to accept funding to conduct research from institutions or foundations with a point of view?

Life of the Law’s Advisory Board Member and LSA Scholar, Osagie Obasogie, will chair a roundtable discussion with Life of the Law’s reporters and producers and our advising scholars to consider the ethical and professional guidelines at issue. Life of the Law would like to invite scholars with the Law and Society Association to join in discussing this intersection of the dissemination of legal research.

**Participants:**  
Ashley Cleek, Life of the Law  
Renee Cramer, Drake University  
Nancy Mullane, Life of the Law

**Lon Fuller, Legal Sociologist**  
Paper Session

**Room:** Iberville

**Disc:** Dan Priel, Osgoode Hall Law School

**Chair/Disc:** Kenneth Winston, Harvard Kennedy School

**Primary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law,

**Presentations:**
- Legal Realism at Harvard: The Surprising Case of Lon Fuller  
  Dan Priel, Osgoode Hall Law School
- Lon Fuller, Jerome Frank, and a Romantic Pragmatism  
  Charles Barzun, University of Virginia
- Taking Fuller Seriously  
  Margaret Martin, Western University
- The Fuller-Nagel Debate.  
  Jeffrey Pojanowski, Notre Dame Law School

**Media, Criminal Law, and Judicial Decisionmaking: Representations and Impacts**  
CRN: 45  
Paper Session
Room: Balcony I

Chair/Disc: William (Bill) Haltom, University of Puget Sound

Primary Keyword: Popular Culture, Media, Finance, and The Arts

Presentations:
Lingual Injury: Crime Victims between the Criminal Justice System and the Media in Israel
Anat Peleg, College of Management and Bar Ilan University
Dana Pugach, Ono Academic College
Natti Ronel, Department of Criminology, Bar-Ilan University

Symbols of Deviance: The Specter of the Jezebel from Joan Little to Duke Lacrosse
Jamie Huff, Bridgewater State University

Why is Censorship Humor Flourishing Today?
Laura Little, Temple Law School

“The Watchdog’s Bite” – Media Effects On Judicial Decision Making In U.S. State Courts
Itay Ravid, Stanford Law School

Methods Cafe Primer - Empirical Sociolegal Research
Professional Development Panel

Room: Bissonet

Participants:
Lauren Edelman, University of California-Berkeley
Calvin Morrill, University of California-Berkeley

This session provides a basic introduction to empirical research methods with a particular focus on the study of law, legal institutions, and legal behavior. The first part covers how to construct a good empirical research question; tradeoffs in various research designs; and the link between research question and research design. We then focus on criteria for good quantitative and qualitative research designs, discussing typical problems and ways of addressing those problems. If time permits, we will address issues of measurement and sampling.

Muslim Identity On the Edge: Gendered Racializations & Society-State Encounters
CRN: 2
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 1
sChair: Jennifer Carlson, University of Toronto
Disc: Michael Musheno, University of Oregon

Primary Keyword: Rights and Identities

Presentations:
21st Century Security Politics and Immigrant Integration in U.S. Public Schools: The Role of Civil Rights in Muslim Youth Experiences
Mona Siddiqui, Virginia Commonwealth University

Liminal Races in Liminal Spaces: The Iranian-American Body in Transnational Motion
Neda Maghbouleh, University of Toronto

Objects of International Law
CRN: 23
Paper Session

Room: Studio 7

Chair/Disc: Luis Eslava, Kent Law School
Rose Parfitt, Melbourne Law School

Primary Keyword: International Law and Politics

Presentations:
Sovereign Marks: indigenous signatures as legal technology
Tanja Aalberts, Centre for the Politics of Transnational Law

The Gavel
James Parker, Melbourne Law School

The Ghost and other Half-Persons
Natasha Wheatley, University of Sydney

The Walker
Olivia Barr, University of Melbourne

The ‘Treaty 8’ Typewriter and the Objects of International Legal Authority
Jessie Hohmann, Queen Mary, University of London

Procedural Regulation
Paper Session

Room: Studio 5

Chair/Disc: Amy Semet, Princeton University

Primary Keyword: Regulation & Governance

Presentations:
Delay Pays Well – Recent Case-law of the ECJ Related to Air Passenger Rights
Nikolett Zovanyi, University of Debrecen

Economic subsidiarity and libertarian paternalism: a political orientation?
Carina Quirino, State University of Rio de Janeiro
Preliminary Injunctive Regulation
Albert Lin, UC Davis School of Law

TRANSADMINISTRATIVISM Concept, methods and practical applications
Isabela Ferrari, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro

Race, Law, and the Making of the Modern American State
CRN: 44
Paper Session

Room: Regent
Chair: George Lovell, University of Washington
Disc: Christopher Schmidt, Chicago-Kent College of Law

Primary Keyword: Legal History

Presentations:
James Weldon Johnson, Culture War, and the Roots of Brown v. Board
John Witt, Yale Law School

Race, Sex, Equality, and Marital Supremacy in the Long Civil Rights Era
Serena Mayeri, University of Pennsylvania

Taking Affirmative Action around the World
H. Timothy Lovelace, Jr., Indiana University Maurer School of Law

The Crimes of Capitalism: Criminal Justice, Convict Leasing, and the Rise of the New South
Megan Francis, University of Washington

SALON: Disasters, the Environment and the Law
Roundtable Session

Room: Carondelet - Table 1
Chair: Myanna Dellinger, University of South Dakota School of Law

Participants:
Denis Binder, Chapman University Law School
Myanna Dellinger, University of South Dakota School of Law

Primary Keyword: Disasters

Tax Session 7: International Tax Issues
CRN: 31
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 2
Chair/Disc: Shu-Yi Oei, Tulane Law School

Primary Keyword: Taxation

Presentations:
Finding a Better Rule for Taxing International Private Equity Funds
Young Ran (Christine) Kim, New York University School of Law

Global Minimum Effective Tax Rate as Global General Anti Avoidance Rule
Rifat Azam, Columbia Law School

The OECD multilateral tax instrument: how to avoid a stalemate on distributional issues?
Henk Vording, Leiden University
Dirk Broekhuijsen, Leiden University

Towards a New Theory of International Taxation
David Elkins, Netanya College School of Law

The Victim’s Perspective: Distrust, Reparations and the Lived-Experience of Victims
Paper Session

Room: Bonaparte
Primary Keyword: Access to Justice

Presentations:
Confronting the Tragic Disconnect between Law and the Lived Experiences of Crime Victims: Efforts by the United States Department of Justice to Bridge the Longstanding and Seemingly Intractable Translation Gap between Researchers and Practitioners in the Crime Victim Assistance Field
Heather Warnken, US Department of Justice

Expanding participation: victims as agents of accountability in the criminal justice process
Marie Manikis, McGill University

Legal Agency of Victims of Teen Dating Violence: How Life Course Impacts Legal Mobilization
Jessica Fitzpatrick, SUNY Fredonia

Rethinking Law in transitional justice: The case of Victims’ justice in Bosnia and Herzegovina
Alma Begicevic, The University of Melbourne, Australia
The value for social restoration of acknowledgment through non-judicial measures of transitional justice. Findings from a population-based survey in Bosnia-Herzegovina (BiH).
Mina Rauschenbach, KU Leuven
Stephan Parmentier, KU Leuven

**What Can Law Transform?**
Paper Session

**Room:** Jackson

**Chair/Disc:** David Abraham, University of Miami

**Primary Keyword:** Class and Inequality

**Presentations:**
Between scrutiny and invisibility: publicity, marginality, and the public sphere
Esther Sullivan, University of Colorado Denver

Is there an antitrust “legal dogmatics”? A study about Brazilian books and practices in antitrust field
LUIZ FELIPE ROSA RAMOS, University of São Paulo

Religious Separation or Elitist Segregation: Torani Schools in Israel
Tammy Harel Ben-Shahar, University of Haifa
Eyal Berger, Independent Researcher

Sustainable finance, the law and stakeholders: introducing the investment opportunity structure
Radek Stech, Exeter Law School

The Food Sharing Cases: Can the First Amendment Protect People who Publicly Share Food with those who Hunger?
Marc-Tizoc Gonzalez, St. Thomas University School of Law

**Who's in Pain and Who's to Blame? Troubling Notions of Victimhood and Perpetration in Sexual and Gendered Contexts**
CRN: 7
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 9

**Chair:** Ummni Khan, Carleton University, Department of Law

**Disc:** Jessica Clarke, University of Minnesota

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
‘Revenge Porn’ and the Erotics of Punishment
Alex Dymock, Royal Holloway, University of London

Ahh! Me So Horny: Theorizing Sexual Activity Deprivation
Ummni Khan, Carleton University, Department of Law

Sexual scripts and male victimization: A study of young peoples’ beliefs, perceptions and attitudes towards situations in which males report to being sexually victimized by women
David Gurnham, University of Southampton

Strategic Resistance or False Consciousness: Canadian Indoor Sex Workers Speak Their Mind
Menaka Raguparan, Carleton University

‘Rape culture’ vs. “What about the men?” Using a conflict reconciliation lens to understand tensions and shifts among social movements addressing gender
Deborah Conners, Carleton University

---

**Gender, Identity and Equality**
CRN: 38
Paper Session

**Room:** Galerie 3

**Chair:** Ann Mumford, King’s College London

**Disc:** Åsa Gunnarsson, Forum for Studies on Law and Society

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
Boundaries and Binaries of Women’s Human Rights: On the Limits of Identity Categories
Mariana Prandini Assis, The New School for Social Research

Enduring Gender Inequalities in the Legal Profession? Comparing the Early-Stage Careers of Lawyers in France and Switzerland
GREGOIRE MALLARD, Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies
Isabel Boni-Le Goff, University of Lausanne
Nicky Le Feuvre, University of Lausanne
Eléonore Lépinard, University of Lausanne

Engendering the New Aid Modalities (NAM) for Inclusive Service Delivery in Uganda: Opportunity for involving the Women’s Movement
Tabitha Mulyampiti, Makerere University
Fathers’ Rights in Family Law Reform: Taking account of Fathers’ Violence Against Mothers
Monica Burman, Umea Forum for Studies on Law and Society

Fathers’ Rights in Family Law Reform: Taking account of Fathers’ Violence Against Mothers
Monica Burman, Umea Forum for Studies on Law and Society

Advancing Diversity With Feminist Legal Theory
CRN: 7
Paper Session

Room: Studio 9
Chair: Jessica Clarke, University of Minnesota
Disc: Wendy Greene, Cumberland School of Law

Primary Keyword: Feminist Jurisprudence

Presentations:
Gender In/sight: Examining Culture and Constructions of Gender
Stephanie Wildman, Santa Clara University
Adam Chang, Gender Spectrum

Mapping the European and international legal framework concerning gender equality in employment and working life
Aija Valleala, University of Helsinki

Sources of Support: An Empirical Study of Diversity in Legal Academia
Meera Deo, Thomas Jefferson School of Law

Alexandra Hess, Second Circuit Court of Appeals

The Year of the Woman Dean
Simon Michelle, Pace Law School

AMR: Ben Golder - “Foucault and the Politics of Rights”
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Salon E
Author: Ben Golder, UNSW
Chair: Jonathan Simon, University of California-Berkeley
Readers:
Marianne Constable, UC Berkeley
Eve Darian-Smith, University of California Santa Barbara
Martin Krygier, University of New South Wales
George Pavlich, University of Alberta

“Foucault and the Politics of Rights” (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2015) focuses on Michel Foucault’s late work on rights in order to address broader questions about the politics of rights in the contemporary era. As several commentators have observed, something quite remarkable happens in this late work. In his early career, Foucault had been a great critic of the liberal discourse of rights. Suddenly, from about 1976 onward, he makes increasing appeals to rights in his philosophical writings, political statements, interviews, and journalism. He not only defends their importance; he argues for rights new and as-yet-unrecognized. Does Foucault simply revise his former positions and endorse a liberal politics of rights? Ben Golder proposes an answer to this puzzle, which is that Foucault approaches rights in a spirit of creative and critical appropriation. He uses rights strategically for a range of political purposes that cannot be reduced to a simple endorsement of political liberalism. Golder develops this interpretation of Foucault’s work while analyzing its shortcomings and relating it to the approaches taken by a series of current critical thinkers also engaged in considering the place of rights in contemporary politics, including Wendy Brown, Judith Butler, and Jacques Rancière, in the context of discussions about human rights, ‘relational rights’, the right to die and the death penalty.

Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law

Coercive Power Or Political Possibilities?: Intellectual Property and Statecraft
CRN: 14
Paper Session

Room: Studio 7
Disc: Peter Yu, Texas A&M University School of Law

Primary Keyword: Intellectual Property, Culture

Presentations:
Damali Martin, Temple University, Beasley School of Law

The Ghosts of Patent Exhaustion Past
Amelia Rinehart, University of Utah

The New (C)ensorship
John Tehranian, Southwestern Law School

Comparative Migration Politics: Collaboration, Integration and Negotiation
CRN: 2
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 1
**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

**Presentations:**

Please Sign Here: Integration Contracts between Municipalities and Migrants in Denmark. Public Policies on Integration and the (Dissolving) Dichotomy Private/Public Law
Silvia Adamo, Faculty of Law, University of Copenhagen

Racialized Hierarchies and Black Exceptionalism: Understanding the Immigration and Nationality Act
Brenda Nyandiko Sanya, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

Resilience and Resistance: The Collaborative Endurance of Tajik Labor Migrants in Russia
Joseph Schottenfeld, Yale Law School

**Constitutionalism and Constitutional Courts in North and South East Asia**
**CRN:** 33
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Galerie 5

**Chair/Disc:** tom ginsburg, University of Chicago, Law School

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**Presentations:**

Constitutional Identity and Heroism in Indonesia: The Journey from Authoritarian Constitution to Mere Rule of Law Constitutionalism
Stefanus Hendrianto, University of Notre Dame

Illiberal constitutionalism in Thailand
Eugenie Merieau, INALCO Paris / Sciences-Po Paris / Thammasat University Bangkok

Islam and Constitutionalism: Constitutional Politics Relating to Islam in the Malaysian Context
Naoko Kuwahara, Fukuyama City University

The Application of International Human Rights Instruments in Decisions of the Constitutional Court of Korea
Yoomin Won, Stanford Law School

**Contemporary Challenges Faced by Judges**
**CRN:** 43
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Salon H-G

**Chair/Disc:** Archie Zarisky, Athabasca University

**Primary Keyword:** Judges and Judging

**Presentations:**

Behind the scenes at the judiciary: the role and influence of judicial assistants in the judicial decision-making process.
Nina Holvast, University of Amsterdam

Innovative judging following natural disasters: Lessons from the Christchurch High Court Earthquake List in New Zealand
Nina Khouri, Faculty of Law, University of Auckland

Judging and the impact of technology
Tania Sourdin, Monash University

Making up the judge - Neither dispassionate umpire nor ordinary person - A legal and psychoanalytical perspective
Richard Cornes, Essex University

Obsessed about Obsessives? The Challenge of Persistent Litigants
Bridgette Toy-Cronin, University of Otago

**Legal Transplant and Legal Transformation in East Asia**
**CRN:** 33
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Galerie 6

**Chair/Disc:** Denis De Castro Halis, Faculty of Law / University of Macau

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law

**Presentations:**

Global Governance and Legal Change: A BRICS Perspective
Rostam J. NEUWIRTH, University of Macau, Faculty of Law

International fragmentation of competition law: The actual and expected contribution of the BRICS countries
Alexandr Svetlicinii, University of Macau

The Civil Jury Trial in Okinawa & Fukushima, Japan: Why Women Plaintiffs All Won Against Multinational Corporations
Hiroshi Fukurai, University of California Santa Cruz
Disability Rights Law Dilemmas in the United States and Canada
CRN: 40
Paper Session

Room:  Salon B
Chair:  Ravi Malhotra, University of Ottawa
Primary Keyword: Disabilities and Law

Presentations:
- Considering Disability in the Canadian Criminal Jury Context – A Review and Study of the Nature of Jury Representativeness in Canada
  Richard Jochelson, University of Winnipeg
  Michelle Bertrand, University of Winnipeg

- Constructing Disability and Dangerousness: The Problem of Safety as a Precursor to Accommodation in Police Responses to Persons with Mental Health Disabilities
  Robin Whitehead, University of Ottawa

- Reasonable Accommodations after the ADA Amendments Act
  Jeannette Cox, University of Dayton School of Law

- Social Science and the Meaning of Meaningful Access
  Mark Weber, DePaul University College of Law

- The Interpretation of the Convention of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities in Canada
  Ravi Malhotra, University of Ottawa

Emerging Jury Systems: Argentina and Beyond IRC
CRN: 4
Paper Session

Room:  Studio 8
Chair/Disc:  Shari Diamond, Northwestern U Law School/ American Bar Foundation
Primary Keyword: Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation

Presentations:
- Argentina’s Indigenous Jury
  Andrés Harfuch, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados
  Mariana Bilinski, Asociación Argentina De Juicio Por Jurados
  Lilían Andrea Ortiz, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados

- From common law to civil law: The jury’s great potential to transform Argentina’s inquisitorial culture
  Vanina Almeida, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados
  Denise Bakrokar, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados
  Mariana Bilinski, Asociación Argentina De Juicio Por Jurados
  Andrés Harfuch, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados

Following the Law: Ethnographies of Compliance and Legal Obligation
CRN: 3
Paper Session

Room:  Balcony N
Chair:  William Garriott, Drake University
Robert Werth, Rice University
Disc:  Michelle Bigenho, Colgate University
Primary Keyword: Ethnography

Presentations:
- Following the Constitution: Sexual Minorities and Constitutional Morality in India and the US
  Leo Coleman, Hunter College/CUNY

- Is there a deep future for legal instrumentalism?
  Underground water, following the law and the moral drift of time
  Andrea Ballestero, Rice University

- The ‘Law of the Land’?: Trust Documents, Religious Custom, or the Indian Constitution
  Leilah Vevaina, The Max Planck Institute for Religious and Ethnic Diversity
From Global Rights to Local Practices: Participation At the Micro-Level in Latin America
Paper Session

Room: Balcony I

Primary Keyword: Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation

Presentations:
Citizen Participation institutionalization: Social movements and their strategic interaction with regional government.
Gustavo Zambrano Chávez, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú

Community based water management in Ecuador: Participatory management vs Regulatory Agencies.
Stephania Yate Cortes, Universidad de los Andes
Pablo Poveda Mora, Universidad Técnica de Ambato

Inclusion within “civil society”: another challenge for true participation?
Maria Ibanez, Universidad de Los Andes
Stephania Yate Cortes, Universidad de los Andes

Social Movements: Housing in Tarija, Bolivia.
Nataly Vargas Gamboa, Universidad Autónoma Juan Misael Saracho

The regulation of participation: The case of prior consultation in Colombia, Chile and Peru
Robinson Sánchez Tamayo, Universidad de los Andes
Maria Ibanez, Universidad de Los Andes

Immigration, Citizenship and Families
CRN: 2
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 4

Chair: Marjorie Zatz, University of California, Merced

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

Presentations:
“We Need to Use Your Name”: American Citizen Children and Their Asian Immigrant Parents in California, 1907-1945
John Park, UCSB

Family-level citizenship: Mixed-citizenship families and the limitations of family-based citizenship benefits
Jane Lilly Lopez, UC San Diego

Reinstatement of Deportation: Examining Obama’s Enforcement Priorities for Detaining and Removing Felons not Families
Robert Koulish, University of Maryland

Rethinking Migrant Desirability: the Role of Family Reunification in the Construction of the US Immigration Preference System
Talia Schiff, Northwestern University

International Law in Interaction: Actors, Orders, and Tactics Influencing International Law’s Emancipatory Potential
CRN: 23
Paper Session

Room: Studio 3

Chair/Disc: Honor Brabazon, University of Toronto

Primary Keyword: International Law and Politics
### Presentations:

**Neither Feared Nor Loved: In Search of International Criminal Law’s Constituency**

**Paul Clark, Garden Court Chambers, London**

**Riots and Human Rights: Black Lives Matter and New Approaches to International law**

**Honor Brabazon, University of Toronto**

**Theorizing Collective Responsibility in International Criminal Law**

**Heidi Matthews, SOAS, University of London**

**When Lawyers Go to War: A Study of the Role of Lawyers in Palestinians’ Civil Litigation against Israel**

**Gilat Bachar, Stanford Law School**

**The Invisibility of Economic and Social Rights in A Neoliberal World**

**CRN: 47 and IRC: 22**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 1

**Chair/Disc:** Ben Warwick, Durham University

**Primary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

### Presentations:

**A Holistic Human Rights Lens on Neoliberalism**

**Gillian MacNaughton, University of Massachusetts - Boston**

**Diane Frey, Murphy Institute, CUNY**

**Do Metrics Matter? Visibilizing economic and social rights in post-revolution Egypt**

**Allison Corkery, Center for Economic and Social Rights**

**Heba Khalil, University of Illinois**

**Human rights informed the Sustainable Development Goals, but are they lost in translation? A New Zealand case study**

**Carmel Williams, FXB Center for Health and Human Rights**

**Alison Blaiklock, University of Otago, Wellington**

**Making Economic and Social Rights Visible in Times of Crisis: Addressing the Invisibility of Economic and Social Rights in Transitional Justice and Peacebuilding – The Case of Northern Ireland.**

**Amanda Cahill-Ripley, Lancaster University Law School**

### Gender Inequalities and Enforcement of Human Rights in the MENA Region

**IRC: 23**

**Roundtable Session**

**Law and Changing Financial Contexts**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Balcony K

**Chair/Disc:** Susan Block Lieb, Fordham University School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, Business and Society
Presentations:

**Anti-Intermediation**
Julia Lee, Penn State University School of Law

Do new capital requirements (Basel III requirements) make loans more expensive in Colombia?
Nydia Remolina, Stanford University

Financial Sector Firms and Human Rights: the adoption of the Ruggie Principles by financial sector firms listed on the FTSE 100
Sally Wheeler, Queen's University Belfast

Using Institutional Redundancy to Fight Corruption: Why Brazil should serve as a model for other developing countries?
Mariana Mota Prado, University of Toronto

**Law and Development from Below: Reconciling Practice with Prescriptions**
CRN: 36
Paper Session

*Room:* Bacchus

*Chair:* Terence Halliday, American Bar Foundation

*Disc:* Aziz Rana, Cornell Law School

*Primary Keyword:* Transnational Legal Orders, International and Regional Institutions

Presentations:

Drying Tears and Counting Cash: Transnational Technicalities within Lending Arrangements
Shanthi Elizabeth Senthe, Faculty of Law, Thompson Rivers University

Gender Law Reform and Development: Entwined Histories from the Colonial to the Postcolonial
Cyra Choudhury, FIU

The role of judicial epistemic communities and the Global South in contemporary law and development
Toby Goldbach, Cornell Law School

The Travels and Continuities of Law: Native Claims to Civil Justice Administration and Local Governance in Colonial India
Cynthia Farid, University of Wisconsin Law school

Towards new approaches for Climate Change Mitigation: Geoengineering and the false dichotomy of Solving Climate Crisis
Koffi Dogbevi, University Of Wisconsin Law School

When You Hit The Jackpot!: An Ethnographic Account On Judicial Decisions Of Transitional Justice In Colombia
Sergio Latorre, Universidad del Norte

**Law and Time**
Paper Session

*Room:* Balcony L

*Chair/Disc:* Renisa Mawani, University of British Columbia

*Primary Keyword:* Social Theory and Law,

Presentations:

How Time Passes in Law
Jill Stauffer, Haverford College

Humanitarian Complicity: Law, Time and the Politics of Rescue
Sara Kendall, University of Kent

Law and Time
Karl Shoemaker, University of Wisconsin, Madison

**Legal Geography Panel III Homes, Houses, and City Spaces**
CRN: 35
Paper Session

*Room:* Salon F

*Chair/Disc:* Alexandre (Sandy) Kedar, Law School, University of Haifa

*Primary Keyword:* Geographies of Law

Presentations:

Do-It-Yourself (“DIY”) Venues: Transgressive Transformative Cultural Spaces in the City, Cultural Preservation Interests, and Contestations of Dominant City Redevelopment and Gentrification Processes
Sara Ross, Osgoode Hall Law School

Regulating the Home: Housing Codes at Times of Social Change, 1867-2015
Hed Ehrlich, University of California, Berkeley

The Challenge of Inclusion
Kenneth Stahl, Chapman University Fowler School of Law

The Legal Geography of Housebuilding in England
Antonia Layard, University of Bristol
The properties of tenure
David Cowan, University of Bristol
Alison Wallace, University of York
Helen Carr, University of Kent

**Legal Pluralism in a Context of Transitional Justice and Reconstruction**
CRN: 48
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon C

**Chair/Disc:** Janine Ubink, University of California Irvine

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law

**Presentations:**
- Accountability in Northern Uganda: Changing Traditions in Post-Conflict Justice
  Janet McKnight, Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project
- Inspiring Conflict-Related Justice? A Critical Legal-Pluralistic Perspective on Emerging Transnational Legal Norms Contending with the Past
  Philipp Kastner, University of Western Australia
- Legal pluralism: The Multiple Paths to (In)Justice in the Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo
  Holly Dunn, University of Minnesota
- The Sheikhs of Two Cities: Tribalism and Pluralism in Post-2003 Iraq
  Ruba Ali Al-Hassani, Osgoode Hall Law School
- Transitional Justice in Ferguson, MO: Who is Transforming Ferguson?
  Laura Zlotowski, University of California-Irvine

**Life of the Law - Pitching Scholarship to Media (A Workshop)**
Public Outreach Session

**Room:** Salon D

**Facilitator(s):**
- Nancy Mullane, Life of the Law
- Mary Adkins, Life of the Law

You’ve just published years of research and now it’s time to get it disseminated! Get tips on best practices for reaching out to media to get your scholarship in the news. Meet one-on-one with professional, award-winning journalists and producers from Life of the Law.

Come prepared to pitch your exciting scholarship for a possible story and they’ll be ready to listen and provide individual feedback.

Participating LOTL Reporters and Producers include:
- Ashley Cleek, Life of the Law and NPR Reporter
- Jonathan Hirsch, Life of the Law Producer and Reporter
- Kirsten Jusewicz-Haidle, Reporter

**Methods Cafe**
Professional Development Panel

**Room:** Carondelet

**Chair:** Ellen Berrey, University of Denver

**Participants:**
- Susan Coutin, University of California, Irvine
- Ronit Dinovitzer, University of Toronto
- Eric Feldman, University of Pennsylvania Law School
- Lawrence Friedman, Stanford University Law School
- Laura Hatcher, Southeast Missouri State University
- Robert Nelson, Northwestern University
- Osagie Obasogie, University of California Hastings
- Keramet Reiter, University of California, Irvine
- Justin Richland, University of Chicago
- Kim Lane Scheppelle, Princeton University
- Gregory Shaffer, University of California Irvine
- Susan Silbey, MIT
- Nicole Gonzalez Van Cleve, Temple University

The goal of this session is to provide consultation and informal instruction about the use of specific methods and their application in sociolegal research. Scholars experienced in a range of research methods will sit in a large ballroom at different tables, which are prominently labeled with the methods about which they are prepared to advise. If you have heard about a method and would like to know more about it, if you are thinking about a particular method for a research project, or if you are currently struggling with a method, you are invited to consult with our experts—they are diverse in approach and eager to advise you.

**Moving Beyond Criminal Law to Fix the Criminal Justice System**
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Galvez

**Chair:** Benjamin Levin, Harvard Law School

**Participants:**
- Monica Bell, Harvard Law School
- Dan Farbman, Harvard Law School
- Thomas Frampton, Orleans Public Defender
- Trevor Gardner, NYU Law School
- Benjamin Levin, Harvard Law School
This Round table discussion will focus on a range of legal and social institutions that do not clearly fall under the ambit of “criminal law” or the “criminal justice system,” but that shape the carceral state and criminal law enforcement regime. Specifically, this roundtable will consider a set of related legal regimes – immigration enforcement, municipal laws regarding housing and zoning, and employment law doctrines and institutions – that shape experiences of criminal punishment and exacerbate the marginalization of those with criminal records. While discussions of sentencing and policing reform are certainly important to addressing the current state of the criminal justice system, this Round table will focus on the margins of criminal law to ask how other reforms or legal decisions might reshape and address the problems of contemporary criminal law.

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

**Outlaws of International Law**

CRN: 23

Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 6

**Chair:** Ioannis Kalpouzos, City Law School, City University London

**Primary Keyword:** International Law and Politics

**Presentations:**

Criminal Rebel Groups: Labelling-Punishing-Targeting
Ioannis Kalpouzos, City Law School, City University London

Incorrigible states: Outlaws of collective security
Isobel Roele, Queen Mary University of London

The production of plunder: Somali piracy in international legal thought
Tor Krever, London School of Economics and Political Science

The Universal Boatperson
Itamar Mann, Georgetown Law Center

**Private Fiduciary Law**

Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 5

**Chair:** Evan Cridde, William & Mary Law School

**Disc:** Paul Miller, McGill University Faculty of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Corporate and Securities Law

**Presentations:**

Company Directors as Fiduciaries: Practical and Theoretical Challenges
Colin Moore, University of Kent

Fiduciary Contours: perspectives on mutual funds and private funds
Deborah DeMott, Duke University School of Law

Repugnant Business Models
Claire Hill, University of Minnesota Law School

The Diminishing Duty of Loyalty
Julian Velasco, Notre Dame Law School

**Sentencing and Capital Punishment**

Paper Session

**Room:** Jackson

**Chair/Disc:** Meredith Rountree, Northwestern Law

**Primary Keyword:** Capital Punishment

**Presentations:**

An Empirical Analysis of the Causes of the Astounding Decline in Death Sentences in the United States in the Last Two Decades
David McCord, Drake University Law School
Talia Harmon, Niagara University

Alice Storey, Birmingham City University

Knowledge of Punishing: A Neo-Institutional Account of the Practice of Punishing
Gil Rothschild, UC Berkeley - JSP PhD (Jurisprudence and Social Policy)

Relationship Between Social Movements and the Capital Punishment in the Middle East
Sanaz Alasti, Lamar University
Eric Bronson, Lamar University
Eric Bronson, Lamar University
Amir Fakhravar, Lamar University

The Role of Identity in Court Officials’ Sentencing Decisions
Alix Winter, Harvard University
Matthew Clair, Harvard University

**Sexuality At the Margins**

Paper Session

**Room:** Regent
Friday 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

**Chair/Disc:** Clifford Rosky, College Of Law, University of Utah

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Reimagining Ownership: The Impact of Sexual Violence on the Law of Forced Labor and Slavery
  *Rana Jaleel, University of California, Davis*

- Religion, Culture, and Children’s Rights
  *Samantha Godwin, Yale Law School*

- Salvaging ‘Safe Spaces’: Best Practices for LGBTQ Youth-Serving Professionals Encountering Law Enforcement
  *Brendan Conner, Streetwise and Safe*

- Symbolic Legislation: Reevaluating Conversion Therapy Bans
  *Marie-Amelie George, Columbia Law School*

- Unprofessional Advice
  *Claudia Haupt, Columbia Law School*

**Social Theories of Punishment I: Rethinking and Enriching Theories of Punishment**

**CRN:** 27

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 2

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

**Presentations:**
- Civil Society in Prison: Citizenship Theories and Incarceration
  *Sheri-Lynn Kurisu, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign*

- How the Prison is a Black Box in Punishment Theory
  *Lisa Kerr, New York University*

- Towards a theory of social movements’ criminalization and political deviance
  *Xenia Chiaramonte, Università di Milano, visiting scholar at UC Berkeley, Center for the Study of Law & Society*

**Tax Session 8: Retirement**

**CRN:** 31

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Galerie 2

**Chair/Disc:** Emily Satterthwaite, University of Toronto Faculty of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation

**Presentations:**
- Current and Future Generations
  *Neil Buchanan, The George Washington University Law School*

- Fossil Fuel Tax Incentives (Oops, I mean “Expenditures”): Did Tax Expenditure Paradigm Really Inform Tax Policy?
  *Mona Hymel, University of Arizona, College of Law*

- Removing the Legal Impediments to Offering Lifetime Annuities in Pension Plans
  *Jonathan Forman, University of Oklahoma College of Law*

- Taming the Roth IRA Monster
  *Ausher Kofsky, Western New England University, College of Business*

**Trauma-Informed Lawyering and Social Change**

**CRN:** 9, 12

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Balcony M

**Chair:** Priscilla Ocen, Loyola Law School, Los Angeles

**Participants:**
- Alina Ball, UC Hastings
- Claudia Pena, Repair & UCLA
- Beth Ribet, Repair & UCLA

Can law comprehend trauma? The concept of “trauma-informed lawyering” has recently been heralded as a new cutting edge or breakthrough in the legal world. It might as easily be noted that law is just, belatedly catching up, as “trauma-informed care” has been an established field in healthcare for more than a decade. Participants will initially discuss the potential meanings of trauma-informed lawyering, and the opportunities that the framework poses for advocates and scholars concerned with racial, economic and gender justice, the often disabling physical and mental health effects of subordination, and deeper structural change. Each contributor will also identify the salience of trauma in their own advocacy, scholarship, and legal interventions, the challenges in attempting to address trauma through legal narratives, structures, and process, and the consequences when trauma is or is not acknowledged or validated, in legal spheres.

**Primary Keyword:** Race, Critical Race Research

**2063 Africa: Issues in Education Law, Strategic Policy, and Sustainable Development**

**IRC:** 27

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Bonaparte
Chair: Egbewole Abdulwahab Olasupo, University of Ilorin, Nigeria
Thomas Kleven, Thurgood Marshall School of Law

Participants:
Mariam Abdulraheem-Mustapha, Faculty of Law, University of Ilorin
Michael Addaney, University of Energy and Natural Resources
Ashwanee Budoo, Centre for human rights, university of Pretoria
Ngozi Chuma-umeh, Imo State University
David Nnanna Ikpo, CLAZ Solicitors and Advocates
Etudaiye Muhtar, Faculty of Law, University of Ilorin
Olanike Odewale, Lead City University, Ibadan, Nigeria

The roundtable is a session of an IRC which investigates the various challenges that confronts Africa.Prevalent among these factors identified is the poor provision of social services which affects the realisation of socio-economic rights in most African states. Poor maternal and child mortality rates, poor access to basic education, access to habitable environment, to food and to portable water all continue to elude Africa. The IRC examines the current AU response agenda 2063. ‘An Africa of good governance, democracy and respect for human rights, justice and the rule of law’ It is therefore the focus of this research group to compile a series of research presentations into a book with a proposed title of: 2063 Africa: Issues in Education Law, Strategic Policy, and Sustainable Development. It is anticipated that the book will explore various socio-economic rights issues arobust and viable agenda for the African Union

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights

Friday June 3
2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

AMR: Mitchell F. Crusto - State of Emergency: From Compton to Ferguson, Lessons from Katrina
CRN: 12
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Salon E

Author: Mitchell Crusto, Loyola University College of Law

Chair: Charlton Copeland, University of Miami

Readers:
Andrea Armstrong, Loyola University (New Orleans) College of Law
Charlton Copeland, University of Miami
Raymond Diamond, LSU Law School
Sheldon Bernard Lyke, Whittier Law School

This inter-active session will revolve around on my book (Carolina Academic Press) entitled, Involuntary Heroes. It explores Hurricane Katrina’s impact on civil liberties and challenges governments’ actions that infringe on people’s civil liberties during emergencies and how courts handle allegations of wrongful infringements. Overall, it continues the post-911 debate over the need for an “emergency constitution.” It enhances that debate by examining how declarations of emergencies impact the nature of civil liberties. I think this is a compelling program and is extremely relevant in light of Ferguson, Mo, the Boston Marathon bombing, and the Ebola scare.

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Assessing Social Progress and Its Barriers: Supranational Organizations and Technologies of Governance
Roundtable Session

Room: Balcony N

Chair: Sally Engle Merry, New York University

Participants:
Javier Couso, University Diego Portales/Utrecht University
Terence Halliday, American Bar Foundation
Leslye Obiora, University of Arizona
Gregory Shaffer, University of California Irvine

A group of sociolegal scholars has joined with a large number of other social scientists to produce a collective report, the International Panel on Social Progress (IPSP), which documents the achievements and failures of social progress. The initiative parallels the International Panel on Climate Change. This roundtable includes many of the scholars who are working on the chapter on “Supranational Organizations and The Technologies of Governance.” The roundtable will introduce the project, discuss several of the themes in the chapter, and invite ideas from the audience. The IPSP aspires to produce an overview of a large field that draws on the expertise of a large group of scholars. The chapter is in the process of being drafted and finalized, and the contributors would appreciate further input.

Primary Keyword: International Law and Politics

Beyond Criminal Law: New Frames for Understanding Gendered and Sexual Harms
CRN: 7
Paper Session

Room: Studio 9

Chair: Cynthia Godsoe, Brooklyn Law School

Disc: Aziza Ahmed, Northeastern University School of Law
**Primary Keyword:** Crime and Victims

**Presentations:**

- Breaking the binary prostitution/sex work: a shift towards corporate law  
  **Macarena Saez, American University Washington College of Law**

- Decriminalizing Domestic Violence  
  **Leigh Goodmark, University of Maryland Carey School of Law**

- Developing Theories of “Collective Entity” Responsibility for Gender-Based Violence in Tort  
  **Nancy Chi Cantalupo, Barry University Dwayne O. Andreas School of Law**

- No Quick Fix: The Failures of A Criminal Law Framework for Domestic Child Sex Trafficking and Advances in Civil Remedies  
  **Charisa Smith, University of Wisconsin Law School**

- Rape Beyond Crime  
  **Margo Kaplan, Rutgers Law School**

**Book Panel Introducing New Books in Language and Law**

CRN: 16  
Roundtable Session  
Room: Galerie 3

**Chair:** Janet Ainsworth, Seattle University

Volumes published by the Oxford University Press series Oxford Studies in Language and Law in 2015 and the first half of 2016 include:

- Philipp Sebastian Angermeyer, Speak English or What?: Codeswitching and Interpreter Use in the New York City Courts (2015)
- Susan Ehrlich, Diana Eades, and Janet Ainsworth, eds., Discursive Constructions of Consent in the Legal Process (2016)

**Primary Keyword:** Language And Discourse

**Corporate/Securities Law Panel #1: Financial Market Regulation**

CRN: 46  
Paper Session  
Room: Salon C

**Chair:** Christina Parajon Skinner, Columbia Law School

**Disc:** Olufunmilayo Arewa, University of California, Irvine School of Law  
Nizan Packin, Baruch College

**Primary Keyword:** Corporate and Securities Law

**Presentations:**

- Empire of the Fund: Mutual Funds, 401(k)s & The Way We Save Now  
  **William Birdthistle, Chicago-Kent College of Law**

- FDIC Suits Against Bank Directors and Officers  
  **Julie Hill, University of Alabama**

- Pillow Talk, The Parent Trap, Sibling Rivalries, Kissing
Cousins, and Other Personal Relationships in U.S. Insider Trading Cases
Joan Heminway, The University of Tennessee

Public-Private Equity
Summer Kim, University of California, Irvine, School of Law

Regulating Nonbanks
Christina Parajon Skinner, Columbia Law School

Should the Federal Government Assume a Larger Role in Regulating U.S. Insurance Conglomerates in Order to Maintain Financial Stability?
Elizabeth Brown, University of Wisconsin-Lacrosse

Courts, Trials and Justice in East Asia
CRN: 33
Paper Session
Room: Galerie 5
Chair/Disc: Mari Hirayama, Hakuoh University
Primary Keyword: Courts And Trials
Presentations:
An Empirical Analysis Of The Application Of Guiding Cases In China: Implications For Judicial Reform In China
Qingtao Xie, Stanford University

Can the Procedural Justice Model be applied to China?
Feng Jing, City University of Hong Kong

Japan’s Medical Accident Investigation System with Three Main Purposes
NAOYA ENDo, Fairness-Law Firm

Exploring the Rights to Education, Housing and Social Assistance in Context
CRN: 47
Paper Session
Room: Studio 1
Chair: ANA MARIA SANCHEZ RODRIGUEZ, University of Massachusetts Boston
Disc: Diane Frey, Murphy Institute, CUNY
Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights
Presentations:
Courts, Communism, and Commercialism: Why the 1940s and 1950s Matter for Education Policy Today
Daniel Moak, University of Pennsylvania
Eviction: Social Exclusion and Enhanced Risk in Vancouver’s DTES
Margot Young, University of British Columbia

Improving Minha Casa, Minha Vida housing program: applying behavioral economics discoveries and libertarian paternalism to help people make better decisions
Maria Laura de Souza Coutinho, Direito GV

Politics and the Constitution: Strategies for Strengthening Minority Rights and Access to Higher Education
Kristen Barnes, University of Akron School of Law

Risks and Dynamics in Constitutional Adjudication: Lessons from an Imaginary Social-Assiatance Case
Luca Martino Levi, Harvard Law School

The Perfect Storm: Property Rights Trump Human Rights
JoAnne Myers, Marist College

Defining Law and Law & Society
Paper Session
Room: Galvez
Chair/Disc: Kirsten Anker, McGill University
Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law,
Presentations:
Calling for Law. Is a normative definition of law defensible?
Diane Bernard, KULeuven, U. St-Louis, UCLouvain, ULg

Critical Legal History and Law as a Social System: two perspectives on the relation between law and society
Ana Beatriz Vanzoff Robalinho Cavalcanti, Yale Law School

Law and compassion: law and society scholarship
Dermot Feenan, University of Portsmouth

Mandela’s law: Law as principle, power, resistance, and statecraft
Fran Buntman, George Washington University

Semantic Legal Ordering: Theorizing the Causal Influence of Legal Meanings
Laura Ford, The Baldy Center for Law & Social Policy

Michael Raphael, CUNY Graduate Center
Emerging Issues in Critical Race and the Law
CRN: 12
Paper Session

Room:  Balcony M

Chair/Disc: Andrea Freeman, University of Hawai'i William S. Richardson School of Law

Primary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

Presentations:
Big Data and Consumer Racism
Andrea Freeman, University of Hawai'i William S. Richardson School of Law

Fearless Color Confrontations: On misplacing Dr. King's nonviolence, rediscovering Dr. Cress' theory, and still pondering Judge Reeves' uncensored ruling teaching from the bench
Angela Mae Kupenda, Mississippi College School of Law

Emma Shakeshaft, University of Wisconsin-Madison

RACE AS DISABILITY?
Kimani Paul-Emile, Fordham University Law School

Environmental Justice
Paper Session

Room:  Balcony I

Chair:  Alison Dundes Renteln, University of Southern California

Primary Keyword: Environment and Energy

Presentations:
Environmentalism and The Indian Court: New Techniques of Governance and Questions for Environmental Justice
Sanghamitra Padhy, Ramapo College

Live Again: Environmental Justice Considerations on the Impacts of Goods Movement and Hazardous Waste Transportation in U.S. Communities
Megan A. Moore, Temple University James E. Beasley School of Law

Property Rights and Wrongs: Oil and Gas Mineral-Rights Leasing Contracts as Artifacts of Social Inequalities
Daniel Kluttz, University of California, Berkeley

The Theory and Practice of Functional Distributive Justice: The Right of Enjoyment and New Causes of Action
Temitope Onifade, University of Calgary

Fifty Years of the Law & Society Review: A Conversation With Past Editors
Professional Development Panel

Room:  Riverview

Chair: Joseph Sanders, University of Houston

Participants:
Shari Diamond, Northwestern U Law School/American Bar Foundation
Marc Galanter, University of Wisconsin-Madison
Herbert Kritzer, University of Minnesota Law School
Richard Lempert, University of Michigan
Frank Munger, New York Law School

This panel is part of the celebration of the 50th anniversary of the Law and Society Review. The panel is comprised of a group of past editors who represent most of the history of the Review. Among other things they will discuss are:

- The nuts and bolts of editing the review
- Allocative decisions such as the types of articles that the believed should and should not be published in the Review.
- Trends in the discipline during each editor’s tenure and what they see as the highlights of their editorship.
- Changes in the field and the Review over time.

Intellectual Property Contextualized
CRN: 14
Paper Session

Room:  Studio 7

Chair:  Bita Amani, Queen’s University, Faculty of Law

Primary Keyword: Intellectual Property, Culture

Presentations:
Are Trademarks Ever Fanciful?
Jake Linford, Florida State University

Assessing the implications of distributed creative production for copyright policy
Kristofer Erickson, University of Glasgow School of Law
Christopher Buccafusco, Cardozo School of Law

Life on other Worlds: Copyright, creators, and a clash of civilizations?
Smita Kheria, University of Edinburgh
The Jus of Use: Trademarks in Transition
Carys Craig, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University
Carys Craig, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University
Bita Amani, Queen’s University, Faculty of Law

International Law and Global Orders: Contested Practices and Identities
CRN: 23
Paper Session

Room: Studio 6

Chair/Disc: Jothie Rajah, American Bar Foundation

Primary Keyword: International Law and Politics

Presentations:
13 Years of Solitude: (Re)Locating Law, Violence, and Resistance in Guantanamo Bay’s Hunger Strikes
Safiya Rochelle, CARLETON UNIVERSITY

Colonizing Jihad: A dangerous occupation
John Strawson, University of East London

East of the Rule of Law: Spatialized Invocations of the Rule of Law in post-unification Germany
Christiane Wilke, Carleton University

Self-determination and the Colonial Legacy in International Law.
Barry Collins, University of East London

The Rule of Law and Qatar’s Rise to Global Prominence: Prospects and challenges
Noha Aboueldahab, Durham Law School

Invisible Institutionalisms | What Can We See When We Are Looking?
CRN: 36
Paper Session

Room: Bacchus

Chair/Disc: Sara Dezalay, Goethe University
Swethaa S. Ballakrishnen, New York University Abu Dhabi

Primary Keyword: Transnational Legal Orders, International and Regional Institutions

Presentations:
Autonomy and control of the Shanghai Mixed Court
Stefan Kroll, Goethe University Frankfurt

Invisible Institutions & Women’s Property Rights’ Formal Emergence: Women’s Inheritance Rights Reform and Son Preference in India
Rachel Brulé, NYU Abu Dhabi
Sonia Bhatalota, University of Essex
Sanchari Roy, University of Sussex

The Democratization of Courts in the Global South: The Role of Human Rights Discourse in Shaping Judicial Reforms
IRC: 30
Paper Session

Room: Balcony J

Chair/Disc: George Radics, National University of Singapore

Primary Keyword: Human Rights

Presentations:
Human rights discourse and judges’ work in Peru: Disconnections in child support that matter
Wilson Hernandez, Universidad de Lima

Judicial Reform in Thailand’s Fluctuating Regime: A Challenge for the Development of Domestic Human Rights Norms
Akawat Laowonsiri, Thammasat University (Thailand) and International Law Association of Thailand

Reforming the Court through Right to Justice
Yu Un Oppusunggu, University of Indonesia

“This Seductive Lie”? Checks and Balances, and Deference in Judicial Review
Jack Tsen-Ta Lee, School of Law, Singapore Management University

Vocabularies of Environmental Justice
CRN: 23 and IRC 10
Paper Session

Room: Studio 4

Chair/Disc: Karen Engle, University of Texas at Austin
Sumudu Atapattu, University of Wisconsin

Primary Keyword: Environment and Energy

Presentations:
Hierarchies as Law and Global Governance
Damjan Kukovec, Harvard Law School
Nature’s Mourning: On the Language of Law and the Munuteness of Nature  
**Matthew Nicholson, University of Southampton**

Old Tragedies, New Ethics: Reframing the Moral Economy of Commons  
**Rajshree Chandra, University of Delhi**

The Marriage of Human Rights and the Environment: From Mutual Convenience to Irreconcilable Differences  
**Usha Natarajan, The American University in Cairo**

Towards a Global Political Economy of Environmental Conflict: Some Remarks from the History of Biodiversity  
**Andreas Kotsakis, Oxford Brookes University**

**Issues in Socio-Legal Theory.**  
Paper Session

**Room:** Regent

**Primary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law,

**Presentations:**

Explaining the Legal Complex  
**Malcolm Langford, University of Oslo**

Is there a right to sleep?  
**Jonathan Goldberg-Hiller, University of Hawai‘i**

Power, Law and Vulnerabilities Across Borders “Power and Law” International Research Collaborative  
**Christopher Matera, U.C. Berkeley**

**Landing a Law and Society Job At a Teaching-Centered Institution**  
Professional Development Panel

**Room:** Salon D

**Chair:** Renee Cramer, Drake University

**Participants:**  
Paul Collins, University of Massachusetts, Amherst  
Renee Cramer, Drake University  
Sarah Hampson, University of Washington Tacoma  
Aaron Lorenz, Ramapo College  
Anna-Maria Marshall, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign  
Shannon Portillo, University of Kansas  
Mary Nell Trautner, University at Buffalo, SUNY  
Monica Williams, Weber State University

The academic job market is a difficult place to be - and candidates need to think through how to present themselves for a wide array of positions. Interdisciplinary legal studies education is a unique and vibrant field - and applying for jobs within it is different than applying for positions within more standard disciplinary homes at research-focused institutions. This roundtable includes faculty from several undergraduate teaching-centered institutions, who hire often in the fields related to law and society. It also includes faculty who mentor graduate students towards these positions. The roundtable will offer our collective reflections on, and advice for, the job market for these types of positions. We will discuss cover letters, teaching portfolios, the ‘job talk’ and teaching demonstration, and the intangible things we look for, when evaluating candidates to become our colleagues.

**Primary Keyword:** Teaching Law and Society

**Law and Popular Culture: Representation of the Underclasses in Movies and Television**  
Paper Session

**Room:** Balcony K

**Chair/Disc:** Lawrence Friedman, Stanford University Law School

**Primary Keyword:** Popular Culture, Media, Finance, and The Arts

**Presentations:**

“How Can It Not Know What It Is?”  
**Christine Corcos, LSU Law Center**

Ethnicity - offence, irony and satire in modern TV drama  
**Peter Robson, University of Strathclyde**

Jewish Lawyers on Television  
**Michael Asimow, Stanford/UCLA**

Law and Society in ‘The Second Mother’.  
**Pedro Fortes, FGV LAW SCHOOL**

**Law’s Promise to Social Change: Gender and Identity Boundaries**  
Paper Session

**Room:** Galerie 1

**Chair:** Shanthi Elizabeth Senthe, Faculty of Law, Thompson Rivers University

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Judging

**Presentations:**

From Catcalling to Gamergate to Revenge Porn: Can (and Should) Law Prevent Intimidation of Women in Public Places?  
**JoAnne Sweeney, University of Louisville, Louis D. Brandeis School of Law**
How Women Could Transform the World, If Only We Would Let Them: International Law as Gender Performance
Catherine Powell, Fordham University School of Law

The case for feminist legal history
Rosemary Auchmuty, University of Reading

Towards a New Departure: Suffrage, Litigation, and the 19th Century Women’s Movement
Gabriel Beringer, University of California, Berkeley

White Knighting: Exploring Manifestations of Benevolent Sexism
Alexander Ruiz, University of California: Irvine

Legal Education and Judges in the Australasian Region
CRN: 33
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 6

Chair: Richard Wai Sang Wu, The University of Hong Kong

Disc: Kay-Wah CHAN, Macquarie University

Primary Keyword: Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law

Presentations:
Challenge of the law school system in South Korea and Japan
jihyun baek, kangwon national university

Transactional and Experiential Law Teaching – Trends and Challenges in the Asia Pacific Region
Andrew Godwin, Melbourne Law School

When Law Meets Creative Media in Hong Kong and China: Reflective Learning of Common Law Concept and Values through Movies
Richard Wai Sang Wu, The University of Hong Kong
Grace Leung, Chinese University of Hong Kong

Legal Geography Panel IV Legal and Informal Production of Urban Spaces
CRN: 35
Paper Session

Room: Salon F

Chair/Disc: Sara Ross, Osgoode Hall Law School

Primary Keyword: Geographies of Law

Presentations:
Distribution As Recognition In Informal Urban Settlements In Belo Horizonte Metropolitan Area
Maria Tereza Fonseca Dias, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Thiago Lopes DECAT, Milton Campos Faculty of Law

Judges and urban conflict in Mexico: a Quantitative Exploration
antonio azuela, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico

Carlos Herrera, University College London
Camilo Saavedra, Centro de Estudios Constitucionales Mexican Supreme Court

The Spatial and Temporal Boundaries of Harm Reduction Practices: Subjectivities of Exclusion
Lisa Wright, Carleton University

Managing the State, Law, Politics, and Foreign Relations
Paper Session

Room: Studio 5

Chair/Disc: Jennifer Balint, The University of Melbourne

Primary Keyword: International Law and Politics

Presentations:
Experimentalist Responses to Temporary Problems in International Law: the Case of Counter-Terrorism
Nathanael Ali, Erasmus University Rotterdam

Sacred Rice: International Legal Frameworks and Agricultural Policy in Japan
Nicole Freiner, Bryant University

Voluntary Global Governance Systems
Scott Cooper, American National Standards Institute

Damira Khatam, Stanford Law School

New Developments in Juries and Lay Participation Worldwide
CRN: 4 and IRC: 18
Paper Session

Room: Studio 8

Chair/Disc: Marie Comiskey, University of Toronto

Primary Keyword: Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation

Presentations:
Jury Trials in Georgia: Trial and Error?
Responsive Judging
CRN: 43 and IRC: 38
Roundtable Session
Room: Salon H-G
Chair: Tania Sourdin, Monash University
Participants:
Honorable Kevin Burke, Minnesota District Court, 4th Judicial District, Hennepin County
Michael Jones, Arizona Summit Law School
Pauline Spencer, Magistrates’ Court of Victoria
Rick J. Verschoof, Utrecht University & District Court Midden-Nederland

This session involves discussion by judges using a series of scenarios to explore how a responsive judge might deal with issues that could emerge in a courtroom hearing. The scenarios are based on real court interactions and at the close of each scenario discussion, the outcome of the real life court interaction will be revealed. In the context of the discussion, Responsive Judging is used as a term that describes a range of behaviours and approaches used by judges to more effectively attend to issues and problems that emerge in the justice system. It is particularly focused on the way judges relate to those in the courtroom.

Primary Keyword: Judges and Judging

Rethinking Harm Through a Disability Lens
CRN: 40
Paper Session
Room: Salon B
Chair: Maya Sabatello, Columbia University
Disc: Michael Waterstone, Loyola Law School Los Angeles

Primary Keyword: Disabilities and Law

Presentations:
Children with Psychiatric Disabilities, Bioethics, and Genomic Knowledge
Maya Sabatello, Columbia University

Protecting the Social Autonomy of People with Disabilities in the Context of Physician-Assisted Dying
Jonas-Sebastien Beaudry, University of British Columbia

Responding to the Abuse of Persons with Disabilities in Institutions of Care in Canada: An Assessment of the Remedies
Freya Kodar, Faculty of Law, University of Victoria
Elizabeth Adjin-Tettey, Faculty of Law, University of Victoria

Wrongful Birth, Genetic Gatekeeping, and Reproductive Choice: The Disabled Body on Trial
Katharina Heyer, University of Hawai’i

Social Theories of Punishment II: Popular Punitivism
CRN: 27
Paper Session
Room: Studio 2
Chair/Disc: Rabia Belt, Stanford Law School

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
Crime and Turnout: An Empirical Investigation
Chris Kennedy, University of California, Berkeley
Amy E. Lerman, University of California, Berkeley, Goldman School of Public Policy

Deserve Ain’t Got Nothing to Do With It: The Deconstruction of the Moral Justifications for Punishment
Kristin Henning, Georgetown Law Center

Edward Bunker and the Carceral Habitus
Phil Goodman, University of Toronto
Joshua Page, University of Minnesota

Taking popular punitivism seriously: an ethnographic study of urban marginality and popular support for “law and order” in the UK
Insa Koch, London School of Economics

Tax Session 9: Theory and Jurisprudence
CRN: 31
Paper Session
**Room:** Galerie 2

**Chair/Disc:** David Elkins, Netanya College School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation

**Presentations:**
- Against Tax Exceptionalism
  - Alice Abreu, Temple University Beasley School of Law
  - Richard Greenstein, Temple University Beasley School of Law
- Bitcoin and the Case for Basis Pooling
  - Adam Chodorow, Arizona State University
- Environmental Case for the X Tax
  - Tracey Roberts, University of California, Hastings College of the Law
- Subsidizing the Heavenly Chorus: Labor Unions and Political Equality
  - Philip Hackney, LSU Paul M. Hebert Law Center

**The Moving Borders of Belonging: Revisiting the Relation Between Law and Structural Disadvantage**

**Presentations:**
- Chile and its Immigrants: Theoretical Foundations to Overcome a Structural Exclusion
  - Jaime Bassa, Valparaiso University
- Feminism and the Prohibition of Abortion in Chile: Failure, Resistance and Clandestinity
  - Lieta Vivaldi, Goldsmiths, University of London
- Prisoners’ disenfranchisement and the (Un)rule of Law in Chile
  - Pablo Marshall, Universidad Austral de Chile
- The Constitutional Citizenship of Children
  - Domingo Lovera, Universidad Diego Portales
- The Right to Punish the Excluded: A Comparison between the Case of the Poor and the Case of Foreigners
  - Rocio Lorca, New York University, School of Law

**The Role of Political Alliances and Affiliations in Shaping Rights Claims, Legal Consciousness, and Movement Strategies**

**Room:** Studio 3

**Chair/Disc:** Scott Cummings, University of California, Los Angeles

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**
- Mobilizing the Law in Congress: Indian Nation’s Political Struggles
  - Kirsten Carlson, Wayne State University Law School
- Reactionary Civil Rights Activism in Cold War America: The Case of Lucille Miller
  - Daniel LaChance, Emory University
- Reluctant Radicals: How Moderates Shape Movements for Social Change
  - Joseph Mello, DePaul University

**Well-Being for Law Students and Lawyers - Australian Perspectives**

**Room:** Jackson

**Chair:** Caroline Strevens, University of Portsmouth

**Disc:** Paula Baron, La Trobe University

**Primary Keyword:** Professional Education

**Presentations:**
- Academic Resistance to Wellness Initiatives within Australian Law Schools
  - Nick James, Bond University
- Belonging In The State Of Flux – A Foundation For Lawyer Wellbeing
  - Florence Thum, College of Law Australia
Implementing a Whole of Law School Approach to Wellness
Judith Marychurch, Melbourne Law School, University of Melbourne

Knowing Thyself – Legal Education for a Complex World
Colin James, ANU College of Law Legal Workshop

Perceptions of wellbeing in Law Teachers
Caroline Stevens, University of Portsmouth
Clare Wilson, University of Portsmouth

The Australian Wellness Network for Law: Promoting Psychological Well-Being for Law Students and Lawyers
Rachael Field, Queensland University of Technology

What Is Wrong With the First Amendment
Roundtable Session
Room: Galerie 4
Chair: Tamara Piety, University of Tulsa College of Law
Participants:
Mary Anne Franks, University of Miami School of Law
Tamara Piety, University of Tulsa College of Law
Amanda Shanor, Yale Law School
Ciara Torres-Spelliscy, Stetson Law School
Sonja West, University of Georgia

The First Amendment represents a doctrine in flux. Many of today’s most important legal conflicts are conflicts that implicate the First Amendment, especially in light of the Supreme Court’s continuing engagement with the issue and the issuance of a dozen or more high profile cases. The most prominent of these is, of course Citizens United. But there have been many more such as Sorrell v. IMS Health, Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association, Reed v. Town of Gilbert, United States v. Alvarez (Stolen Valor case), Synder v. Phelps, McCullen v. Coakley, United States v. Stevens, and many more. At stake are rules governing elections, net neutrality, regulation of fraud, access to abortion, speech of public employees, free exercise of religion and more. The First Amendment is the site of the collision of disputes about gay marriage and commerce, social responsibility and commerce, consumer protection, protection of intellectual property and freedom of the press, the role of the press in a democracy, pornography, hate speech, and equality. Indeed, in large part because of the capaciousness of the Court’s First Amendment doctrine, which offers the opportunity to reframe many debates as questions of freedom of religion or freedom of expression which would not previously have been thought to raise these issues. This roundtable is convened to discuss some of these issues.

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

2063 Africa: Issues in Education Law, Strategic Policy, and Sustainable Development
IRC: 27
Roundtable Session
Room: Bonaparte
Chair: Thomas Kleven, Thurgood Marshall School of Law
Participants:
Ogechukwu Ojimaduekwu Ajoku, Ojimaduekwu Attorneys
Nortey Joan, Faculty of Law Kings University College
Mariam Kamunyu, Centre for human rights, university of Pretoria
Wiiky Nyuyke Charles Nyuykonge, The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
Sylvia Ivy Tayebwa, Uganda Civil Society: Fruits of Rehab
Solomon Tekle Abegaz, Public International Law, University of Pretoria

The roundtable is a session of an IRC which investigates the various challenges that confronts Africa. Prevalent among these factors identified is the poor provision of social services which affects the realisation of socio-economic rights in most African states. Poor maternal and child mortality rates, poor access to basic education, access to habitable environment, to food and to portable water all continue to elude Africa. The IRC examines the current AU response agenda 2063. ‘An Africa of good governance, democracy and respect for human rights, justice and the rule of law’ It is therefore the focus of this research group to compile a series of research presentations into a book with a proposed title of: 2063 Africa: Issues in Education Law, Strategic Policy, and Sustainable Development. It is anticipated that the book will explore various socio-economic rights issues a robust and viable agenda for the African Union

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights

Friday June 3
4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.

Taxation, Equality and the Transnational
CRN: 38
Paper Session
Room: Galerie 2
Chair: Ann Mumford, King’s College London
Disc: Kathleen Lahey, Queen’s University Faculty of Law

Primary Keyword: Taxation
**Presentations:**

Introducing independent income taxation in Sweden in 1971  
Åsa Gunnarsson, Forum for Studies on Law and Society

Our Selfish Tax Laws  
Anthony Infanti, University of Pittsburgh School of Law

Women and Taxation – From Taxing for Growth and Tax Competition to Taxing for Sex Equality  
Kathleen Lahey, Queen’s University Faculty of Law

**Beyond Mass Incarceration I: Crisis and Critique in North American Penal Systems**

CRN: 27  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Studio 9

**Chair:** Alessandro De Giorgi, Department of Justice Studies, San Jose State University

**Participants:**  
Alessandro De Giorgi, Department of Justice Studies, San Jose State University  
Marie Gottschalk, University of Pennsylvania  
Kelly Hannah-Moffat, University of Toronto  
Mona Lynch, University of California, Irvine  
Dawn Moore, Carleton University  
Jonathan Simon, University of California-Berkeley

After four decades of vertical increases in imprisonment rates, driven by draconian penal policies and overly punitive sentencing practices, the US carceral system appears to have entered a state of structural crisis. With 2.2 million individuals currently behind bars, 7 million under some form of penal supervision, and hundreds of thousands of former prisoners “reentering” society each year from penal institutions, mass incarceration has become one of the most pressing social problems facing the United States today.

Growing public awareness of the spiraling costs of this hypertrophic carceral machine—in terms of both its fiscal and social sustainability—seems to have provided, for the first time since the punitive turn of the mid-1970s, a window of opportunity to challenge the American penal state and to question its compatibility with any notion of democratic citizenship. After decades of penal populism and unabated allegiance to the war on drugs and crime, some political elites have finally started to take note of the unsustainable nature of the present carceral state. Thus, over the past few years a multitude of policy strategies, reform proposals, and political platforms—e.g., “right on crime” coalitions, “justice reinvestment” plans, “smart on crime” approaches, “reentry” initiatives, and “evidence-based” policies—have begun to crowd the public sphere, drawing the attention of the public towards the current penal crisis. At the same time, highly mass-mediated grassroots mobilizations against police brutality, racial profiling, and police militarization have contributed to raise public awareness of the severe forms of democratic deficit and institutional violence affecting the policing of racialized poverty in the US.

These politico-institutional developments, underscored by scattered legislative and policy reforms which so far have resulted in modest—yet symbolically important—reductions in the prison populations of some American states (e.g. Texas, California, New Jersey, New York), have prompted a new wave of critical analyses within the punishment & society literature. Scholars and scholar/activists are faced today with the challenge of trying to decipher the often ambivalent signals emerging from the penal field, and to prefigure the possible futures of the American penal state. Are we witnessing the beginning of the end of mass incarceration, or are recent policy initiatives just subtle ways to “tinker with the system”? Are current penal reforms grounded in an emerging new sensibility towards the human dignity of prisoners, or is fiscal austerity the only vocabulary through which any effort at decarceration can be framed today? Are the current reforms ushering a new era of diversion and rehabilitation, or is net-widening and privatization a more likely future for the US carceral state? Can penal reforms be imagined separately from broader socioeconomic changes? Is there any ground for strategic alliances between reform-oriented policymakers and radical prison activists? What kinds of scholar/activist collaborations can be imagined in the present conjuncture? What agendas for penal reform can emerge from such collaborations? This roundtable session aims to provide a forum for scholars and scholar-activists to discuss these pressing issues through an interdisciplinary lens at the crossroads of political science, critical criminology, punishment & society, and critical legal studies.

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

**Case Studies in Indigenous-State Relations: Colonial Governance in Canada, the US and El Salvador**

CRN: 39  
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon F

**Chair:** Eve Darian-Smith, University of California Santa Barbara

**Disc:** Mayana C. Slobodian, University of Toronto

**Primary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

**Presentations:**  
Indigenous Peoples and the Elusive Nation: Commissions in Canada, 1912 to 2015  
Mayana C. Slobodian, University of Toronto
Separate but Unequal: Tribal Jurisdiction, Social Closure, and the Hurdles of American Indian Self-Determination?

**THERESA Rocha Beardall, Cornell University**


**Jacqueline Briggs, University of Toronto**

Tierra, Indio, Unidad: Indigenous Governance in the Age of the UNDRIP

**Jorge Cuéllar, Yale University**

---

**Corporate/Securities Law Panel #2: Rulemaking, National and International**

**CRN:** 46

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Salon C

**Chair:** *Wendy Couture, University of Idaho College of Law*

**Disc:** *Elizabeth Brown, University of Wisconsin-Lacrosse*

**Primary Keyword:** Corporate and Securities Law

**Presentations:**

A Glass-Half-Empty Analysis of Securities Regulation

*Wendy Couture, University of Idaho College of Law*

Convergence Of Corporate Sustainability Reporting Standards: Are The Global Reporting Initiative Guidelines The Best Route To Greater Corporate Accountability?

*Constance Wagner, Saint Louis University*

Law for the (Private) Lawmakers: Regulating Business Roles in Treatymaking

*Melissa (MJ) Durkee, University of Washington School of Law*

Transnational Securities Fraud Jurisprudence in the Wake of Morrison v. National Australia Bank

*Margaret V. Sachs, University of Georgia School of Law*

---

**Book Panel Introducing New Books in Citizenship and Immigration**

**CRN:** 2

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Galerie 3

**Chair:** *Maartje van der Woude, Leiden Law School*

The Law and Society Association CRN 2 on Citizenship and Migration is holding a book session to introduce 12 new books in the field published in 2015 and the first half of 2016.


**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

---

**Rethinking Japanese Lawyers in the 19th Century**

**CRN:** 33

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Galerie 5

**Chair:** *Setsuo Miyazawa, University of California Hastings School of Law; Aoyama Gakuin University Law School*

**Participants:**

Darryl Flaherty, University of Delaware

Bryant Garth, University of California Irvine
**Cultural, Social and Linguistic Discourses in East Asian Law and Legal Systems**

**CRN:** 33  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Galerie 6

**Chair/Disc:** Tokayuki Ii, Senshu University

**Primary Keyword:** East Asia

**Presentations:**
- Lay judges’ practical use of common sense and story in mixed jury (Saiban-in) deliberations in Japan  
  Takanori Kitamura, School of Law, Tokai University
- Misrecognition and Legality in Practice: Educating Vietnamese Engineers in Intellectual Property  
  David Bergan, NYU
- Neuro-Linguistic Analysis of Trademark Dilution  
  Syugo Hotta, Meiji University/University of Hawaii
  Masahiro Fujita, Faculty of Sociology, Kansai University
  Hioki Koichi, Kobe University

**Environment, Energy, and Legal Institutions**

**CRN:** 47  
**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Studio 1

**Chair:** Holly Stubbs, Center for Economic and Social Rights

**Participants:**
- Surabhi Chopra, Chinese University of Hong Kong
- LaDawn Haglund, Arizona State University
- Diana Quintero, Universidad Icesi
- Claudio Schuftan, People’s Health Movement

This roundtable will explore the evidentiary challenges facing the domestic litigation of claims based on economic and social rights. In spite of the increasing domestic recognition of the justiciability of economic and social rights, this litigation often hinges on complex and innovative arguments about difficult and politically sensitive-social problems. Substantiating such arguments often requires presenting complicated social science, technical, or statistical evidence. The roundtable will bring together participants to discuss how evidence was used in major cases involving economic and social right, from a selection of jurisdictions in different parts of the world. In so doing, the conversation aims to tease out types of evidence that judges have found compelling in supporting economic and social rights claims. The focus is to understand the influence of legal doctrines, social and political culture, and institutional frameworks on the kinds of evidence used in adjudication.
Friday 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.

**Primary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

**Family Law for a Postmarital World**
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Balcony N

**Chair:** Jana Singer, University of Maryland Francis King Carey School of Law

**Participants:**
- Naomi Cahn, GW
- June Carbone, University of Minnesota
- Martha Ertman, University of Maryland Law School
- Clare Huntington, Fordham Law School
- Jane Murphy, University of Baltimore School of Law

For more than two centuries, American family law has been organized around marriage. But marriage is no longer the dominant family form in the United States or abroad. Cohabitation has skyrocketed, and today more than 40% of American children are born to unmarried parents. American family law has been slow to react to these changes. Until recently, non-marital families lacked access to many of the legal remedies available to divorcing parents and their children. To remedy this, several scholars have proposed a new legal status for parent-partners -- a status that would come with legally enforceable rights and duties. Other commentators have expressed concerns about the impact of such a status on low income parents, who are much more likely than their wealthier counterparts to eschew marriage. The session will examine the risks and benefits of creating a new legal status for unmarried parents, and more generally of bringing previously excluded groups into the family justice system.

**Primary Keyword:** Family and Youth

**Intellectual Belongings: Intellectual Property, Community, & Society**
CRN: 14
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 7

**Chair:** William Gallagher, Golden Gate University

**Disc:** Peter Yu, Texas A&M University School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Intellectual Property, Culture

**Presentations:**
- Belonging as Intellectual Creation
- Betsy Rosenblatt, Whittier Law School

- Intellectual Property Futurism: Structuring Coherent Laws in the Face of Disruptive Technologies
- Alexandra George, University of New South Wales

Putting Academic Scientists Back into the Debate of the Bayh-Dole Act: Credibility Cycle and the Shifting Scientific Norms
Tai-Jan Huang, Stanford Law School

**New Sociological and Legal Perspectives About the Approach of Social Conflicts in Latin America**
IRC: 21
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Balcony J

**Chair:** Laura Lora, Universidad de Buenos Aires

**Participants:**
- Angelica Cuellar Vazquez, Faculty of Political and Social Sciences of the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM)

The presentation contains the analyses of several research problems involving the Judiciary and the conflicts arising from the interaction between the law and the society. It also encompasses different legal frameworks applicable in the socio-legal research in Latin America.

After describing the works to be presented in this Roundtable Session, we can conclude that all of them resort to the qualitative methodology in order to address each topic of interest. The importance of using this method in the socio-legal research lies in the possibility to observe more than the evident. In other words, the qualitative methodology is aimed at finding the meaning that is not always expressed by the social agents; it allows us to deepen the surface of the social phenomena. In fact, the individuals have certain qualities which are impossible to quantify. Therefore, by using tools to strengthen the quantitative data generated by an investigation we can open a broader vision of interpretation.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law

**Judges, Conflict Resolution, and Society**
CRN: 43
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon H-G

**Chair:** Michal Alberstein, Bar Ilan University

**Disc:** Archie Zariski, Athabasca University

**Primary Keyword:** Judges and Judging

**Presentations:**
- Expanding Judicial Discretion: Between Legal and Conflict Considerations
- Michal Alberstein, Bar Ilan University
- Yuval Sinai, Yale University
Judges and the Public Interest in Private Litigation
Archie Zariski, Athabasca University

Pre-trial Procedures: Promoting Compromises or Changing Procedural Reality?
Hanan Mandel, Ono Academic College

Settlement Judging: Choosing between a Wide or Narrow Model
Karni Perlman, College of Management Academic Studies

The Role of Judges in Settling Class Actions: The Case of the Fairness Hearing
Nourit Zimerman, Bar Ilan University

Justice and Trust in Law?
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 4

Chair: Kay Levine, Emory Law School

Disc: Alec Ewald, University of Vermont

Primary Keyword: Policing

Presentations:
Constructing Hate Crime: An Exploration of Police Practices and Hate Crime Investigation in the Greater Toronto Area
Timothy Bryan, York University

Ferguson, Law and Social Change
Barbara Graham, University of Missouri-St. Louis

Low institutional trust and victims’ strategies to meet their needs in contexts of high levels of organized crime victimization and low levels of institutional trust. A quantitative-qualitative mixed methods approach to victims’ response to violent crime victimization in Mexico.
Alejandra Armesto, FLACSO

On the ‘misuse’ of law in India
Anuj Bhuwania, South Asian University

Sex Crimes, Cops, Cyberspace: New Penology in the Information Age?
Meghan Peterson, University of Connecticut

Disc: Terence Halliday, American Bar Foundation
Carroll Seron, University of California, Irvine

Half a century ago the LSR was born. The journal has matured, but it cannot rest on its merits. Both the intellectual and the institutional fields in which it is embedded have undergone major shifts. What is the journal’s place in this changing environment, what will it be? Three prominent socio-legal scholars will present their thoughts, and two esteemed colleagues will provide critical comments.

Participants:
Sida Liu, University of Wisconsin-Madison
Calvin Morrill, University of California-Berkeley
Susan Silbey, MIT

Law’s Place in the Community of Academic Disciplines
Professional Development Panel

Room: Salon D

Chair/Disc: Gene Shreve, Indiana University-Bloomington

Participants:
Jeannine Bell, Indiana University Maurer School of Law — Bloomington
John Bessler, University of Baltimore School of Law
Carol Greenhouse, Princeton
Jayanth Krishnan, Indiana University, Bloomington
Ajay Mehrotra, American Bar Foundation
Elizabeth Mertz, University of Wisconsin, Madison

If research and publication are central to the importance of an academic discipline, how does law compare to disciplines in the social sciences or humanities? What is law’s disciplinary mission, and how is it advanced by legal scholarship? Does practice-oriented legal scholarship comport with high university ideals? Does conceptual legal scholarship have an audience? Does interdisciplinary legal scholarship enable law to retain a disciplinary identity of its own? How important to the acceptance of law as a discipline are historical, cultural or economic factors? How important is acceptance by those outside law’s disciplinary circle (e.g., nonlegal scholars, university administrators, grant-funding institutions, and academic publishers)?

Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law

Law, Social Change, and Revolution
Paper Session

Room: Balcony K

Chair/Disc: Camille Walsh, University of Washington Bothell

Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law,
Presentations:
Constituting Rebellion: Insurance Litigation and the Foreclosure of Black ‘Self-Destruction’
Sam C. Tenorio, Northwestern University

Eight to Great: The Intersection of Politics, Policy, and Problems in Leveraging Organizational and Social Change
Andrew Grandage, University of Georgia
Britt Aliperti, University of Georgia
Brian Williams, University of Georgia

Perils and Prospects for Legal Theory: Brunkhorst and Revolutions
James Chriss, Cleveland State University

Presentations:
Are Women’s Rights Human Rights? Russian Gender Discrimination Cases and the European Court of Human Rights
Lisa Sundstrom, University of British Columbia
Valerie Sperling, Clark University

Domestic politics and the legitimacy of the European Court of Human Rights: the case of the United Kingdom
Basak Cali, Koc University

How Strategic is Strategic Litigation?: Reflections on the Impact of LGBT Rights Cases Before the European Court of Human Rights
Loveday Hodson, University of Leicester

Presentations:
Clientele and the Progress of Women and Racial Minorities in U.S. Corporate Law Firms
Fiona Kay, Queen’s University

Discriminating Professionals: Can Lawyers Choose Clients on the Basis of Race or Gender?
Jessie Allen, University of Pittsburgh School of Law

The Declining Dominance of Lawyers in U.S. Politics
Nick Robinson, Harvard Law School

Presentations:
The Poodle Problem: The Paradox of Professional Independence in Large Law Firms
Emma Oakley, University of Birmingham
Steven Vaughan, Birmingham Law School

The Ties That Bind: The Relationship Between Law Firm Growth And Law Firm Survival
Alan Kluegel, University of California-Berkeley

Legal Mobilization and Domestic Impacts of the European Court of Human Rights
Paper Session

Presentations:
Are Women’s Rights Human Rights? Russian Gender Discrimination Cases and the European Court of Human Rights
Lisa Sundstrom, University of British Columbia
Valerie Sperling, Clark University

Domestic politics and the legitimacy of the European Court of Human Rights: the case of the United Kingdom
Basak Cali, Koc University

How Strategic is Strategic Litigation?: Reflections on the Impact of LGBT Rights Cases Before the European Court of Human Rights
Loveday Hodson, University of Leicester

Legal Pluralism, Legal Reinventions
Paper Session

Presentations:
Social Change and Adaptation of French Property Law in Quebec, Louisiana and Francophone Switzerland in the 19th Century
Asya Ostroukh, University of the West Indies

The Making of Market/Family Legal Distinction in Three Codification Debates: The Germany-Japan-Taiwan Trilogy
Yun-Ru Chen, Waseda University

Transforming the Common Law: Criminal Law Reform in Seventeenth-Century Massachusetts Bay.
John Acevedo, Barry University Dwayne O. Andreas School of Law

Market-Based Approaches to Old Age Services
CRN: 41
Paper Session
Chair: Elin Peterson, Social Work, Stockholm University

Disc: Helene Brodin, Stockholm University

Primary Keyword: Aging

Presentations:
- Dilemmas and possibilities of small care enterprises: a mixed-methods case study of the implementation of the customer choice model in Swedish eldercare
  Helene Brodin, Stockholm University
  Elin Peterson, Social Work, Stockholm University
- Exploring tensions & contradictions in market-influenced long-term care regulation
  Jacqueline Choiniere, York University
- Marketization in Eldercare: Staffing and Quality in the Largest Nursing Home Chains in the US
  charlene harrington, University of California

Monetary Policy, Financial Regulation, and Socio-Economic Development
Roundtable Session

Room: Studio 5

Chair: David Zaring, The Wharton School

Participants:
Robert Hockett, Cornell Law School
Saule Omarova, Cornell Law School
Annelise Riles, Cornell Law School
David Zaring, The Wharton School
Rob Weber, Georgia State University

Discussions of monetary policy and financial regulation often seem disconnected from issues of socio-economic development. The conversation often focuses on the financial industry – on the assumption that the broader economy and, with it, society will prosper so long as the financial industry is operating smoothly and profitably. This roundtable will seek to reframe the debate by bringing together scholars of financial regulation and monetary policy to discuss the history, flaws and consequences of the predominant narrative. As an alternative, this Roundtable will explore ways to connect financial regulatory and monetary policy more directly with the end goal of sustainable and broad-based economic growth and social development.

Primary Keyword: Economy, Business and Society

New Challenges in International Criminal Law and Transitional Justice
CRN: 23
Paper Session

Room: Studio 6

Chair: Sara Kendall, University of Kent

Disc: Jamie Rowen, University of Massachusetts, Amherst

Primary Keyword: Human Rights

Presentations:
- Economic Rights, Crimes, and Wrongs: Revisiting the Critique of Transitional Justice
  Zinaida Miller, McGill University
- Propaganda On Trial: Expert Knowledge in the International Criminal Courtroom
  Richard Ashby Wilson, University of Connecticut
- Rethinking Procedural Justice: Victim Participation in International Criminal Trials
  Alexa Koenig, Human Rights Center - Berkeley Law
  Stephen Cody, Human Rights Center - Berkeley Law
- Seeking Truth in Times of Conflict and Transition: Gross and Systematic Violations at the American and European Human Rights Tribunals
  Darren Dinsmore, Kent Law School, University of Kent
- The Perils of Information Advocacy
  Jamie Rowen, University of Massachusetts, Amherst
  Megan Price, Human Rights Data Analysis Group

New Empirical Approaches to Studying Jury Representation and Jury Selection
CRN: 4
Paper Session

Room: Studio 8

Chair: Mary Rose, University of Texas

Disc: Nancy Marder, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law

Primary Keyword: Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation

Presentations:
- A jury of whose peers? The impact of selection procedures on racial composition and the prevalence of majority-white juries
  Jacinta Gau, University of Central Florida
- Exploring Peremptory Use in Civil Trials: An Experimental Approach
  Andrew Krebs, University of Texas at Austin
Information Seeking on Voir Dire: Mapping Patterns of Speech by Race
Barbara O’Brien, Michigan State University College of Law
Catherine Grosso, Michigan State University College of Law

Predicting Jury Participation Rates
Mary Rose, University of Texas

Race and Jury Selection: The Pernicious Effects of Backstrikes
Shari Diamond, Northwestern U Law School/American Bar Foundation
Joshua Kaiser, Northwestern University and American Bar Foundation

Policing, Masculinities, and Gender
CRN: 7
Paper Session

Room: Salon E
Chair: Leigh Goodmark, University of Maryland Carey School of Law
Disc: Jane Stoever, University of California, Irvine School of Law

Primary Keyword: Crime and Victims

Presentations:
Policing and the Clash of Masculinities
Ann McGinley, University of Nevada, Las Vegas

The Feminist Case For Acknowledging Women’s Acts Of Violence
Jamie Abrams, University of Louisville

The Violent Ends of Violent Delights: Punishing Teens for Consensual Sex
Leslie Garfield, Pace Law School

Reparations for Slavery: New Developments and Perspectives
CRN: 12
Roundtable Session

Room: Balcony M
Chair: Kaimipono Wenger, Thomas Jefferson Law School

Participants:
Atiba Ellis, West Virginia University
Kaimipono Wenger, Thomas Jefferson Law School

The past few years have seen a variety of important developments relating to the ongoing quest for reparations for slavery and Jim Crow. These include renewed public interest in reparations after high-profile news articles by Ta-Nehisi Coates; new impetus for reparations from Caribbean actors; a variety of new legal theories explored in contexts such as the international arena; and the effect of the Black Lives Matter movement. How do these developments affect the movement for reparations? Panelists will discuss the current state of reparations law as well as the ways that recent and ongoing developments will shape reparations claims going forward.

Primary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

Sexual Violence and the Law
Paper Session

Room: Regent

Presentations:
How do victims of rape relate to the Law?
Solveig Laugerud, University of Oslo, Norway

How do we measure prosecutorial outcomes in cases of rape in the US? Shifting numbers and meanings reveal differential legal response to a serious crime
Linda Williams, Wellesley College

Socio-Legal Issues Of Child Sexual Abuse In Nigeria In The Context Of Global Change
Michael Adeleke, Obafemi Awolowo University
Shifting the Boundaries of the Law in the War(s) on Terror
Paper Session

Room: Jackson

Chair/Disc: Andrew Brighten, U.C. Berkeley

Primary Keyword: War and Law including Security and Terrorism

Presentations:

Crime and Punishment in International Law
Whitney Taylor, Cornell University

Out of Court Settlements and Policy Making: Intelligence Informers at the Israeli Court
Menachem Hofnung, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Power/knowledge Dynamics in the Iraq Affair
Rebecca Moosavian, Northumbria University, School of Law

Square Pegs into Round Holes: The Misuse of the Laws of War in Modern Warfare
Seth Weinberger, University of Puget Sound

The Queen’s Prerogative and Legal Constraints on the Use of Force: Bringing Canada Into Line
Craig Martin, Washburn University School of Law

Social Theories of Punishment III: Discourse, Movements, and Agency
CRN: 27
Paper Session

Room: Studio 2

Chair/Disc: Ashley Nellis, The Sentencing Project

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:

A Genealogy of Zero-Tolerance in Ontario Student Discipline: “Zero-tolerance is not referenced in the Safe Schools Act … [but the Act] does set out mandatory consequences for specific infractions”

Zachary Levinsky, University of Toronto
Drug Truce

Alex Kreit, Thomas Jefferson School of Law

Law and Order Queers: Respectability, Victimhood, and the Carceral State
Kyle Kirkup, University of Toronto Faculty of Law

School Discipline, Justice, and Dropping Out: Examining the Significance of Gender, Race, and Ethnicity
Kay Varela, Texas A&M University
Sanna King, University of Hawaii
Alicia Pantoja, University of Pennsylvania
Anthony Peguero, Virginia Tech

Three Hots and a Cot? The Subjective Consciousness Of Men And Women on the Margins
Lauren Mayes, Temple University

Special Topics in Punishment & Society I: Rationing Criminal Justice
CRN: 27
Roundtable Session

Room: Studio 3

Chair: Hadar Aviram, UC Hastings College of the Law

Participants:

Miriam Baer, Brooklyn Law School
W. David Boll, Santa Clara University School of Law
Stephanos Bibas, University of Pennsylvania Law School
Richard Bierschbach, Cardozo Law School
John Pfaff, School of Law, Fordham University

Today, there is widespread agreement that the costs of the American carceral state far outweigh its benefits. This Roundtable explores one dimension of this problem: the mismatch between judging cases individually and weighing the collective costs and spillover effects of criminal justice synoptically. We have many mechanisms for ensuring that individual defendants are arrested, prosecuted, convicted, and sentenced in rough proportion to their desert. But we have few mechanisms for rationing the systemic costs these decisions impose. Participants will discuss strategies for more systematically rationing criminal justice, including block grants and cap-and-trade mechanisms for allocating crime-prevention measures; cost-benefit analysis at different stages of the criminal process; and tax-based approaches to managing searches and seizures. The aim is to examine the promise and limits of managing criminal justice using public policy tools that have long been applied to other areas.

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control
**Stakeholders and the Corporation**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Bonaparte

**Disc:** Robert Rosen, University of Miami

**Primary Keyword:** Corporate and Securities Law

**Presentations:**
- Corporations and the 99%: Team Production Revisited
  Shlomit Azgad-Tromer, UC Berkeley

- Human rights and corporate personhood: a critical approach to corporation constitutional rights
  Sergio Negri, Federal University Of Juiz De Fora (Ufjf) Law School - Brazil
  Joana Machado, Federal University Of Juiz De Fora (Ufjf) Law School - Brazil

- The Evolution of the Corporate Secretary: Unassuming Linchpin to Board Effectiveness and Sustainability Governance
  Carol Liao, University of Victoria
  Christie Stephenson, Purpose Capital
  Coro Strandberg, Strandberg Consulting Inc.

**Social Media, the Internet, and the Law I**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Galerie 1

**Primary Keyword:** Technology

**Presentations:**
- Humor as a thermometer: the freedom to create humorous content on the Internet in Brazil
  Dennys Antonialli, University Of Sao Paulo

- The Social Military: the use of Social Media by the UK Military
  David Denney, Royal Holloway University of London
  Rikke Bjerg Jensen, Royal Holloway University of London

- The Whack-a-Mole game of worldwide delisting from Google search results: the significance of Equustek Solutions Inc v Google Inc, 2015 BCCA 265
  Emily Laidlaw, University of Calgary

**“A Right to Live in the World”: The Disability Challenge to Institutions**

**CRN: 40**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Salon B

**Chair/Disc:** Katharina Heyer, University of Hawai’i

**Primary Keyword:** Disabilities and Law

**Presentations:**
  Stephen Meyers, University of Washington

  Paul Durlak, University at Buffalo, SUNY

- Olmstead Exceptionalism
  Michael Waterstone, Loyola Law School Los Angeles

- Outcasts from Evolution: Woman Suffrage Activists and Disability
  Rabia Belt, Stanford Law School

The right to inclusive equality in education for disabled children in Nigeria primary schools: Any respite under the CRPD.

Ngozi Chuma-umeh, Imo State University
Ekenze Modestus Uchenna, Tansian University, Anambra State
#AfricanEducationMustRise?
#AfricanJudicialIndependenceMustRise? Are Students and Judges Changing the African Debate on Education and Governance?
CRN: 13
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 4

Chair: Jonathan Klaaren, University of the Witwatersrand

Primary Keyword: African Law & Society

Presentations:
From a rights-based to a political approach to pre-trial detention: donor aid, local governance, and the instrumentalization of criminal justice in Gulu Town, northern Uganda
Deval Desai, Harvard Law School
Rebecca Tapscott, The Fletcher School, Tufts University

Integrating Au’s Agenda 2063 Into National Educational Policies: Strategy For Action
Michael Addaney, University of Energy and Natural Resources

Rhodes Must Fall! Decolonising legal education in post-apartheid South Africa
Dee Smythe, University of Cape Town

Socio-Legal Research In Africa: The Case Of Kenya And How Research Plays A Role In Emancipation Through Knowledge Production
Agnes Meroka, University of Nairobi, School of Law
Duncan Ojwang, University of Nairobi, School of Law
Attlay Waris, University of Nairobi, School of Law
Edwin Abuya, University of Nairobi, School of Law

South Africa’s immunity laws under scrutiny after failure to arrest and President Al Bashir to the ICC in light of Southern African Litigation Centre v Minister of Justice
Ntombizozuko Dyani-Mhango, University of the Witwatersrand

The vulnerability of Judges in Contemporary Africa: Alarming Trends
Tabeth Masengu, University of Cape Town

Gender, Economy and the State
CRN: 38
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 3

Chair: Åsa Gunnarsson, Forum for Studies on Law and Society

Disc: Ann Mumford, King’s College London

Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Presentations:
Criminalization of Abortion and Same-Sex Intimacy in Africa: Triggers and Health Effects
Siri Gloppen, University of Bergen/CMI - Centre on Law & Social Transformation

The Case of R (on applic of SG) and a Refreshed Discourse of Welfare Rights
Ann Mumford, King’s College London

The Invention of Homophobia: How Variation among British, French, and Portuguese Colonial Rule Formed Legal Trajectories that Explain Patterns of Anti-Homosexuality Legislation in their Respective African Colonies
Matthew McEwen, University of Notre Dame

AMR: Edward L. Rubin - “Soul, Self, and Society: The New Morality and the Modern State” (OUP)
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Salon E

Author: Edward Rubin, Vanderbilt

Chair: Malcolm Feeley, University of California-Berkeley

Readers:
Malcolm Feeley, University of California-Berkeley
Lawrence Friedman, Stanford University Law School
Liora Israël, École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales, Paris
Robert Kagan, University of California, Berkeley
Kim Lane Scheppele, Princeton University

Soul, Self and Society traces the transformation of both the conception of the self adn he conception of society over the past several hundred years. The author argues that a profound transformation has taken place. The long standing morality of duty has been displaced, he argues, by a morality of self-fulfillment. The primary institution that has facilitated this transformation, he continues, is the rise of the positive state that has assumed welfare functions that were once the responsibility of the individual. This relief of responsibility, he maintains, had permitted individuals greater capacity for
individual self-expression and fulfillment.

Readers responding to this argument are scholars with deep interests in social theory, historical sociology, and the rise of the modern administrative state, and thus will be able to assess the author’s bold claims in light of their own work and understanding of the consequences of the transformation of the modern state.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law, Beyond Mass

**Incarceration II: Law Behind Bars: Dynamics of Social Change in Prison**

**CRN:** 27  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 8

**Chair/Disc:** Jonathan Simon, University of California-Berkeley

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

**Presentations:**
- Limiting Power: Examining Practices of Police and Correctional Officers’ Accountability  
  Jihyun Kwon, University of Toronto
- Mad Politics: Insanity, Law, and Patient Collective Action  
  Christopher Berk, University of Chicago
- Perceptions of Fairness by Prisoners Appealing to Justice in California Prisons: The Role of Procedural Justice in the Inmate Grievance System  
  Valerie Jenness, UC Irvine  
  Kitty Calavita, UC Irvine
- Where, O Law, Is Your Victory? Where, O Law, Is Your Sting?: Law in Everyday Communications between Prisoners and Authorities  
  Corentin Durand, Ecoles des hautes études en sciences sociales (CMH-PRO)

**Collateral Consequences of Arrest and Conviction**

**CRN:** 27  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 2

**Chair/Disc:** Adelina Iftene, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

**Presentations:**
- Criminal Employment Law  
  Benjamin Levin, Harvard Law School

**Restorative Justice in Rio de Janeiro: Challenges and perspectives**

**Presenters:**
- Vivian Gama, Mediação Brasil

**Tenant Screening and Rental Housing Discrimination in the Information Age**

**Presenters:**
- Anna Reosti, University of Washington

**The Conditionality of Citizenship: Criminal Records and the Employee**

**Presenters:**
- Alexandra Hunter, University of Toronto  
  Kelly Hannah-Moffat, University of Toronto

**Contemporary Issues in Law and Society in Central and Eastern Europe, Balkans, Russia and Eurasia**

**CRN:** 20  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Bonaparte

**Chair/Disc:** Mihaela Serban, Ramapo College of New Jersey

**Primary Keyword:** Central Eastern Europe, Balkans, Russia, And Eurasia

**Presentations:**
- Citizens and law. Case study of expropriation in Tatra Mountains National Park in Poland  
  Marcin Wróbel, Jagiellonian University
- Deceptive Appearances - The Regulation of Prostitution in the Czech Republic  
  Barbara Havlkova, University of Oxford
- Public Procurement in Poland. Formal versus Informal Institutions.  
  Kaja Gadowska, Jagiellonian University  
  Paulina Polak, Jagiellonian University
- Soviet Family Law: Internalized, Socialized, and Collectivized  
  Jessica Willis, Granite State College

**Contesting Motherhood Across Criminal, Civil, and State Borders**

**CRN:** 29  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Balcony L

**Chair/Disc:** Michele Goodwin, University of California - Irvine School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Bioethics, Biotechnology and the Law
**Presentations:**
Baby Factories: Modern Antidote For Childlessness And Surrogacy In Nigeria
Titiyo Aderibigbe, Babcock University, School of Law & Security Studies,

Canadian Genes: Birth Abroad, Reproductive Technologies and Citizenship Determination
Lois Harder, University of Alberta

Carving out ‘space’ for mothering: Deconstructing the imagined community and its techniques of governance.
Carlie Leroux, University of Waterloo

The Prosecution of Pregnant Women in Three States: Formal Codification, Drug Trends, and Race
Grace Howard, Rutgers University

---

**Corporate/Securities Law Panel #3: Investors, Consumers, and the Public Interest**
CRN: 46
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon C

**Chair:** Anne Tucker, Georgia State University College of Law

**Disc:** Summer Kim, University of California, Irvine, School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Corporate and Securities Law

**Presentations:**
Corporate Political Activity and Non-Shareholder Agency Costs
David Min, UC Irvine School of Law

Financial Returns and Contracting Norms in Impact Investment
Anne Tucker, Georgia State University College of Law

Heightened Procedure
Jessica Erickson, University of Richmond

Investor Democracy
Anita K. Krug, University of Washington

Social Credit and the Right to be Unpopular
Nizan Packin, Baruch College
Yafit Lev Aretz, NYU

The Private Law of Corporate Campaign Finance Disclosure
Sarah Haan, University of Idaho College of Law

---

**The Economic and Social Rights of Children**
CRN: 47
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 1

**Chair:** Diana Quintero, Universidad Icesi

**Primary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

**Presentations:**
Children’s Rights, Autonomy and Protection – The Right to Freedom from Economic Exploitation as a Case Study
Noam Peleg, University of New South Wales

Identifying the Right to Sport and its Significance for the Healing of Traumatized Children in Refugee Camps.
Konstantinos Koutsoumpas, University of Massachusetts Boston

Issues of human right to education and equality of educational opportunity in Africa: An appraisal of Nigeria’s legal provisions and implications thereof
Nchuma Umeh Chuma Umeh, Imo State University

The Right to Health and Childhood Obesity
Katharina O Cathaoir, University of Copenhagen

The World Bank and The Right to Education in Brazil: economic and social interests
Elisa Lucena, University of São Paulo - USP
Ana Carla Bliacheriene, University of São Paulo - USP

---

**Cultural Perceptions of Law: Contracts, Women, & Fiction**
Paper Session

**Room:** Galvez

**Chair/Disc:** Kim D. Chanbonpin, The John Marshall Law School

**Primary Keyword:** Popular Culture, Media, Finance, and The Arts

**Presentations:**
Constructing Pilipin@ American Racial Identity in Legal Texts: Ward’s Cove Packing Co.
Kim D. Chanbonpin, The John Marshall Law School

Cultural Perception of the Law: The Doctrine of Promissory Estoppel
Julie Spanbauer, The John Marshall Law School

Who’s Afraid of Katniss Everdeen?: How Fictional Female Characters Can Inspire Legal Institutions to Ameliorate Gender Inequality
Susan Brody, The John Marshall Law School
Discourse and Language in Practice

**Presentations:**
- Corpus Linguistics, Law and Women Who Kill: An Intersection around Homicide
  - Siobhan Weare, Lancaster University, UK
  - Amanda Potts, Cardiff University
- I’m Not Suggesting that He is Lying, But...
  - Mel Greenlee, California Appellate Project
- Law as Media Technology: Transformations in Legal Writing Practices 1980-2010
  - Thomas Streeter, University of Vermont
- The Function of English Evidentials; the Role of Discourse Markers in Negotiating Common Ground in Police Interviewing
  - Jennifer Glougie, University of British Columbia
  - Robert Richards, Penn State University
- Words Cannot Express My Wonderment: High Significance Cases and Disagreeable Rhetoric in Supreme Court Opinions
  - Michael Zilis, University of Kentucky
  - Justin Wedeking, University of Kentucky

Discourse, Language, and Categorizations

**Presentations:**
- Balancing Exercise in Human Rights Cases s a Means to Resolve Rhetorical Antinomies
  - Anita Soboleva, National Research University Higher School of Economics
- Imagined Communicators in the Production of Legal Meaning: Statutory Interpretation as Semiotic Theory
  - Anya Bernstein, SUNY Buffalo Law School
- Law and the Grammar of Judgment
  - Janet Ainsworth, Seattle University
  
Reconciliatory language in the ICTY: constructing legitimacy through discourse
  - Sigrun Valderhaug, Lancaster University Law School
  
What (who?) is a person?: the linguistics of defining legal personhood
  - Victoria Greenstone, Hofstra University

Discrimination At Work

**Presentations:**
- Do Unions Promote Rights for People with Disabilities?
  - Lilach Lurie, Tel Aviv University
- Protecting Vulgarity, Epithets and Offensive Workplace Speech?
  - Michael Green, Texas A&M University School of Law
- Pumping Breast Milk at Work: New Rights, Old Discrimination
  - Elizabeth Hoffmann, Purdue University
  - Csilla Lehoczky Kollonay, Central European University

Dispute Resolution Worldwide

**Presentations:**
- ADR in Japan: Interim Report of the User Survey
  - Shozo OTA, The University of Tokyo
Can Procedural Justice be a Viable Strategy for Building Trust Towards Judiciary in Post-Socialist Countries?
Evidence from Poland
Stanislaw Burdziej, Warmia and Mazury University in Olsztyn - Poland

Patterns of litigation and dispute management in Polish and other ex-communist Central-Eastern European societies
Jacek Kurczewski, University of Warsaw
Malgorzata Fuszara, University of Warsaw

The possibility of the settlement in court: application to the conflicts relating to the Internet.
Hironao Kaneko, Tokyo Institute of Technology

The Slippery Slope of University Title IX Compliance
Brian Pappas, Michigan State University College of Law

Truth-Seeking and Peacemaking: Multi-Layered Meaning and Function of Fact-Finding in Negotiation and Dispute Resolution Process
Tomohiko Maeda, Meijo University

Dynamic Continuities and Discontinuities in Post-Katrina New Orleans
CRN: 12
Roundtable Session
Room: Balcony M
Chair: Daria Roithmayr, University of Southern California
Participants:
Leslie Harris, Emory University
John Lovett, Loyola Law School
Gary Rivlin, The New York Times
David Troutt, Rutgers Newark Law School

As is often the case with events of a certain scale, Hurricane Katrina triggered a fundamental reconfiguration of New Orleans at several levels. It decimated many neighborhoods and displaced a population of evacuees, many of whom were never to return. Their absence and post-storm reconstruction in turn created an influx of a new population of immigrants who differed from the diaspora in terms of race, class, gender and in some cases sexuality. Families were fragmented and social networks were disrupted. Some legal structures and practices shifted along with the reconfigured city. At the same time, much of post-Katrina New Orleans was preserved: neighborhoods and networks recovered or were left intact, and some dynamic processes (both good and bad) persisted. This panel will explore the post-storm continuities and discontinuities, the factors that differentiated what changed from what did not, and the effect of continuity and discontinuity on vulnerable and disempowered residents.

Human Rights As Asylum and Asylum As a Human Rights
CRN: 2
Paper Session
Room: Galerie 2
Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation
Presentations:
Evan Taparata, University of Minnesota-Twin Cities

How (un)restrictive are we? ‘Adjusted’ and ‘expected’ asylum recognition rates in Europe and their relation to public opinion
Arjen Leerkes, WODC / Erasmus University

Waves of Fear: Forced Migration by Sea
Harini Sivalingam, York University

Innovation Or Disruption? Practices of Regulation
Paper Session
Room: Balcony I
Chair/Disc: Lawrence Solan, Brooklyn Law School
Primary Keyword: Regulation & Governance
Presentations:
Environmental class actions in Colombia and the regulatory state
Angela Maria Paez Murcia, Universidad de La Sabana

Getting Ahead Of Ag-Gag
Shaakirrah Sanders, University of Idaho College of law

New-Blue Cities and Uncooperative Localism.
Kathleen Morris, Golden Gate University School of Law

Regulating Illegal Taxis, Uber and the illiberal/liberal dialectic of law and Urban governance
david galano, university of toronto

Law and the Body: Surveillance, Bioethics, and Social Change
CRN: 9
Paper Session
Room: Jackson
Chair/Disc: Anne-Maree Farrell, Monash University, Australia

Primary Keyword: Health and Medicine

Presentations:
- A ‘Public Property’ Approach to Human Tissues?
  Imogen Goold, University of Oxford
  Simon Douglas, Oxford University
  Government & the Opiate Addiction Crisis:
  Incentivizing more Physicians to Treat Addiction
  Barbara Andraka-Christou, Indiana University

- Targeting, Searching, Seizing, & Prosecuting Pregnant Drug Addicts: An Analysis of the Constitutionality of Laws Criminalizing Prenatal Substance Abuse
  Myrisha Lewis, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law

- The Everyday Cyborg & Law’s Double Bind
  Muireann Quigley, Newcastle University

Longitudinal Studies of Lawyers’ Careers
Paper Session

Room: Salon H-G

Presentations:
- Reproducing Legal Hierarchy While Replenishing the Law and the Legal Profession: Insights from Qualitative Interviews about Lawyer Careers
  Joyce Sterling, University of Denver Sturm College of Law
  Bryant Garth, University of California Irvine

- A Cross National Comparison of Women and Racialized Lawyers’ Experiences of Discrimination in Canada and the United States
  Meghan Dawe, University of Toronto
  Ronit Dinovitzer, University of Toronto

- Sexual Harassment in the Legal Profession
  Robert Nelson, Northwestern University
  Ronit Dinovitzer, University of Toronto
  Ioana Vladescu, University of Toronto

- Shifts, Exits, and Moves among Personal Injury Plaintiffs’ Lawyers in the AJD Study
  Ethan Michelson, Indiana University

- The long view of legal careers: The persistence and conversion of forms of capital
  Ronit Dinovitzer, University of Toronto
  Bryant Garth, University of California Irvine

New Frames in Immigration Law
CRN: 2
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 1

Chair: Rose Cuison-Villazor, UC Davis School of Law

Disc: Hiroshi Motomura, UCLA School of Law

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

Presentations:
- Bureaucratic Administration: Innovation and Immigration Law
  Joseph Landau, Fordham Law School

- Flexibility and Immigration Law
  Kit Johnson, The University of Oklahoma College of Law

- Flood of Images: Political Cartoon Analysis of Syrian and UAC Crises
  Katherine Abbott, University of New Hampshire

- Towards a Social Relations Theory of Immigration Law
  Rose Cuison-Villazor, UC Davis School of Law

Post-Soviet Policing in Comparative Perspective
CRN: 20
Paper Session

Room: Studio 5

Disc: Erica Marat, National Defense University

Chair/Disc: Lauren McCarthy, University of Massachusetts Amherst

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
- Determinants of the Growth of Private Policing: A Comparative International Analysis
  Matthew Light, University of Toronto
  Anne-Marie Singh, Ryereson University

- Policing in the Shadow of Protest
  Suzanne Scoggins, UC Berkeley

- Public-Police Contacts in Russia: Revisiting the Predatory Model of Policing
  Olga Semukhina, Marquette University
  Nicolai Demidov, Volgograd Academy of MVD

Praxis and the Global South
CRN: 23
Roundtable Session

Room: Studio 4
The global South characterises a condition brought about by various forces of history including colonialism, imperialism and capitalism. It describes a globalised relationship between the colonised and coloniser. An important aspect of the term global South is the recognition that there are multitudes of claims in various spaces. In particular, the possibility of a south in the North and a north in the South is important. Our roundtable, while taking stock of this complicated milieu, asks each participant to contemplate the significance of one or more of the texts identified below on their respective scholarship, and explore the various new vistas and opportunities for praxis in the global South.

Baldwin, Go Tell It On The Mountain
Bannerji, Thinking Through: Essays on Feminism, Marxism...
Fanon, Racism and Culture in Toward the African Revolution
D’Souza, Imperial Agendas, Global Solidarities...
Williams, The Alchemy of Race and Rights...
Hill & Rodney, Walter Rodney Speaks

Primary Keyword: Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

Regulating At the Front Lines: Empirical Insights from Health and Safety, Animal Welfare, the Chemical Industry, Agriculture, and The Environment

CRN: 5
Paper Session

Room: Regent

Chair: Heleen Weyers, University of Groningen

Primary Keyword: Regulation & Governance

Presentations:
Diffusion and Diversity of Meaning of Regulatory Statute: how frontline regulators cope with uncertainty of environmental damages and ambiguity of law
Ayako Hirata, University of California, Berkeley

Karim van Wingerde, Erasmus University Rotterdam
Peter Mascini, Erasmus University Rotterdam

Rule of Law Discourses: Abstractions and Silences in the WJP’s Rule of Law Index
Jothie Rajah, American Bar Foundation

Technically Speaking: Law and Revolution in Contemporary Egypt
Jeffrey Sachs, Simon Fraser University

Thinking and talking about the rule of law in hostile places
Nick Cheesman, Australian National University

Primary Keyword: International Law and Politics

Presentations:
Building an Islamic Rule of Law: British Colonialism in Somalia
Mark Fathi Massoud, University of California, Santa Cruz

New Police Studies and the Art of Policing
Jinee Lokaneeta, Drew University

Rule of Law Ethnographies
CRN: 23
Paper Session

Room: Studio 6

Chair/Disc: Tamir Moustafa, Simon Fraser University

Socio-Legal Perspectives on Regulating Sexuality and Identity Post-Obergefell
CRN: 7
Roundtable Session

Room: Studio 10

Chair: Jay Borchert, University of Michigan / Center for the Study of Law and Society
Russell Robinson, UC Berkeley Law

Participants:
Michael Boucai, SUNY Buffalo Law School
With formal legal access to marriage rights for same-sex couples established by Obergefell v. Hodges, this multidisciplinary roundtable, consisting of participants from sociology and law, takes up ongoing and emerging socio-legal frontiers in addressing the regulation of sexuality and identity. Post-Obergefell, we may engage a series of questions: what are contemporary socio-legal conditions that abridge LGBTQ liberty and equality under the law? What other framings might and should scholars pursue for understanding the regulation of identity and sexuality in this historical moment? Discussion will pursue these questions across a range of topics, including socio-legal insights on sexual identity in transitions to being legally recognized as married, ways LGBTQ identity interacts with systems of criminal justice, extramarital sexuality, sexual violence and injury, impacts of marriage access on LGBTQ communities of color, and the conflation of civil and religious marriage.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Special Topics in Punishment & Society II: Cross-National Examinations of Scandinavian Punishment**

CRN: 27  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Studio 3  
**Chair:** Jennifer Sumner, California State University, Dominguez Hills  
**Disc:** Vanessa Barker, Stockholm University  

**Primary Keyword:** Formal Legal System  

**Presentations:**  
Challenges related to (being) released from prison  
*Anette Storgaard, Nordisk Samarbejdsråd for Kriminologi*  
Danish Prisons: To What Extent Do They Aim at Resocialization?  
*Anne Okkels Birk, DIS Study Abroad in Scandinavia*  
Negotiating Imperfect Humanity in the Danish Penal System  
*Jennifer Sumner, California State University, Dominguez Hills*  
Keramet Reiter, University of California, Irvine  
*Lori Sexton, University of Missouri, Kansas City*  

**The Realization of Human Rights and the Challenges of the Twenty-First Century: Multiculturalism, Economic Order and the State Organization**

**Presentations:**  
Application Of Human Rights And Fundamental Principles In A Cross-Cultural World: A Post-Positivist Law Interpretation  
*Erica Tais Ferrara Ishikawa, Escola Paulista De Direito - Epd*  
Capitalism and its sense of justice: a perspective through the human rights and the work of Thomas Piketty  
*Rodrigo Cavalcanti, Faculdades Alves Faria - ALFA*  
Good governance and the realization of fundamental human rights: analysis of Brazilian practices  
*Lauro Ishikawa, Faculdade Autônoma De Direito - FADISP*  
The labor law in the international order: economic conglomerates and challenges of the twenty-first century  
*Tulio Afonso, Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie*  

**The Sociolegal Researcher As Social Justice Advocate: Challenges and Opportunities**

**Public Outreach Session**  
**Room:** Salon D  
**Chair/Disc:** Louise Trubek, University of Wisconsin
Over the past two years, sociolegal scholars have gathered during the Law and Society Association meetings to reflect on the connections between social science research, public policy, and social justice. This panel brings together activists and researchers working in academia and in advocacy organizations to continue the discussion of ways that sociolegal research can contribute to social change. Participants will discuss projects they have undertaken, and the challenges of linking sociolegal research and policy advocacy.

Primary Keyword: Access to Justice

**The U.S. Consumer Bankruptcy System**

**CRN: 25**

Paper Session

**Room:** Galerie 6

**Chair/Disc:** Susan Block Lieb, Fordham University

Primary Keyword: Household Finance

**Presentations:**

- A Tale of Two Bankruptcies: The Roots of Retrenchment in America’s Protection of Private Debtors
  - Emily Zackin, Johns Hopkins University

- Accessing Bankruptcy Justice: An Ethnography of a Petition Preparer’s Office
  - Michael Sousa, University of Denver College of Law

- Explaining Chapter 13
  - Sara Greene, Duke Law School
  - Katherine Porter, University of California Irvine

- The Graying of the U.S. Bankruptcy System
  - Robert Lawless, University of Illinois
  - Katherine Porter, University of California Irvine
  - Deborah Thorne, Ohio University

**Transitional Justice in Comparative Perspective: Exploring the Role of International and Regional Courts**

**CRN: 36**

Paper Session

**Room:** Balcony K

**Disc:** Richard Ashby Wilson, University of Connecticut School of Law

Presentations:

- Freedom Now or a Future Later: Can Collateral Consequences be Rationally Considered in Plea Decisions?
  - Vanessa Edkins, Florida Institute of Technology

- The Graying of the U.S. Bankruptcy System
  - Robert Lawless, University of Illinois
  - Katherine Porter, University of California Irvine
  - Deborah Thorne, Ohio University

- Two Models of Pre-Plea Discovery in Criminal Cases: An Empirical Comparison
  - Jenia Turner, SMU Law School
  - Allison Redlich, George Mason University

  - Erin Kerrison, University of Pennsylvania

- Emily Owens, University of Pennsylvania
Saturday June 4
10:15 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.

Histories of Empire and Legal Pluralism – Mixed Legal Systems Around the Globe
Plenary Session

Room: Galerie 3

Chair: Malcolm Feeley, University of California-Berkeley

Disc: David Engel, University at Buffalo, SUNY, School of Law

Participants:
Vernon Palmer, Tulane Law School
Keebet von Benda-Beckmann, Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology
Mitra Sharafi, University of Wisconsin-Madison

Meeting here at the Delta, in the State of Louisiana, a product of empire and home of a specifically mixed civil and common law legal system, provides a unique opportunity for us to consider anew the ways in which legal pluralism in all its forms has been central to law and society. Our three presenters approach the history and understanding of legal pluralism from different disciplinary and research perspectives. As we pursue the continuing discussion over the role and place of legal pluralism as a core element of law in society, it will be of great value for us to understand how different perspectives of legal pluralism may be used to further our understanding of how law is shaped by and operates in different social contexts. Whether through the expansion of empires and the application of rules of law in different social, political and cultural contexts, or in post-colonial societies in which the inheritance of a so-called modern legal system remains engaged with many other forms and fora of law, it is in studying the ways in which law functions under these conditions that our presenters are able to offer important insights into the nature of legal pluralism and the often unintended consequences that flow out of this multiplicity of sources and understandings of law. By offering very different approaches to the study and understanding of legal pluralism the panel offers a unique opportunity to understand the very pluralism that lies at the heart of both law and our understandings of the law and society tradition itself.

Primary Keyword: Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law

Jim Crow, New and Old -- Race and the Constitution of Society
Plenary Session

Room: Salon D

Chair: Carroll Seron, University of California, Irvine

Disc: Laura Gomez, UCLA

Participants:
Jeannine Bell, Indiana University Maurer School of Law — Bloomington
Tonya Brito, University of Wisconsin Law School
Lauren Edelman, University of California-Berkeley

Half a century after the civil rights movement forced dramatic legal changes American society is being confronted with the stark realities of the continuing embeddedness of race. From the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina here in New Orleans to the killings of unarmed black men and the “black lives matter” movement to the less visible consequences of continuing racial inequality across the society, the question of race and how it shapes and is shaped by the law, remains a central theme in law and society scholarship. Instead of focusing on the most obvious and egregious manifestations of racism that were designed to intimidate and subordinate whole communities, this panels focus on the “new” Jim Crow in which a legal framework of formal equality coexists with laws and practices that continue to marginalize and exclude. Our three panelists research and explore themes and contexts, from within the family to employment and housing, demonstrating the continuing importance of understanding the interaction between race and law as well as the significance of old and new practices from violence and exclusion to claims of colorblindness and formal equality in the perpetuation of Jim Crow and its legacy in contemporary America.

Primary Keyword: Race and Ethnicity

Natural and Unnatural Disasters – Human Crises and Law’s Response
Plenary Session

Room: Galerie 2

Chair/Disc: Lloyd Burton, University of Colorado, Denver

Participants:
Fiona Haines, University of Melbourne
Rachel Luft, Seattle University
Robert Verchick, Loyola New Orleans Law School

Disaster focuses attention and raises questions about what law can do: it disturbs and destabilizes law at the same time as generating multiple new, and sometimes competing, legal obligations. This panel will address questions about regulation and its potential to prevent disaster as well as what laws and regulation do and do not do in response to disaster. Panelists will speak from their expertise in humanitarian assistance, environmental justice, and regulation. In thinking through justice claims, panelists will also implicitly raise questions about definitions of disaster that focus on a discrete event. Law potentially intertwines with disaster in regulating risky
behavior and responding to disaster. Yet law also fosters disaster. Legal rules and processes may be the source of design flaws in engineered systems or structures. Law may foster or fail to ameliorate the inequality that makes people vulnerable, or it may fail to hold actors accountable. Law and regulation, however, are intimately connected not only to determining the technical and physical requirements that can prevent or reduce risk but is centrally concerned with ethical obligations of fairness in law as well as with legal obligations and claims centered on equality and justice. These ethical obligations are commonly found in responses to disaster, in the humanitarian turn in governance that brings nonprofits, local governments, national states and international rights claims to alleviate suffering. Yet, humanitarian interventions most often focus on pressing need, so the ordinary practices of law are often difficult to find. Finally, disaster impacts legal accountability and law often disrupts the conditions required for organizing and building allies. This panel will explore these many facets in the connections between disaster, law, regulation and governance.

**Primary Keyword:** Disasters


**Plenary Session**

**Room:** Salon E

**Chair:** Michael McCann, University of Washington

**Disc:** Kitty Calavita, UC Irvine

**Participants:**
- Penelope Andrews, University of Cape Town Faculty of Law
- Narendra Subramanian, McGill University
- Chris Uggen, University of Minnesota

In accordance with our broader conference theme, “At the Delta: Belonging, Place and Visions of Law and Social Change”, this plenary encompasses a very broad perspective on the question of belonging. From an analysis comparing the social and legal dimensions of race and caste in the Mississippi Delta in the United States and the Kaveri Delta in India to a study of the new generation of “born free” students in post-apartheid South Africa who are challenging the very structure and functioning of the country’s institutions of higher education as well as the content of their education, to the question of the continued legal exclusion from the franchise through felon disenfranchisement in the United States. Ranging across three continents the participants on this panel explore the multiple dimensions of inclusion and exclusion through law and social practices across such diverse domains as higher education and access to the ballot, on to the mobilization by communities to achieve greater economic, and political access in the face of racial and caste exclusions. The panel will explore the linkages between who does and who doesn’t belong across these multiple domains with the goal of highlighting what an understanding of these dynamics might reveal about how the boundaries between who are or who are not considered to belong is maintained or challenged over time.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

---

### Access to Justice and Civil Justice System

**CRN:** 10

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Iberville

**Chair:** Daniel H. Foote, The University of Tokyo

**Disc:** Tomohiko Maeda, Meijo University

**Primary Keyword:** Civil Justice and Disputing

**Presentations:**
- New Forums for Intellectual Property Claims: The IP Small Claims Track in England and Wales
  - Sheona Burrow, University of Glasgow/CREATe
- Our (allegedly) litigious society: why do we have so many social security claims in the Brazilian Judiciary?
  - Bruno Takahashi, University of Sao Paulo (USP)/ Federal Court of Brazil
- Public Interest litigation and anti-discrimination claims
  - Therese MacDermott, Macquarie University, Australia
- Tort, Neo-liberalism and the Market in Injury
  - Annette Morris, Cardiff University
- ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN BRAZIL - a shift toward restriction and possibilities from a new theoretical frame
  - Paulo Eduardo Alves da Silva, University of São Paulo

### African Land and Health

**CRN:** 13, 48

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Salon B

**Chair:** Ntombizozuko Dyani-Mhango, University of the Witwatersrand

**Primary Keyword:** African Law & Society
Presentations:
A Legal Empowerment approach to engaging with customary justice systems. Case studies from Ghana, Namibia and Malawi
Janine Ubink, University of California Irvine

Baby Factories: Modern Antidote For Childlessness And Surrogacy In Nigeria
Titilayo Aderibigbe, Babcock University, School of Law & Security Studies,

Between Carrot and Stick: Finding room for community autonomy in land use policy and property law in sub-Saharan Africa
James Krueger, University of Wisconsin-Madison

Herbert Jacob Book Award Co-Winner
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session
Room: Salon E
Author: Ellen Berey, University of Denver
Chair: Anna Kirkland, University of Michigan
Readers:
Mario Barnes, University of California-Irvine

Diversity has become a hallowed American value, widely shared and honored. This is a remarkable change from the Civil Rights era-but does this public commitment to diversity constitute a civil rights victory? In The Enigma of Diversity: The Language of Race and the Limits of Racial Justice (University of Chicago Press, 2015), Ellen Berrey takes on this puzzle, asking what diversity means in the contemporary era and what are the effects of efforts to support it.

The book draws on six years of fieldwork and historical sources dating back to the 1950s to investigate three case studies from widely varying arenas-affirmative action in the University of Michigan’s admissions program, housing redevelopment in Chicago’s Rogers Park neighborhood, and the workings of the human resources department at a Fortune 500 company. With this evidence, Berrey explores the complicated, contradictory, and even troubling meanings and uses of diversity as it is invoked by different groups for different, often symbolic ends. In each case study, diversity affirms inclusiveness, especially in the most coveted jobs and colleges. Yet it resists fundamental change in the practices and cultures that are the foundation of social inequality. Berrey shows how this has led racial progress itself to be reimagined, transformed from a legal fight for fundamental rights to a celebration of the competitive advantages afforded by cultural differences. The true cost of the public embrace of diversity, she concludes, is the taming of demands for racial justice.

Beyond Mass Incarceration III: Resisting State Punishment from Inside Prisons
CRN: 27
Paper Session
Room: Studio 8
Chair: Nicole Lindahl, UC Berkeley
Disc: Jonathan Simon, University of California-Berkeley

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control
Presentations:
Bridging Organizing Inside and Outside the Prison: The Role of Family Advocacy in the Movement to Abolish Solitary Confinement in California’s Prisons
Chelsea van Aken, San Jose State University
Edith Kinney, San José State University

From troublemaker to bridge builder The trajectories of political engagement of formerly incarcerated activists and organizers
Femke Kaulingfreks, Radboud University Nijmegen

The Emergence of Unruly Politics Among Prison Staff and Volunteers in California
Nicole Lindahl, UC Berkeley

“Contesting the ‘Archive’: The 2011 Pelican Bay State Prison (CA) Inmate Hunger Strike”
Francisco Casique, University of California, Berkeley

Bioethics and Belonging
CRN: 29
Paper Session
Room: Balcony L
Chair/Disc: Craig Konnoth, University of Pennsylvania Law School

Primary Keyword: Bioethics, Biotechnology and the Law
Presentations:
Belonging and the Social Practices of Membership and Mutuality in a Health Commons
Bruce Jennings, Vanderbilt University

Creating Outcasts: Law, Discrimination, and Conscience Clauses
Michele Goodwin, University of California - Irvine School of Law

Redistributing Health
Craig Konnoth, University of Pennsylvania Law School
Central Americans and the Politics of Non-Belonging
Roundtable Session

Room: Balcony J

Chair: Alejandro Villalpando, University of California, Riverside

Participants: Karla Cativo, University of California, Santa Cruz
Jorge Cuéllar, Yale University

Political and legal responses in the United States and Mexico have resulted in the detainment and deportation of tens of thousands of people fleeing the despair in Central America. This roundtable session brings scholars from various disciplinary and interdisciplinary fields to examine the ways Central American bodies occupy a subject of non-belonging across space and time. Genocidal state terror, slashing of social services, and forced displacement amplifies the violence endured by vulnerable Central American populations. The history of Central Americans both in the Isthmus and diaspora has been defined by an exclusion from rudimentary elements of safety. How do legal and racialized policing structures animate the subject position of non-belonging for Central Americans from the Isthmus to the United States? How and why are Central Americans made visible through a relationship to crisis? What can centering Central American lives teach us about links between race, security, and empire?

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

Challenges to Realizing the Right to Health
CRN: 47
Paper Session

Room: Studio 1

Chair: Audrey Chapman, University of Connecticut

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights

Presentations:
From Rhetoric to Reparation: “Gender-Based Harm and Reproductive Rights in the Inter-American System of Human Rights”
Ciara O’Connell, University of Sussex

Health and Human Rights Among (US) Government Health Professionals
Izraelle McKinnon, Emory University
Dabney Evans, Emory University

Identity in law: the case of drugs for neglected diseases
Marcos Vinicio Chein Feres, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora/PQ2CNPq
Lorena Abbas da Silva, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora
Thaís Miranda Moreira, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora

Improving potential for participation and social inclusion of adults receiving institutional care for mental illnesses through a deepened culture of accessible human rights education in facilities
April Jakubec, University Massachusetts Boston

Protecting the right to health of non-nationals: bridging the gap through supranational monitoring
Claire Lougarre, University of Southampton, Southampton Law School

Towards accountability to fulfill child health obligations in Africa
Solomon Tekle Abegaz, Public International Law, University of Pretoria

Class Action Litigation for Incarcerated Victims of Sexual Assault: Successes, Setbacks, and Stories
CRN: 17
Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 3

Chair: Hannah Brenner, Michigan State University College of Law

Participants: Cristy Cummings, Michigan State University
Kathleen Darcy, Michigan State University College of Law

This roundtable discussion will feature a mix of social scientists and attorneys involved in assessing the outcomes of litigation on behalf of 809 women who experienced sexual assault by prison staff during their incarceration in a state prison. We begin with an overview of the legal theory behind using class action as a litigation strategy and the intended objectives of such litigation. Specifically, we examine how the class action works to provide a remedy for large numbers of similarly situated victims, and how this operates as a strategy for victims of sexual assault (Kathleen Darcy). We include a contextual overview of class action suits on behalf of sexual assault survivors in other closed institutional settings (i.e. military, prisons, universities). A more in-depth discussion of the legal hurdles and successes of a specific class action (Neal vs. Michigan Department of Corrections) involving over 800 women sets the stage for subsequent discussants to illustrate the individual benefits and consequences to participation, as well as the effect on system level operation of the prison.
Using social science research methods, a retrospective survey was collected from a sub-sample (n=166) of those involved in the litigation (Sheryl Kubiak). Women discussed the individual level ‘costs’ of their participation in the litigation as well as the non-financial benefits. Negative consequences attributed to involvement in the litigation included retaliation by officers, being considered ‘snitches’ and dissatisfaction with the legal procedures and an absence of individual punishment for the perpetrators. Positive individual benefits of those involvement included improved mental health, perceptions of a ‘just world’, and feelings of being empowered and having a voice.

In terms of meeting the goals of tort litigation in ‘making the person whole’, financial compensation provided to the women to remedy their injury also had positive and negative benefits. Using survey data, Cristy Cummings discusses women’s voiced dissatisfaction with the settlement amount, the trauma reminders and ‘triggering’ effects of receiving the funds, and the ways that their financial settlement put them at risk for exploitation by those in their lives. Conversely, women also discussed the security that the settlement dollars afforded to them in their reentry; being able to afford necessities such as housing and transportation, to provide for their families, and to pay down debts reduced their stress.

Beyond individual benefits, this class action litigation also resulted in mandates for system/prison-level reform. Women involved in the litigation had differing opinions as to the prison system changes. Gina Fedock describes the perceptions of negative and positive changes within the prison. For example, many women described new policies that restricted male staff from working in the housing units of the prison as well as their perception that the abuse declined and they were treated better by staff. However, many women described the retaliatory behavior of both staff and inmates, the insufficient change of policies and practices, and the anger of the female corrections’ staff.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Conflict, Rights, and the Separation of Powers**
*Paper Session*

**Room:** Galerie 4

**Chair/Disc:** Alexander Tsesis, Loyola University Chicago School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**Presentations:**
- California eaters vs the Constitution: commerce clause predictions from foie gras, shark fins, and eggs
  Ernesto Hernandez-Lopez, Chapman University
- Constitutional Separation of Powers: Theory and Practice from India
  Shubhankar Dam, City University of Hong Kong School of Law
- Fragile Rights: The Promise and Perils of Executive Branch Constitutionalism
  Joy Milligan, UC Berkeley
- Institutional Tensions from Political Dissents to the Constitutional Change: a Comparative Analysis
  Augusto Sampaio, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
  Gustavo Costa, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
- Positive Education Federalism
  Christian Sundquist, Albany Law School
- A constitutional analysis from the institutional theory: The decision in the Brazilian Supreme Court
  Maíra Almeida, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro

**Corporate Diversity: Comparative and Critical Perspectives**
*CRN: 46*
*Roundtable Session*

**Room:** Galerie 5

**Chair:** Darren Rosenblum, Pace Law School

**Participants:**
- Afra Afsharipour, UC Davis School of Law
- Aaron Dhir, Osgoode Hall Law School of York University
- Amanda Packel, Stanford Law School
- Daria Roithmayr, University of Southern California

Jurisdictions around the world are enacting regulations to foster diversity in corporate governance, ranging from soft measures such as the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission’s diversity reporting rule to more assertive measures such as quotas in countries including Norway, Germany, Italy, and France. Firms likewise increasingly prioritize inclusion, supported by management consultant studies that trumpet diversity’s instrumental value. As scholars begin to account for these efforts, this Roundtable will examine crucial questions, such as: how do national efforts compare? What do diversity efforts convey about the relationship between public and private? Can we compare diversity efforts for distinct identities such as sex and race, and if so how? How do markets and firms implement these rules and what are the lived experiences of those who are subject to them? Do voluntary diversity efforts supplement or compete with public remedies for inclusion? Should goals of equality or profitability drive diversity efforts? In this Roundtable, a range of scholars will explore and debate these crucial questions for corporate and democratic governance.

**Primary Keyword:** Corporate and Securities Law
Corporate/Securities Law Panel #4: Corporate Governance and Value
CRN: 46
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon C

**Chair:** Christyne Vachon, University Of North Dakota School of Law

**Disc:** Elizabeth Nowicki, Washington and Lee University

**Primary Keyword:** Corporate and Securities Law

**Presentations:**
- English Legal Institutions Governing, Organizing, and Reflecting a Pluralistic Colonial Society: Corporate New York 1664-1714
  Moira Watson, University of Oklahoma College of Law
- Shareholder Value Thinking And The Systems Alternative In Corporate Law
  Lynne Dallas, University of San Diego
  Tamara Belinfanti, New York Law School
- Unbundled Bargains: Multi-Agreement Dealmaking in Complex Mergers & Acquisitions
  Cathy Hwang, Stanford
- She’s One Of Us: Looking At Gender Quotas To Evaluate How Women In The Corporate System Engender Change For Women
  Christyne Vachon, University of North Dakota School of Law

Critical Policing Studies 1: Policing Communities of Color Across Space and Place
CRN: 12
Paper Session

**Room:** Balcony M

**Chair/Disc:** Luis Daniel Gascón, University of San Francisco

**Primary Keyword:** Race, Critical Race Research

**Presentations:**
- Mining the (trust) gap: Africans Americans, Whites, Nonwhites and the Police
  Jeannine Bell, Indiana University Maurer School of Law — Bloomington
- Policing the Segregated City: (Post) Racial Ideologies and the Regulation of Urban Space
  Daanika Gordon, University of Wisconsin-Madison
- “The Sense of Law is Lost”: Car Impoundments and the Racial Naturalization of Mexican Immigrants
  Samuel Prieto, University of San Diego
- “What are you doing here?” Policing race, Controlling Space
  Sebastian Sclofsky, University of Florida

Democratic Policymaking and the Judiciary
Paper Session

**Room:** Galvez

**Chair/Disc:** Jason Maloy, University of Louisiana, Lafayette

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**Presentations:**
  Garrett Lecoq, Carleton University
- Between Democratic Security and Democratic Legality: Discursive Institutionalism and the Empowerment of Colombia’s Constitutional Court
  Jan Boesten, University of British Columbia
- Obergefell vs. Hodges Beyond Judicial Minimalism: Same sex marriage adjudication in the U.S. Supreme Court
  Aline Brayner, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro
  Henrique Rangel, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro
- Rethinking Regime Theory
  Mark Leinauer, University of California, Berkeley

Due Process, Justice and Rights Across Varied Legal Fields
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 5

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice
**Presentations:**

Access to Justice - On the Edge of Democratic Change?
Jennifer Leitch, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University

Housing Mobility as a Platform for Opportunity:
Tapping into the American Housing Survey
Nicole Watson, Maxwell School of Citizenship and Public Affairs, Syracuse University

The Clash of Procedural Values
Roger Michalski, University of Oklahoma College of Law

To Include or Exclude? Law and social structure in a legal debate over tenant screenings
Marco Brydolf-Horwitz, University of Washington

**Emergency, Security, and the Law and Politics of Policing**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Bacchus

**Chair/Disc:** Anya Bernstein, SUNY Buffalal Law School

**Primary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Presentations:**

Complex Emergency: Rethinking the state of emergency
Roberto Mancilla, UC Berkeley

Mapping Prison Governance Reforms in Four Latin American and Caribbean Countries
Jennifer Peirce, CUNY Graduate Center & John Jay College

Silence, Situations, and Police Interrogations:
Reflections on Law’s Prolix “Silence”
John Douard, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
Bill Hebenton, University of Manchester UK
jaime Herrera, Rutgers University School of Law

Super Iron Fist: security discourse, dehumanization, and the uncanny in El Salvador’s war on gangs
Miranda Hallett, University of Dayton

**Empirical Challenges of Deepening Democracy:**

**Reflections on Gender and Power**

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Balcony I

**Chair:** Leslye Obiora, University of Arizona

**Participants:**

Rebecca A. Chaisson, Southern University at New Orleans
Jane Eggers, Capital Post-Conviction Project of Louisiana
Sanna Lindberg, H&M
Leslye Obiora, University of Arizona
April Petillo, Kansas State University

This panel will explore the dissonances between the promise of substantively promoting women’s participation in decision-making and specific outcomes in select arenas where women are well represented in power echelons. Respective papers will distill instructive insights from women’s lived realities to interrogate orthodox assumptions about the significance of gender as a paradigm for valuing and evaluating political participation. The contributions are apt to refresh understandings about the political economy mediating women’s power-sharing and about the role of feminist agency as a constructive orbit to democratize leadership, safeguard human security and improve democratic quality. We will draw on theoretical analyses, empirical critiques and doctrinal interpretations to help ground abstract principles about power-sharing and explore the correlation of ideas about building the capacity of women to lead change within frameworks that privilege egalitarian ideals and human rights.

**Primary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence

**Frontiers of Gender Theory: Freedom of Expression, IP, and the Internet**

**CRN: 7**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 9

**Chair:** Andrew Gilden, Stanford Law School

**Disc:** Brian Soucek, UC Davis School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**

A Feminist Critique of the Sharing Economy
Ariane Renan Barzilay, University of Haifa School of Law

Feminist/Queer Theory and Intellectual Property:
Mapping the Connections
Daniela Kraiem, American University Washington College of Law

Performatve Privacy
Scott Skinner-Thompson, New York University School of Law
Saturday 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

Who’s Afraid of Revenge Porn Laws?
Mary Anne Franks, University of Miami School of Law

A Forgotten History: LGBT Rights and the First Amendment
Carlos Ball, Rutgers Law School

Governance and the Rule of Law Across the Post-Communist Space
CRN: 20
Paper Session

Room: Bonaparte
Chair/Disc: Grazyna Skapska, Jagiellonian University
Primary Keyword: Central Eastern Europe, Balkans, Russia, And Eurasia

Presentations:
Public Opinion vs. Courtroom Experience - Conclusions from 5 Years of Citizen Court Monitoring in Poland for Building Trust in Judiciary
Bartosz Pilitowski, Court Watch Poland Foundation

The Rule of Law: Abstract Principle and Living Practice. The Case of Poland
Ewa Radomska, The Jagiellonian University
Grazyna Skapska, Jagiellonian University

The transition to true judicial independence and impartiality in Armenia: is the legacy of the Soviet Union being overcome in practice?
Sophie Gallop, Law School, University of Birmingham

Judicial Mediation - Comparative Perspectives
CRN: 10, 43
Paper Session

Room: Salon H-G
Chair: Shozo OTA, The University of Tokyo
Disc: Tania Sourdin, Monash University
Primary Keyword: Judges and Judging

Presentations:
Comparison between Wakai (settlement)/Chotei (mediation) in Japan and Perdamaian (settlement) and Mediasi (mediation) in Indonesia
Yoshiro Kusano, Gakushuin University
Dutch civil law judges: between adjudication and mediation
Wibo van Rossum, Utrecht University
Rick J. Verschoof, Utrecht University & District Court Midden-Nederland

Evaluating the Impact of Judicial Mediation on Access to Justice – Perspectives from the Singapore State Courts’ Judicial Mediation System
Dorcas Quek Anderson, Singapore Management University School of Law

How does Judicial Mediation Stop being Appealing to Litigants? An Empirical Comparison between Dutch and Chinese Judicial Mediation
Yedan Li, University of Amsterdam
Wibo van Rossum, Utrecht University
Rick J. Verschoof, Utrecht University & District Court Midden-Nederland

Judicial mediation in Japan
Aya Yamada, Kyoto University

Judicial Settlement Assistance: Judge and Lawyer Assessments of This Judicial Role in the Context of Eight U.S. Federal District Courts
Donna Stienstra, Federal Judicial Center

Labor Standards Enforcement (and the Lack Thereof)
CRN: 8
Paper Session

Room: Studio 10
Chair/Disc: Ruben Garcia, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
Primary Keyword: Labor

Presentations:
A Comparative Study of Enforcement in Workplace Retirement Schemes: Australian Superannuation Guarantee vs. United States 401(k) Plans
Paul Secunda, Marquette University Law School

Addressing the Compliance/Enforcement Crisis in Labor Law
Guy Davidov, Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Can new civil society organizations contribute to the realization of decent work standards for migrant farm workers in North America?
Manoj Dias-Abey, Queen’s University, Canada

Can worker centers help enforce work law?
César F. Rosado Marzán, IIT-Chicago-Kent College of Law
The Right to Improvise in Low Wage Work
Michael Oswalt, Northern Illinois College of Law

Life of the Law - Pitching Scholarship to Media (A Workshop)
Public Outreach Session

Room: Salon D

Facilitator(s): Nancy Mullane, Life of the Law
Mary Adkins, Life of the Law

You’ve just published years of research and now it’s time to get it disseminated! Get tips on best practices for reaching out to media to get your scholarship in the news. Meet one-on-one with professional, award-winning journalists and producers from Life of the Law.

Come prepared to pitch your exciting scholarship for a possible story and they’ll be ready to listen and provide individual feedback.

Participating LOTL Reporters and Producers include:
Ashley Cleek, Life of the Law and NPR Reporter
Jonathan Hirsch, Life of the Law Producer and Reporter
Kirsten Jusewicz-Haidle, Reporter

Litigating Race, Slavery, and Freedom in Antebellum Louisiana
CRN: 12, 44
Paper Session

Room: Studio 7

Chair/Disc: Michelle McKinley, University of Oregon

Primary Keyword: Legal History

Presentations:
“Being Once Free, She Cannot Fall Again in Slavery:”
Invoking French Medieval Law in Antebellum Louisiana
Alexandra Havrylyshyn, University of California, Berkeley

Manumission, Freedom and Race in the Americas:
Cuba, Louisiana, Virginia in the Age of Revolution
Ariela Gross, USC Gould School of Law

Salvador v. Turner: Black Litigants, Citizenship, and the Local Courts in the Antebellum American South
Kimberly Welch, West Virginia University

The Micro-Diplomacy of the Illegal Slave Trade: The Case of William Houston
Randy Sparks, Tulane University

New Approaches to Decision-Making for Older Adults
CRN: 41
Paper Session

Room: Balcony N

Chair/Disc: Nancy Knauer, Temple Law School

Primary Keyword: Aging

Presentations:
Guardianship: The Myth of Protection
Kristen Glen Booth, CUNY

Lawyers for Legal Ghosts: The Legality and Ethics of Representing Persons Subject to Guardianship
Nina Kohn, Syracuse University

No Longer In Their Shoes: How Will Canadian Financial Institutions Manage the Shift From Substitute Decision-making to a Supported Decision-making Approach while Guarding Against Undue Influence and Elder Abuse?
Laura Tamblyn Watts, University of Toronto

Sexual Advance Directives
Alexander Boni-Saenz, Chicago-Kent College of Law
‘I always keep a lethal dose of something, because I don’t want to become an elderly isolated person’ - Older Lesbians, Gay Men and the ‘Right to Die’ debate.
Sue Westwood, The Open University

New Directions in Law and Public Health in the 21st Century
CRN: 9
Paper Session

Room: Jackson

Chair/Disc: Anne-Maree Farrell, Monash University, Australia

Primary Keyword: Health and Medicine

Presentations:
Global securitization of epidemics and pandemics: human migration, political selectivity and law
Maurício Palma Resende, University of Brasilia (UnB)

Legal ordering, global health and Ebola’s epidemic space
Gearóid Ó Cuinn, Lancaster University
Saturday 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

Old Bottle for New Wine? Electronic Cigarettes, Regulations, and Public Health
Chuan-Feng Wu, Institutum Iurisprudentiae, Academia Sinica

Nadav Davidovitch, Ben Gurion University of the Negev

Risk, Relationality and Public Health Emergencies: Conceptualising Public Health Law
Belinda Bennett, Queensland University of Technology

New Empirical Insights on the Relations Between Governance, Regulatory Enforcement, and Compliance
CRN: 5
Paper Session

Room: Regent
Chair: Aleksandra Jordanoska, School of Law, Keele University
Disc: Susan Silbey, MIT

Primary Keyword: Regulation & Governance

Presentations:
- How Does Legitimacy Matter for the Enforcement of Social Security Law? Lessons from the Literature
  Marc Hertogh, University of Groningen
- Motivations for reporting organizational misconduct by professional bystanders
  Judith Van Erp, Department of Law, Economics and Governance, Utrecht University
  Kim Loyens, Utrecht University
- New Regulatory Technologies: Regulating the Front Lines of Benefits Delivery
  Jennifer Raso, University of Toronto, Faculty of Law
- The importance of trust for abiding rules of social security services
  Heleen Weyers, University of Groningen
- ‘Defining and designing’ discretionary power in matters of regulatory enforcement – Theory and practice in the European legal sphere
  Stéphanie De Somer, University of Antwerp

Room: Galerie 2
Chair: Hillary Farber, University of Massachusetts School of Law
Disc: Karen Levy, New York University

Primary Keyword: Technology

Presentations:
- Existing Policy and Emerging Technology: The Privacy Act, the Electronic Communication Privacy Act, and the Regulation of Drones
  Derek Larson, Virginia Tech
- Governing Fintech Innovation
  Jane Winn, University of Washington
- Howdy Neighbor – What you Doing Down There?: Drone Users and Drone Laws
  Hillary Farber, University of Massachusetts
- Social Science at the Bar: Media Effects Research and the Supreme Court in Brown v. Electronic Merchants Association
  Thomas Rousse, Northwestern University

Overlapping and Changing Systems of Law: Innovations and Issues in Use
CRN: 27
Paper Session

Room: Studio 2
Chair/Disc: Kyle Kirkup, University of Toronto Faculty of Law

Primary Keyword: Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law

Presentations:
- “White Collar Crimes in Chile: A study cases about the difficulties faced by prosecutors”
  Eduardo Alcaino, Stanford Law School
- A social and legal perspective of violence in hockey to determine when criminal law should be used to regulate hockey violence and player injuries
  Martine Dennie, Laurentian University
- Addressing Crime Civilly: A Tort-Based Alternative to the Current Criminal Justice System
  Molly Wilder, Georgetown University
- The effects of liberal reforms in Russian criminal law on conviction and sentencing of economic offenders. Are there any?
  Iryna Chatsverykova, The Institute for the Rule of Law at the European University at Saint-Petersburg

Novelty, Innovation, and the Legal Challenges of New Technologies: From Video Games to Drones
CRN: 37
Paper Session
Risk in the Criminal Justice System
CRN: 27
Paper Session

Room: Studio 4

Chair: Hadar Aviram, UC Hastings College of the Law

Disc: Robert Werth, Rice University

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
Opening a critical space: Data analytics, social structures and criminogenic risk - needs.
Kelly Hannah-Moffat, University of Toronto

Regulating Recidivism-Risk: The Case for Judgmental Bootstrapping
Hannah Laqueur, UC Berkeley
Ryan Copus, Jurisprudence and Social Policy, UC Berkeley

Risky Needs: Risk-Entangled Needs in Probation Supervision
Danielle Rudes, George Mason University
Kimberly Meyer, George Mason University
Jill Viglione, George Mason University

Yesterday’s Monsters: Revision, Reinvention and Rehabilitation in the Manson Family Parole Hearings
Hadar Aviram, UC Hastings College of the Law

Session on the Work of the LSA Kalven Prize Winner – Mariana Valverde
Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 6

Chair: Mona Lynch, University of California, Irvine

Participants:
Mariana Valverde, University of Toronto
Paula Maurutto, University of Toronto
George Pavlich, University of Alberta
Annelise Riles, Cornell Law School
Paul Passavant, Hobart and William Smith Colleges
Luis Eslava, Kent Law School

Speaking Law’s Home: Law, Language and Anthropology
CRN: 23
Roundtable Session

Room: Studio 6

Chair: Genevieve Painter, UC Berkeley

Participants:
Kirsten Anker, McGill University
Paulo Ilich Baccia, University of Kent
Jessica Hallenbeck, University of British Columbia
Justin Richland, University of Chicago

Primary Keyword: Language And Discourse

Special Topics in Punishment & Society III: Noncitizens in Prisons and Immigration Detention
CRN: 27
Paper Session

Room: Studio 3

Chair/Disc: Jayashri Srikantiah, Stanford Law School

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

Presentations:
Big Immigration Law
Juliet Stumpf, Lewis and Clark Law School

Developing and Diffusing Legal Cynicism through Immigration Detention
Emily Ryo, USC Gould School of Law

Punishing Noncitizens
Jennifer Chacon, University of California, Irvine School of Law

The End of Ceremonial Justice? Courts, Judges, Lawyers in Post Modern Era
CRN: 37
Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 1

Chair: Richard Cornes, Essex University

Participants:
Diane Bernard, KULeuven, U. St-Louis, UCLouvain, ULg
Claire de Than, City University, London, School of Law and Institute of Law, Jersey
James Lambert, Jersey Court Service
David Marrani, Institute of Law

If Garapon noted that ‘the event of judging is in fact part of justice to the same degree as the law: it is its foundation’, the end of ceremonial justice questions the foundation of the law itself. According to Ricoeur in Love and Justice, ‘... the exercising of justice is not just a case of arguments, it also involves a decision and this is the responsibility of the judge, as the last link in the chain of procedure, wherever this may occur. And when judge’s words are those of condemnation we recall that statutes of justice carries a sword as well as a balance scale.’
adds that ‘Taken together, all these characteristics of judicial practice allow us for the first time to define the formalism of justice - not as a fault, but as a sign of force.’ By focusing on the idea of formalism, we quickly realise that behind what Ricoeur calls judicial practice hides the appearance, or even the aesthetic of this justice. Such formalism is bind to ritual (s) obvious in primitive societies, perfectly visible in archaic, pre-modern and modern societies.

That said, the aesthetic side of the law and rituals seem to be in declined in these ‘post-modern’ times. Contemporary societies appears to be forgetting that applying the law is exercising a power, a performative speech act (Austin), and the power to decide of the meaning of words. From that point of view, like Brunet puts it, we are all like Humpty Dumpty, who pretended to be a master at that game. We have forgotten that in order for the words to be spoken, a specific space, with specific actors, has to be ready, and that often, like in a baroque-rococo church, the words take their meaning solely because of a specific ceremonial. Perhaps we should, like Latour recognise that ‘Now that the modernity of our world is coming to a close, we understand that it was no more secular than any other.’ Or we could question how the end of ceremonial in post-modernity, affect how justice is being done. This roundtable will be concerned with transparent ‘post-modern’ courthouses, the introduction of new technology in courts (Ipad judges, cameras in courts,...), lawyer/courtroom video clips as a form of internet “entertainment.”, and all the elements that affects the ceremonial of justice.

**Primary Keyword:** Courts And Trials

**威胁 to Consumers’ Financial Soundness**

*CRN: 25*

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Salon F

**Chair:** Dolie Jimenez, University of Connecticut

**Primary Keyword:** Household Finance

**Presentations:**

Barriers To Debt Relief For Undocumented Debtors

Chrystin Ondersma, Rutgers School of Law Newark

Documenting Coerced Debt

Angela Littwin, University of Texas School of Law

Adrienne Adams, Michigan State University

Private Lines of Credit for Law, Medical and Business School Students: A Canadian Perspective

Saul Schwartz, Carleton

Stephanie Ben-Ishai, Osgoode Hall Law School

The Expressive Power of Bankruptcy

Pamela Foohey, Indiana University

**Transnational Dynamics in Legal Orders: From Rights to Commerce**

*CRN: 36*

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Balcony K

**Primary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, International and Regional Institutions

**Presentations:**

Configurations of Sovereignty in Transnational Rules:

English East India Company and the International Chamber of Commerce

Swati Srivastava, Northwestern University

De facto compliance with human rights treaties: Taiwan in the context of transnational legal orders

Yu-Jie Chen, U.S.-Asia Law Institute, NYU School of Law

The Pluralism/Harmonization Dynamic in Transnational Commercial Law: Conceptual Foundations and Empirical Analysis

Edward Cohen, Westminster College

The Struggle for Existence: Meta-Bargaining of Global Legislatures in a Trade Lawmaking Ecology

Susan Block Lieb, Fordham University School of Law

Terence Halliday, American Bar Foundation

**Saturday June 3**

4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.

**AMR: Felice Batlan - “Women and Justice for the Poor: A History of Legal Aid, 1863–1945” J. Willard Hurst Book Award Winner**

**Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session**

**Room:** Salon E

**Author:** Felice Batlan, Chicago Kent College of Law

**Readers:**

Deborah Dinner, Emory University School of Law

Amalia Kessler, Stanford Law School

Felice Batlan’s Women and Justice for the Poor: A History of Legal Aid, 1863-1945, reconstructs a lost history of legal aid in the United States. Building on extensive archival research, it pushes beyond traditional narratives of the early history of legal aid and accepted definitions of the meaning of legal work, demonstrating how in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries women’s organizations became leading providers of legal aid in cities across the United States.
Batlan then examines the dramatic consequences when, in the early twentieth century, professional male lawyers took an interest in legal aid. Male lawyers sought to professionalize legal aid. Part of this professionalization process involved the displacement of the women lay lawyers who for generations had been providing legal services to the poor. At stake in this conflict was not only the question of who could claim professional authority but also two different models of legal aid. One model—which became associated with the rising profession of social workers—sought to blur the line between legal and non-legal services, insisting on a holistic approach to clients’ problems, aiming at substantive rather than procedural justice, and focusing on the entire family unit, rather than focusing simply on the individual. Strikingly, as Batlan shows, the end result of this conflict was not a linear progression from social work to law—or from women to men—but a complex story in which conflict was followed by accommodation. Ultimately, the book questions what justice, the rule of law, and expertise really means, in the face of aching need and poverty.

This panel of leading scholars will discuss how legal history can be rewritten to allow for new narrative that challenge much of what we know about the legal profession and how such new histories create a usable past.

**Corporate/Securities Law Panel #5: Addressing Agency Costs and Corporate Wrongdoing**

CRN: 46  
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon C  
**Chair:** Benjamin Edwards, Barry University Dwayne O. Andrews School of Law  
**Disc:** Christyne Vachon, University of North Dakota School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Corporate and Securities Law

**Presentations:**

- Agency Cost Capitalism  
  **Benjamin Edwards, Barry University Dwayne O. Andrews School of Law**

- Diversifying to Mitigate Misconduct Risk  
  **Cary Martin, DePaul University College of Law**

- Investigating Disasters, Wildland Firefighting, and Lessons From The Legal Community  
  **Elizabeth Nowicki, Washington and Lee University**

- The Stockholder Monitor: Plaintiff Stockholders Versus Activist Stockholders  
  **Megan Shaner, University of Oklahoma**

**Courts, Litigation and Social Change**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Balcony J

**Chair/Disc:** Kalyani Ramnath, Princeton University

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**

- Adopting Human Rights Approach to Tackle Marriage Business: the Transformation of the Strategy in the Movement to Decriminalize Adultery in Taiwan  
  **Hsiaowei Kuan, National Taipei University**

- Does Accelerating Civil Rights Litigation Shortchange Social Change?  
  **Katherine Macfarlane, University of Idaho College of Law**

- From A Global Strategy to Local Ordinances: Battling Alcohol Consumption in Contemporary Israel  
  **Erez Garnai, University of Minnesota**

- Overcoming Constraints? Courts and Social Change in Canada and Germany  
  **Whitney Taylor, Cornell University**  
  **Elizabeth Acorn, Cornell University**

- Understanding Judicial Behavior and Legal Mobilization by Analyzing Governmental Positions in Litigation  
  **Yoav Dotan, Faculty of Law, Hebrew University Jerusalem**

- Working Around the Law: How the University of Michigan Limited the Impact of Proposal 2’s Ban on Affirmative Action  
  **Lauren Foley, Johns Hopkins University**

**Critical Police Studies 2: Enforcing Racial Formations in the United States**

CRN: 12  
Paper Session

**Room:** Balcony M

**Chair/Disc:** Luis Daniel Gascón, University of San Francisco | Department of Sociology

**Primary Keyword:** Policing

**Presentations:**

- Building the Policing State: Investments in City Police Departments, 1957-2012  
  **Charles Epp, University of Kansas**
Colorblind Progress: Continuing the Racial Logic of the War on Drugs
Aaron Roussell, Washington State University
Marisa Omori, University of Miami

Police Brutality: Paradigms, Patterns, and Policies
Malcolm Holmes, University of Wyoming

Race and Policing: A Contemporary Look at the Impact of Historical Racialization
Akwasi Owusu-Bempah, Indiana University

“Straight for the gun”: Understanding gang violence and space policing in South Los Angeles
Luis Daniel Gascón, University of San Francisco | Department of Sociology

Monitoring, Accountability and Access to Justice for Economic and Social Rights
CRN: 47
Paper Session

Room: Studio 1

Chair: Konstantinos Koutsioumpas, University of Massachusetts Boston

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights

Presentations:
Institutional Flip Flops in the Brazilian Budget Process in the light of the Mechanisms of Democracy
Guilherme Vasconcelos, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
Carlos Bolonha, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

Nuclear Disaster Risk Management and Human Rights
Tokuko Munesue, Kanazawa University

Parallel State
Irina Manta, Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University
Gregory Dolin, University of Baltimore

Pension Funds in Brazil - The unfinished reform
Luis Felipe Lopes Martins, Fundação Getulio Vargas

Totally Institutionalized?: Assessing Outcomes of Societal Reentry Among Formerly Incarcerated and Former Active Duty Military, 1979-1986
Brandon Finlay, Indiana University, Bloomington

‘What if there is nowhere you can get advice?’ Civil legal aid cuts and advice agencies
Jennifer Sigafoos, University of Liverpool
James Organ, University of Liverpool

Environment and Law: Imagining Alternatives
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 5

Primary Keyword: Environment and Energy

Presentations:
A critical reading of environmental harm per se through a methodological enquiry of earth jurisprudence and wild law
Saskia Vermeylen, Lancaster University
Sophia Kopela, Lancaster University

Disaster prevention observed with social systems theory: intersystemic communication for risk reduction
Laura Appignanesi, University of macerata

Happiness, Law and Sustainable Development
Senko Plicanic, School Of Law

The need and potential for climate change issues to shape private law litigation: a study in overheating deaths
Kim Bouwer, UCL

Understanding and Combating Climate Change Denial
Edward Rubin, Vanderbilt

Evaluating International Law’s Responses to the Humanitarian Crises of Our Time
Paper Session

Room: Balcony I

Chair/Disc: Doris Buss, Carleton University

Primary Keyword: International Law and Politics

Presentations:
Assessing Europe’s Commitment to International Refugee Law: Syrian Displacement and the Common European Asylum System
Ruth Alminas, Fort Lewis College

Ethics of Storytelling: Confronting Mass Atrocity in the Age of Pluralism
Renana Keydar, Hebrew University

The Case for Resurrecting Humanitarian Intervention
Milena Sterio, Cleveland State University

The role of international criminal law in the exclusion process: defences and mitigating circumstances
James Sloan, University of Glasgow
Fantasy, Fabulation and the Writing of Law: Locating the Time-Space of the Legal Body

Paper Session

Room: Galerie 6

Primary Keyword: Language And Discourse,

Presentations:

- Archive, Algorithm and Agency: Writing the Flesh in Domestic Abuse Trials
  Kelli Moore, New York University

- Pregnant Promises: Beyond the Property Metaphor of Race, Gender and Slavery
  Sora Han, UC Irvine

- The Joliet Prison Photos: What Remains Unsaid?
  Marianne Constable, UC Berkeley

- Unsettling Innocence: Gender Violence, Nonimmigrant Visas, and The Law’s Writing of the Foreign into the Body of the Civil
  LeeAnn Wang, UC Berkeley School of Law

Governing Sustainable Relations Between States, the Economy, and Ecology on a European and Transnational Level

CRN: 5

Paper Session

Room: Regent

Chair: Caroline Bradley, University of Miami School of Law

Disc: Marc Hertogh, University of Groningen

Primary Keyword: Regulation & Governance

Presentations:

- Ecological regulation: rethinking the parameters of regulation
  Fiona Haines, University of Melbourne
  Christine Parker, Monash University

- Instrumental choice in the context of shared regulation and enforcement in the EU
  Linda Senden, Utrecht University, RENFORCE

International Responses to the Syrian Refugee Crisis – Discourse, Humanitarianism and the Law

CRN: 2

Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 4

Chair: Galya Ruffer, Northwestern University

Participants:

- Lamis Abdelaaty, Syracuse University
- Rebecca Hamlin, University of Massachusetts Amherst
- Leila Kawar, University of Massachusetts Amherst
- Maria O'Sullivan, Monash University

This roundtable brings together scholars from a range of countries and disciplinary backgrounds to discuss the dynamics of the global response to the Syrian refugee situation. Panellists will apply their expertise to various dimensions of the crisis, including: the creative use of labels by states to opt in/ out of their legal obligations, particularly Turkey’s use of the ‘temporary guest’ label, the journeys of Syrian refugees to the EU, the response of European policy-makers, advocates, and the media to mass arrivals, European legal proposals, possible international legal options for burden-sharing, the response of the traditional ‘resettlement’ countries of Australia, Canada and the USA, and finally, a more general discussion of the ways in which human movement is a collective political act for those with few other means of political expression.

Ultimately, the panel will assess how this particular ongoing situation can inform the more general study of migration, law, and society.

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

Judicial Behavior in the Context of Judicial, Political, and Popular Culture

CRN: 43 and IRC: 38

Paper Session

Room: Salon H-G

Chair: Archie Zariski, Athabasca University

Disc: Dale Dewhurst, Athabasca University

Primary Keyword: Judges and Judging

Presentations:

- "Now it’s my turn to talk": a quantitative analysis of the effects of TV broadcasting on the length of Brazilian Supreme Court opinions, 2000-2013
  Daniel Chada, Getulio Vargas Foundation (FGV)
  Diego Werneck Arguelhes, Fundação Getulio Vargas Law School - Rio de Janeiro (FGV Direito Rio)

- Judicial behavior and public deliberation: A case study of the land restitution judges in Colombia.
  Diana Guzman-Rodriguez, Stanford University

- The Chief Justice as Political Agent: The Politics of Managing a Loyal Judiciary in Authoritarian Regimes
  Rachel Ellett, Beloit College
  Alexei Trochev, Nazarbayev University
  Raul Sanchez-Urribiari, La Trobe University
Towards a European Judicial Culture? A Comparative and Empirical Analysis  
Elaine Mak, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Erasmus School of Law

“We are Judges Now”: The Elected Lay Jurists of Rwanda’s Gacaca Courts  
Chris Uggen, University of Minnesota  
Hollie Nyseth Brehm, The Ohio State University

**Law, Politics and Corruption in South Africa**  
**CRN: 13**  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Salon B  
**Chair:** Brian Ray, Cleveland-Marshall College of Law

**Participants:**  
Penelope Andrews, University of Cape Town Faculty of Law  
James Fowkes, University of Pretoria  
Mark Kende, Drake Law School  
Heinz Klug, University of Wisconsin Law  
Chris Roederer, Florida Coastal Law  
Shanelle Van der Berg, University of Stellenbosch

Corruption recently has emerged as one of the most important issues in South African law and politics. This Roundtable session brings together experts with a diverse range of perspectives for a wide-ranging conversation that will discuss the potential relationships among the new Unite Against Corruption movement, the increase in “service-delivery” or “local” protests, the threat posed by new and emerging parties to the African National Congress’ political dominance, the role of independent, Chapter 9, institutions (including the Public Protector) and the South African Constitutional Court’s uneven and conflicting approach to corruption and related issues in its democratic-rights decisions. We’ll also prognosticate the ANC’s future and whether the related political reconfigurations these developments portend might influence the Court’s role in future cases.

**Primary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Law, Race, Honor Across United States-Latin American Borders, 1848-1914**  
**CRN: 44**  
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 7  
**Chair/Disc:** Laura Gomez, UCLA

**Primary Keyword:** Legal History

**Presentations:**

“Citizenship Be a Matter of Sentiment”: The Law and Honor of Collective Naturalization of Puerto Ricans after the Imperial Turn  
Sam Erman, USC Gould School of Law

Interrogation and Respectability at the U.S.-Mexico Border, 1908-1917  
Celeste Menchaca, University of Southern California

Peculiar Relations: The Legal Pluralism of New Mexican Peonage  
Skyler Reidy, University of Southern California

Race and Citizenship: Californios and Americans at the California Constitutional Convention of 1849  
Citlali Sosa-Riddell, UCLA

**Legal Counseling and Mediation**  
**CRN: 10**  
Paper Session

**Room:** Iberville  
**Chair:** Hideaki Irie, Kyushu University  
**Disc:** Shozo OTA, The University of Tokyo

**Primary Keyword:** Civil Justice and Disputing

**Presentations:**

Beyond Armchair Dispute Resolution discussion: An empirical study on private dispute resolution in Japan  
Hideaki Irie, Kyushu University

Mediators with Italian characteristics. Styles, conflict attitudes and settlement rates  
Luigi Cominelli, University of Milan  
Claudio Lucchiari, University of Milan

Methodologies for Investigating Mediator Effectiveness  
Alysoun Boyle, Monash University

The Effect of Legal Counsel on Outcomes of Custody Determinations  
Ryan Fortson, University of Alaska Anchorage, Justice Center  
Troy C. Payne, University of Alaska Anchorage

The Priority of “governability” in Medical Self-Regulating Bodies: A case study of The College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario Discipline Committee Hearings  
Kerri Scheer, University of Toronto
Transform rehabilitation Lawyer-client-mentor-interactions inside ‘Community Rehabilitation Companies’ in England

Annette Olesen, University of Southern Denmark

**Legal Experiences and Attitudes of Immigrants**

**CRN:** 2  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Galerie 1

**Chair:** Emily Ryo, USC Gould School of Law

**Disc:** Susan Coutin, University of California, Irvine

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, Immigration and Nation

**Presentations:**
- From Boundaries to Brotherhood: The Feedback Effects of Immigration Enforcement on Inter-Minority Relations  
  Hana Brown, Wake Forest University  
  Jennifer Jones, University of Notre Dame

- Immigrants’ Personal Transformations: Deploying Identities as Non-Criminal and as Deserving  
  Cecilia Menjivar, University of Kansas

- The Rise of Legal Status Restrictions in State Welfare Policy in the 1970s  
  Cybelle Fox, UC Berkeley

- Understanding the salience of legal status in the lives of unaccompanied youth workers  
  Stephanie Canizales, University of Southern California

**Moving Towards (Partial) Decriminalization?: Shifting Socio-Legal Contexts of Prostitution and Trafficking Governance in Law, Discourse, Advocacy, and Research**

**CRN:** 17  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Galerie 3

**Disc:** Amy Cohen, Ohio State University

**Chair/Disc:** Edith Kinney, San José State University

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Defelonizing Sex Workers: Governance Feminism and Neoliberal Penalty in a Context of Decarceration  
  Rashmee Singh, University of Waterloo

**Patient Harm and Redress: Exploring the Dynamics of Claiming, Privacy, Safety and No-Fault Schemes**

**CRN:** 9  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Jackson

**Chair/Disc:** Anne-Maree Farrell, Monash University, Australia

**Primary Keyword:** Health and Medicine

**Presentations:**
- Birth Injuries and Parents’ Decisions to Sue Their Doctor  
  Mary Nell Trautner, University at Buffalo, SUNY

- Delegating Regulation to the Market: Analysis of FDA Inspection of Institutional Review Boards 2008-2014  
  Gabrielle Goldstein, University of California at Berkeley

- Overcoming legal uncertainty by studying boundary arrangements: truth-finding mechanisms in medical malpractice as a case study  
  Chih-Ming Liang, Graduate Institute of Health and Biotechnology Law, Taipei Medical University

- Perceptions of Health Information Security Breaches  
  Leslie Francis, University of Utah  
  John Francis, The University of Utah

- Problem or Panacea? No Fault Compensation for Medical Injury  
  Anne-Maree Farrell, Monash University, Australia

**Perspectives on Mass Incarceration & Punishment**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Bacchus

**Chair/Disc:** Andrea Armstrong, Loyola University (New Orleans)
College of Law

Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law,

Presentations:
- Public Receptivity to the Era of Mass Incarceration
  George Balgobin, Northwestern University
- Racial Etiquette in a Midwestern Prison: Preliminary Findings
  Holly Sims-Bruno, Indiana University
- The Ceaseless Sentence: Disparate Punishment of Latinos and the War on Drugs
  Nick Rochin, University of Illinois at Chicago
  Meggan Lee, University of Illinois

Prisons, Cycles, and Ghettoization
CRN: 27
Paper Session

Room: Studio 2

Chair/Disc: Lisa Kerr, New York University

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
- Highways, Housing & Racial Ghettoization: The Making of the Modern American Penal State
  Rebecca Thorpe, University of Washington
- Parental Incarceration and School Discipline: Examining the School-Prison Circuit
  Emily Knaphus, University of Washington
- Send Fewer Women to Prison: An Excellent Measure of Progress for the Prison Reform Movement
  Elizabeth Rapaport, University of New Mexico
- The Welfare Police: Bureaucrats at the Intersection of Law Enforcement and Public Assistance
  Spencer Headworth, Northwestern University/American Bar Foundation

Rights and Liberties in the Twentieth Century
CRN: 44
Paper Session

Room: Studio 9

Chair: Joanna Grissinger, Northwestern University

Primary Keyword: Legal History

Presentations:
- Administrative Enforcement of the “Affirmatively Further Fair Housing” Mandate: Guidelines for the Present from the History of the Civil Rights State
  Blake Emerson, Yale University
- Law, Politics, and the Progressive Shift of the American Bar Association
  Paul Nolette, Marquette University
- NAACP v. Alabama: A Case Study in Social Change
  Ellie Campbell, University of Mississippi
- The California Un-American Activities Committee: Suspicion, Subversion, and Social Punishment in America’s Golden Age
  Zac Stone, University of California - Irvine

Risk, Liability, and Norms in a Digital Age
CRN: 37
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 2

Chair: Karen Levy, New York University

Disc: Ifeoma Ajunwa, Columbia University

Primary Keyword: Technology

Presentations:
- Autonomous vehicles: Shaping technology and society through regulation
  Catherine Easton, Lancaster University
- Limitless Worker Surveillance
  Ifeoma Ajunwa, Columbia University
- Risky business: the effectiveness of risk assessment tools in immigration control
  Tim Dekkers, Universiteit Leiden
- Sharing Liability in the New Sharing Economy
  Agnieszka McPeak, University of Toledo College of Law
- The Presentation of Machine in Everyday Life: The Legal Consequences of Algorithmic Theaters
  Karen Levy, New York University

Shifting the Terrains of International Law: Violence and Imaginaries of Justice
Paper Session

Room: Bonaparte
Chair/Disc: Jennifer Balint, The University of Melbourne

Primary Keyword: War and Law including Security and Terrorism

Presentations:
Canada’s Legal Understanding of Human Trafficking: Considering the Effects and Boundaries
Katrin Roots, York University

Masculinities, ‘Marriage’ and Violence in War: Liberia and Uganda
annie bunting, York University

Nature vs. Culture in Global Legal Studies: Transnational Normative Regimes as Cultural Reconstruction of World Law
Lasha Bregvadze, Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

Post-Conflict Justice in a Semi-International Tribunal: Witness Perceptions of Procedural Justice at the Court of Bosnia and Herzegovina
Sanja Kutnjak Ivkovich, Michigan State University
Darko Datzer, University of Sarajevo
John Hagan, Northwestern University & American Bar Foundation

Special Topics in Punishment & Society IV: Spaces and Bodies of Exception
CRN: 27
Paper Session

Room: Studio 3

Chair/Disc: Phil Goodman, University of Toronto

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
Bestial Acts: Police Dogs and the Bloody Teeth of the Law
Tyler Wall, Eastern Kentucky University

Correctional Workers and the Intense Cleansing of Prison Work During the Era of Mass Incarceration.
Jay Borchert, University of Michigan / Center for the Study of Law and Society

Redacted: “black sites”, crime scenes and the powers of exorcism and erasure.
Travis Linnemann, Eastern Kentucky University
Judah Schept, Eastern Kentucky University

The Challenges and Prospects of Human Rights in the Rise of Privatized and Offshore Criminal and Immigrant Detention
Patrick van Berlo, Leiden Law School

Street Bureaucracies and the Everyday of the Global (Dis) Order
CRN: 23
Paper Session

Room: Studio 6

Chair: Lina Buchely, Universidad Icesi

Disc: Tatiana Alfonso Sierra, University of Wisconsin-Madison/Universidad de Los Andes

Primary Keyword: Ethnography

Presentations:
Legal geographies in the contexts in the Colombian urban contexts: On the relation between Law, Space, and Power
Lina Buchely, Universidad Icesi
Maria Victoria Castro, Universidad del Norte

Urban Ethnicity, Multicultural Policy, and City: The case of the Uitoto Indigenous Council
Catalina Del Pilar Mejia, University of los Andes y Universidad Libre

Teaching Cafe
Professional Development Panel

Room: Bissonet Ballroom

Chair: Renee Cramer, Drake University

Participants:
Jean Carmalt, John Jay College of Criminal Justice
William Garriott, Drake University
Lauren McCarthy, University of Massachusetts Amherst
Danielle Rudes, George Mason University
Mihaela Serban, Ramapo College of New Jersey
Lori Sexton, University of Missouri, Kansas City
Michael Yarbrough, John Jay College (CUNY)

At this “cafe” style panel, you can move from table to table, talking about the different approaches to undergraduate teaching brought by each of the several participants. From lobbying state legislators, to ride alongs with city police, faculty in this cafe engage students in a wide range of experiential learning. They use moot courts, mock trials, and a research lab
to immerse their students in undergraduate legal education.

**Primary Keyword:** Teaching Law and Society

**The Angola Prison Seminary: Effects of Faith-Based Ministry on Identity Transformation, Desistance, and Rehabilitation.**

CRN: 27
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Studio 8

**Chair:** Benjamin Fleury-Steiner, University of Delaware

**Participants:**
- Michael Hallett, University of North Florida
- Kelly Hannah-Moffat, University of Toronto
- Byron Johnson, Baylor University
- Jonathan Simon, University of California-Berkeley
- Winnifred Sullivan, Indiana University Bloomington

Drawing from three years of on-site research, this panel utilizes survey analysis and life-history interviews of inmates and staff to explore the history, purpose and functioning of the Prison Seminary and Inmate Minister programs at Louisiana State Penitentiary (aka "Angola"). Life-history interviews foregrounding religious faith as a means of coping with the deprivations of long-term confinement, highlight deep-level change in self-identity corresponding to lower rates of discipline and higher functioning when controlling for other factors. The research documents faith-based programming at Angola as more than just "neoliberal responsibilization" or traditional rehabilitation. Religious practice among inmates who freely choose to participate proves to be dramatically transformative in analyses utilizing structural equation modeling. Concerns regarding the First Amendment, the dysfunctional state of American corrections, and directions for future research will also be addressed.

**Primary Keyword:** Religion and Law

**The Coming Era of Gender Inequality**

CRN: 7
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Studio 10

**Participants:**
- June Carbone, University of Minnesota
- Maxine Eichner, UNC School of Law
- Robert Verchick, Loyola New Orleans Law School

This panel will address issues that arise when the economic realities of tomorrow meet feminist legal theory. The institutions that used to provide security to people and their families are coming apart. The wage gap continues to escalate as women lose out in the winner-take-all tournaments that leave no time for families. The political assault on public institutions like schools and municipal agencies are erasing many mid-level jobs that provide stable employment for many women. The cult of entrepreneurship that rewards those with outsized egos who are not just willing to take risks, but to advance themselves at the expense of others. The future promise more of the same, with unstable business cycles, a surge in contract workers (part of the new "sharing economy"), and the strafing of safety-net programs. In this age, anti-discrimination laws and family leave policies aren’t enough. We must rebuild gender equity from the ground up. Speakers in this session will suggest how.

**Primary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence

**The Legal Regulation of Structural Violence**

CRN: 23
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 4

**Chair/Disc:** Zinaida Miller, McGill University

**Primary Keyword:** International Law and Politics

**Presentations:**
- Anthropogenic Hunger: Climate-Ready Seeds and the Pyramid of Assumptions in International Law
  Anne Saab, Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies
- Between Structural Violence and Everyday Resistance: Towards a Global Socio-legal Approach
  Ali Malik, York University
- Food and Financial Crises and Speculative Excesses: Whither Regulation?
  Anna Chadwick, European University Institute
- Love and Loathing: Distorted Images in the European Mirror
  Jason Beckett, American University in Cairo

**The Sociolegal Justice Project: Operationalizing Justice in Sociolegal Scholarship, Local Government, Prison, and Education**

Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 5

**Chair:** Shannon Portillo, University of Kansas

**Disc:** Lori Sexton, University of Missouri, Kansas City

**Primary Keyword:** Rights and Identities
**Presentations:**
Nested Mentoring: Integrating Students into Sociolegal Scholarship
Shannon Portillo, University of Kansas
Renee Dinsmore, University of Kansas
Erika Garcia Reyes, University of Kansas
Lori Sexton, University of Missouri, Kansas City

The Sociolegal Justice Project LSA Panel
Marah Schlingensiepen, University of Kansas
Shannon Portillo, University of Kansas
Lori Sexton, University of Missouri, Kansas City

The Sociolegal Justice Project: A Meta-Analysis of Justice in Law & Society
Renee Dinsmore, University of Kansas
Erika Garcia Reyes, University of Kansas
Shannon Portillo, University of Kansas
Lori Sexton, University of Missouri, Kansas City
Sarah Smith, Elmhurst

The Sociolegal Justice Project: Operationalizing Justice in Sociolegal Scholarship, Local Government, Prison, and Education
Nicole Humphrey, University of Kansas
Shannon Portillo, University of Kansas
Lori Sexton, University of Missouri, Kansas City

Towards Human Rights in Residential Care for Older Persons: International Perspectives
CRN: 41
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Balcony N

**Chair:** Nina Kohn, Syracuse University

**Participants:**
Israel (Issi) Doron, University of Haifa
Sue Field, Western Sydney University
Helen Meenan, Kingston University, United Kingdom

This Roundtable explores the rights of older people and their quality of care once they are living in a care home. It considers how we can commence the journey towards a human rights framework to ensure decent and dignified care for older people. The participants are authors of “Towards Human Rights in Residential Care for Older Persons: International Perspectives.”

The book takes a comparative approach to present and future challenges facing the care home sector for older people. It identifies how particular societies (Kenya, Egypt, Australia, China, England, Israel, Japan, and the US) care for their older and oldest people, whether demographic and economic change has placed their systems under pressure and the role residential elder care homes play in their culture. The Roundtable will explore the extent to which constitutional or other rights form a foundation to the regulatory and legislative structures to residential elder care and it examines the important concept of dignity.

**Primary Keyword:** Aging

**Trafficking on Trial: Case Studies on Law in Practice**
Paper Session

**Room:** Galvez

**Chair/Disc:** Anne Dölemeyer, Leipzig University

**Primary Keyword:** Ethnography

**Presentations:**
Emotions, Gender, and the Discovery of Suitable Victims: How Police Officers Regulate through Emotional Control
Julia Leser, Leipzig University
Gwénaëlle Mainsant, IRISSO - CNRS

On the Embodiment of Suffering in Court. The Effects of Evidence Rules on Victims in Trials on Trafficking
Rebecca Pates, Universität Leipzig
Mathilde Darley, CNRS

Putting Numbers on the Unknown: What is counted in Statistics on Trafficking cases and why?
Sarah Klosterkamp, University of Muenster
Julia Leser, Leipzig University

Trials and Tribulations: On the Difficulties of Observing Court Cases
Mathilde Darley, CNRS

**Twenty Years Gone: Human Rights, Labor Rights and International Trade**
CRN: 8
Paper Session

**Room:** Salon F

**Chair/Disc:** César F. Rosado Marzán, IIT-Chicago-Kent College of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Labor

**Presentations:**
Global Minimum Wages: A Way Out of the Trade-Labor Conundrum?
Ruben Garcia, University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Labor Standards on Anti-Union Discrimination and Retaliation: A Critical Review
Diane Frey, Murphy Institute, CUNY
Labour governance, east and west of the Atlantic  
Michele Rioux, UQAM

Multinational Corporations, Transnational Supply  
Chains and Mega-International Economic Agreements:  
Causes and Effects Regarding Workers’ Power and  
Workers’ Rights  
Marley Weiss, University of Maryland Carey School of  
Law  
State Legitimation, Organized Youth Workers, and the  
Politics of Children’s Rights in Peru  
Mikaela Luttrell-Rowland, Franklin and Marshall  
College

Writing Legal Histories Across Disciplinary and  
Institutional Boundaries  
CRN: 44  
Roundtable Session

Room: Balcony K

Chair: Victoria Woeste, American Bar Foundation

Participants:  
Clara Altman, Federal Judicial Center  
Adam Winkler, UCLA School of Law  
Victoria Woeste, American Bar Foundation  
Daniel Sharfstein, Vanderbilt University Law School

This session will explore different modes of writing, including  
the use of narrative, biography, prosopography, and other  
genres, that people use to speak across disciplinary audiences  
and/or institutional directives. We will explore how questions  
of audience and institutional constraints and mission shape  
decisions about how to present historical subjects, stories,  
evidence, and arguments. We will hear from a public historian  
about the nexus of legal history, public history, and institutional  
histories (institutional in the dual sense, meaning historical  
narratives of institutions and historical narratives that are the  
product of institutions in contrast to the product of individual  
scholars). These scholars face choices about narrative styles  
and prose in writing history for the public, just as academy-  
based scholars encounter tensions between addressing their  
specialized readers and reaching broader, general audiences.

Primary Keyword: Legal History
A Racial Critique of State, Capital, and Rights
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 5
Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law,

Presentations:
Civil Rights, Social Rights and Surplus Populations in the Global Economy
Boatema Boateng, University of California, San Diego

Indigenous Rights, Neoliberal Dreams and Corporate Communities in Native North America
Dian Million, University of Washington, Seattle

The Impossibility of “Owning, Knowing and Being”? Indigenous Dispossession in the postcolonial Australian state
Mark Harris, University of British Columbia
Meaghan Katrak, Australian College of Applied Psychology

The Postcolonial Capitalist Condition: Or, on the Authority of Global Capital to Annihilate the Poor
Rashne Limki, University of Essex

Access to Justice: An International Examination of Law and Politics
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 6
Primary Keyword: Access to Justice

Presentations:
Grant Valentine, University of Toronto

Promotion of childhood and youth rights: the University as transformation axis in Brazil
Ana Luiza Castro, Federal University of Minas Gerais
Daniella Borges, Federal University of Minas Gerais
Lucas Silva, Federal University of Minas Gerais

Punishing Addiction? Analyzing the sentencing approach towards ‘user-dealers’ of heroin and crack cocaine in England and Wales.
Leah Moyle, Royal Holloway, University of London

The rights of drug users mothers and the Statute of Children and Adolescents in Belo Horizonte - Brazil
Cibele Aimée Souza, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
Grayce Kellen Pereira Assis, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
Grazzielli Gonçalves Gozer, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
Isabella Domiciano Lima, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
Adriana Goulart de Sena Orsini, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
Luiza Berlim Dornas Ribeiro, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

African American Fraternities and Sororities and the Civil Rights Movement
Roundtable Session

Room: Bacchus
Chair/Disc: Gregory Parks, Wake Forest University School of Law

Participants:
Marcia Hernandez, University of the Pacific

The common narrative about African Americans’ quest for social justice and Civil Rights during the Twentieth Century consists, largely, of men and women working through organizations to bring about change. The typical list of organizations includes, inter alia, the NAACP, the NAACP-LDF, the National Urban League, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, and the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee. What is almost never included in this list is African American collegiate-based fraternities. However, at the turn of the Twentieth Century emerged a small group of organizations founded on personal excellence, the development and sustaining of fictive-kinship ties, and racial uplift. Given these organizations’ almost immediate creation of highly-functioning alumni chapters in cities around the United States, members of these organizations who were college graduates could continue their work in actualizing their respective organizations’ ideals.

Primary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

Paper Session

Room: Balcony I
Chair/Disc: Scott Cummings, University of California, Los Angeles

Primary Keyword: Access to Justice
The huge prison buildup of the past four decades has few defenders today, yet reforms to reduce the number of people in U.S. jails and prisons have been remarkably modest. Meanwhile, a carceral state has sprouted in the shadows of mass imprisonment, extending its reach far beyond the prison gate. It includes not only the country’s vast archipelago of jails and prisons but also the growing range of penal punishments and controls that lie in the never-never land between prison and full citizenship, from probation and parole to immigrant detention, felon disenfranchisement, and extensive lifetime restrictions on sex offenders. As it sunders families and communities and reworks conceptions of democracy, rights, and citizenship, this ever-widening carceral state poses a formidable political and social challenge.

In “Caught: The Prison State and the Lockdown of American Politics” (Princeton University Press, 2015), Marie Gottschalk examines why the carceral state, with its growing number of outcasts, remains so tenacious in the United States. She analyzes the shortcomings of the two dominant penal reform strategies—one focused on addressing racial disparities, the other on seeking bipartisan, race-neutral solutions centered on reentry, justice reinvestment, and reducing recidivism.

In this bracing appraisal of the politics of penal reform, Gottschalk exposes the broader pathologies in American politics that are preventing the country from solving its most pressing problems, including the stranglehold that neoliberalism exerts on public policy. She concludes by sketching out a promising alternative path to begin dismantling the carceral state.

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

**Beyond Protected Classes**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Balcony K

**Chair/Disc:** Jessica Clarke, University of Minnesota

**Primary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Presentations:**

- Choosing Equality
  - Stephen Rich, USC Gould School of Law
- Protected Class Gatekeeping
  - Jessica Clarke, University of Minnesota
- Rationalizing the Workplace: Title VII’s Lasting Contribution to American Society
  - Vicki Schultz, Yale Law School
- What Happened to Hernandez?
  - Brian Soucek, UC Davis School of Law

**Collateral Consequences, Misdemeanor Justice, and the “Micro” Dimension of Mass Punishment**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Balcony M

**Chair:** Gabriel Chin, UC Davis School of Law

**Disc:** Alec Ewald, University of Vermont

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control
Presentations:
Barbers and Caregivers: A Close Study of State Licensure Restrictions Imposed on People with Criminal Convictions
Alec Ewald, University of Vermont

Collateral Consequences and the Bill of Rights: Rethinking Ex Post Facto and the Grand Jury Clause
Gabriel Chin, UC Davis School of Law

Defining "Wrongful Conviction" in Misdemeanor Arrests and Prosecutions
Jenny Roberts, American University, Washington College of Law

Discretionary Disenfranchisement: The Case of Legal Financial Obligations
Marc Meredith, UPenn
Michael Morse, Harvard University

Framing Broken Windows: Framing, Ethical Blindness, Heuristics, and Misdemeanor Defense Advocacy
Babe Howell, CUNY School of Law

The Small Pieces of the Carceral State: Fragmented Government and Prison Expansion
David Dagan, Johns Hopkins University

Collective Action: Conditions and Constraints
Paper Session
Room: Bonaparte

Chair/Disc: John Douard, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

Primary Keyword: Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

Presentations:
Becoming Black Political Subjects: Movements and Ethno-Racial Rights in Colombia and Brazil
Tianna Paschel, University of California - Berkeley

The CIA on Trial and the Necessity Defense: Civil Disobedience as Political Theater
Jonathan Markovitz, University of California, Irvine, School of Law

The Global and Local of Feminist Law-making: Locating the Discursive Limits of the Gendered "Workplace" in Transnational Feminist Movement and the Sexual Harassment at Workplace Law in India
Jhuma Sen, O. P. Jindal Global University

The Right to the City: Insurgent Cities and Urban Citizenship in the 21st Century
Rafaela Moreira, Universidade Federal Fluminense
Roberto Fragale Filho, Universidade Federal Fluminense
James Holston, University of California in Berkeley

Constitutional Interpretation and Theorizing Rights
Paper Session
Room: Galvez

Chair/Disc: Tamara Piety, University of Tulsa College of Law

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Presentations:
Belonging, Place and Home: How Homeowner’s Associations Harm Individuals
Jeff Kleeger, FGCU

Dilemmas of the New Originalism
John Valauri, Chase College of Law, Northern Kentucky University

On the Categorical Approach to Free Speech
Wayne Batchis, University of Delaware

The Right That Undermines All the Other Rights: Indigents’ Sixth Amendment Right to Appointed Counsel
Russell Christopher, University of Tulsa College of Law

Contemporary Issues in Criminal Justice and Punishment
Paper Session
Room: Jackson

Chair/Disc: Jona Goldschmidt, Loyola University Chicago - Dept of Criminal Justice and Criminology

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:
Better Late than Never: The Effect of Time of Recall on Eyewitness Identification Accuracy of Criminals
Melissa Baker, Appalachian State University
Verne Bacharach, Appalachian State University

Disentangling Flight Risk from Danger
Lauryn Gouldin, Syracuse University College of Law
Do We Need Criminal Justice Reform or a Reframe? Considering Local Cultural and Indigenous Community Responsive Justice Approaches
April Petillo, Kansas State University
Rebecca A. Chaisson, Southern University at New Orleans
Jane Eggers, Capital Post-Conviction Project of Louisiana
April Petillo, Kansas State University

Fact Investigation Failure, Wrongful Convictions, and Implications for Defending Poor People
Rosa Greenbaum, University of California, Irvine, Dept. of Criminology, Law & Society

Police and Prosecutorial Discretion, Proportionality and Constitutionalism in Criminal Justice
Alana Klein, McGill University School of Law

Contemporary Issues in Human Rights and the Law
Paper Session

Room: Regent

Chair/Disc: Fulvia Staiano, UCC Cork

Primary Keyword: Human Rights

Presentations:
Beyond Visiocracy: The Construction of Identities in Human Rights Discourses
Elisabeth Roy Trudel, University of Western Australia

Dignity and Freedom of Speech: The Controversial Relationship between Two Liberal Concepts
Clarissa Gross, São Paulo University

Human Rights Obligations in Investor-State Contracts: Reconciling the Investors’ Legitimate Expectations with the Public Interest
Enrique Boone Barrera, Centre for International Governance Innovation (CIGI)

Vulnerable Subjects in the Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights
Fulvia Staiano, UCC Cork

Charlotte Lülf, Institute for International Law of Peace and Armed Conflict, Ruhr-University of Bochum/Germany

Fundamental Rights and Labor
CRN: 8
Paper Session

Room: Salon F

Chair/Disc: James Pope, Rutgers Law School - Newark

Primary Keyword: Labor

Presentations:
Decolonizing Labor Law
Adelle Blackett, Faculty of Law, McGill University

Friedrichs v. California Teachers Association: How Did We Get Here, and What’s Next for Union Fees Litigation?
Charlotte Garden, Seattle University School of Law

Reconstruction and Workers’ Rights
Rebecca Zietlow, University of Toledo College of Law

Internet and Society in Brazil: Empirical Studies
CRN: 37
Roundtable Session

Room: Studio 6

Chair: Danilo Doneda, Ministry of Justice

Participants:
Francisco Brito Cruz, InternetLab
Ivan Franco, InternetLab
Pedro Ramos, InternetLab
Mariana Valente, University of São Paulo
Rafael Zanatta, InternetLab
This session proposal aims to present the main findings of different empirical research projects that provide a framework for understanding the interaction between Brazilian society and the Internet. These empirical research projects focused on the following topics (i) freedom of speech and humor on the web; (ii) gender, identity and internet platforms; (iii) sharing economy and its regulatory challenges in Brazil; (iv) transparency and online channels of participation; (v) net neutrality; (vi) state surveillance. This session will take Brazilian society and the Internet as a case study to promote dialogue among academics and policymakers from other legal cultures.

**Primary Keyword:** Technology

**Interpreting ‘Influence’**

Paper Session

**Room:** Salon H-G

**Chair:** Chloe Kennedy, University of Edinburgh

**Disc:** Stephen Bogle, University of Glasgow

**Primary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law,

**Presentations:**

- Influence in Legal Studies
  - Daniel Carr, University of Edinburgh

- On the Circumstantial
  - Jen Hendry, University of Leeds

- Undue Influence and the Boundaries of the Self
  - Susanna Blumenthal, University of Minnesota

- ‘And if it were not true it would still seem true’: is the question of influence in legal history a poetic one?
  - Zoe Sutherland, University of St Andrews

**Law and Ideology in Post-War America**

CRN: 44

Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 1

**Chair/Disc:** Laura Weinrib, University of Chicago

**Primary Keyword:** Legal History

**Presentations:**

- Grasping at Origins: Shifting the Conversation in the Historical Study of Human Rights
  - Christopher Roberts, Univ. of Minnesota Law School

- The Influence of Law and Economics on Supreme Court Antitrust Jurisprudence: An Empirical Study
  - Camden Hutchison, University of Wisconsin-Madison

- “No Member of the Court:” Desegregation Rhetoric and Judicial Decisions in the 1970s
  - Camille Walsh, University of Washington Bothell

**Law on the Borderline of Belonging and Exclusion**

CRN: 29

Roundtable Session

**Room:** Balcony L

**Chair:** Kimberly Mutcherson, Rutgers University School of Law-Camden

**Participants:**

- Khiara Bridges, Boston University School of Law
- D’Lane R. Compton, The University of New Orleans
- Martha Ertman, University of Maryland Law School
- Michele Goodwin, University of California - Irvine School of Law
- Maya Manian, University of San Francisco School of Law

Pluralism challenges boundaries by resisting either/or views of relationships or identities. Adoption and reproductive technologies create situations in which a child can have multiple mothers -- genetic, gestational, and social -- which requires law to draw boundaries to distinguish between legal and biological parenthood. International adoption and reproductive technology expand the inquiry to citizenship. Boundaries between so-called good and bad mothers further shape social and legal parenthood. Commodification and reproductive justice scholarship map these evolving boundaries and propose improvements that reconceive parenting, especially motherhood, across boundaries of race, class, sexual orientation, marital status, age, and disability. This roundtable explores ways to create a less fractured discourse on procreation and procreation and parenting as a matter of legal and social concern.

**Primary Keyword:** Bioethics, Biotechnology and the Law

**New Developments in Civil Justice Systems**

CRN: 10

Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 10

**Chair:** Herbert Kritzer, University of Minnesota Law School

**Disc:** Tomohiko Maeda, Meijo University

**Primary Keyword:** Civil Justice and Disputing

**Presentations:**

- Law on the Books and Foreclosures in Action: Adherence to Foreclosure Formalities During the Foreclosure Crisis
  - Emily Taylor Poppe, Cornell University
The Future of Private Enforcement of Civil Rights
Lynda Dodd, City College of New York

Uncertainty and Civil Procedure
Adam Muchmore, Penn State Law

**Police As the Enforcer and the Enforced**
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 9

**Chair:** Mari Hirayama, Hakuoh University

**Disc:** Setsuo Miyazawa, University of California Hastings School of Law; Aoyama Gakuin University Law School

**Primary Keyword:** Policing

**Presentations:**
- From Selma to Ferguson: The Voting Rights Act as a Blueprint for Police Reform
  Stephen Rushin, University of Alabama School of Law
- I Know What Happened Establishing Epistemic Stance and Status in Police Interrogations
  Gary David, Bentley University
- Law Enforcement Use of Technological Searches: Dollars and Sense
  Anne Boustead, Pardee RAND Graduate School
- The Role of Compliance and Social Desirability in the Decision to FALSELY Confess
  Alexandria Mackinnon, Appalachian State University
  Alissa Anderson, University of Toledo
  Twila Wingrove, Appalachian State University

**Race and Place**
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 5

**Chair/Disc:** Victoria Reyes, Bryn Mawr College

**Primary Keyword:** Race, Critical Race Research

**Presentations:**
- Neighborhood Effects on Drug Abuse for Youth in Hong Kong
  Qin Zhou, City University of Hong Kong, School of Law
- Pockets of Dominance: Whiteness, Mentoring and Obstacles to Equity in the Academy
  Karla Erickson, Grinnell College

White Space: Self Defense Laws and Neighborhood Segregation
Addie Rolnick, UNLV Williams S. Boyd School of Law

“Being” Illegal: The Legal Regulation of Race and the Occupation of Space
Anette Sikka, University of Illinois Springfield

**Reflections on Methods of Empirically Studying Collaboration, Deliberation, Democracy and Participation**
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Galerie 4

**Chair:** Amy Cohen, Ohio State University

**Participants:**
- Bronwen Morgan, UNSW School of Law
- Linda Mulcahy, London School of Economics and Political Science
- Annelise Riles, Cornell Law School
- Brishen Rogers, Temple University Beasley School of Law
- Jenny Scott, University of Glasgow, UK

Annelise Riles recently argued that today “collaboration [has become] its own kind of constitutional moment, a different form of politics - one that is constitutive of a new set of ethical, social, political, and institutional relations, albeit one deeply implicated in the economics of the moment, just as pluralism was for a previous generation.” This roundtable gathers scholars to discuss methods of empirically studying such practices of collaboration, democracy, and participation in both dispute resolution and economic exchange-as these practices variously represent efforts to improve existing legal and economic institutions, radicalize or supplant such institutions, or offer alternative forms of economic and legal organization but without necessarily upending dominant statist and capitalist frames. The roundtable explores ways in which the courtroom and the jury system could be characterized as democratic spaces, as well as social activism and social enterprise in economic exchange including how concepts such as profit, equity and debt are configured by actors with commitments to civic participation, grassroots innovation, and economic justice. Ultimately, the roundtable asks how diverse methodologies can help the researcher understand and assess the ways in which democratic practices of dispute resolution, law, and economic justice move, however piecemeal (or not), beyond neoliberalism.

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, Business and Society

**Sexy Business: Regulating Non-Normative Sexual Communities and Practices**
Paper Session

**Room:** Studio 8
Sunday  8:15 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.

Chair/Disc: Kyle Kirkup, University of Toronto Faculty of Law

Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Presentations:
- Could There Be a Hearsay Exception for Sex Workers?
  Helene Love, University of Toronto
- Don’t Be a Drag, Just Be a Queen—How Drag Queens Protect their Intellectual Property without Law.
  Eden Sarid, University of Toronto
- The ‘Everyday’ Escort in the Shadow of the Law: an Examination of Legal Consciousness and the Effects of the New Canadian Sex Work Legislation on Independent Escorts
  Andrea Sterling, University of Toronto
- Who’s Your Daddy? The Income Tax Obligations of Sex Workers in Canada
  Megan Ross, University of Toronto

Social Media, the Internet, and the Law II
CRN: 37
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 2

Chair/Disc: Aaron Smyth, University of California, Berkeley

Primary Keyword: Technology

Presentations:
- @POTUS: The Bully Pulpit in the 21st Century
  Aniket Kesari, University of California, Berkeley
- Discovering the Basis for Internet Jurisdiction: Patterns of Conflict of Law Resolutions and Trends in United States and European Union
  Reirui Ri, Stanford University
- Gender Online. Human Flaws, Absent Regulation, or Media Scapegoating – Time for Change?
  Kim Barker, University of Wolverhampton
- Same-Sex Male Social Dating and Encounter Networks: Identity Formation and Protection
  Chris Ashford, Northumbria University
  Kevin Brown, Queens University Belfast

The Criminalization of Medicine, the Medicalization of Crime: Crossing Institutional Boundaries in Healthcare and Criminal Justice
CRN: 9
Paper Session

Room: Studio 3

Chair/Disc: Liz Chiarello, Saint Louis University

Primary Keyword: Health and Medicine

Presentations:
- Doing Harm: When Physicians and other “Helping Professionals” Report New and Expecting Mothers to the Police
  Jeanne Flavin, Fordham University
- Medicine, Law, and the Lash – Medical Discipline in the Australian Colonies
  Catherine Kelly, University of Western Australia
- Punishing Disease: HIV and the Criminalization of Sickness
  Trevor Hoppe, University at Albany, SUNY
- When the Solution Becomes the Problem: Prescription Drug Misuse as a Case of “iatrogenic Spillover”
  Liz Chiarello, Saint Louis University

Under-Recognized Struggles in Old Age Policy
CRN: 41
Paper Session

Room: Balcony N

Chair/Disc: Susan Cancelosi, Wayne State University Law School

Primary Keyword: Aging

Presentations:
- Care for the Elderly and Succession Disputes
  Shiri Regev-Messalem, Bar Ilan University
- LGBT Older Adults, Caregiving, and Chosen Family
  Nancy Knauer, Temple Law School
- Life Course and Turning Points for Risk of Elder Financial Exploitation
  Julie Brancale, Florida State University
  Thomas Blomberg, Florida State University
  Brae Campion, Florida State University
  George Pesta, Florida State University
  J.W. Andrew Ranson, Florida State University
- Say What? The Affordable Care Act, Medicare and Hearing Aids
  Mary Helen McNeal, Syracuse University College of Law
- The Older Woman Worker in Swedish Labour Law – Intersectional Perspectives
  Jenny Julén Votinius, Faculty of Law, Lund University
Sunday June 5
10:15 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.

(De)constructing the Heteronormative: Conversations and Confrontations on Law and Society
Roundtable Session

Room: Balcony J
Chair: Grietje Baars, City University London
Joanna Vieira Noronha, Harvard Law School

Participants:
Libby Adler, Northeastern University School of Law
Alejandra Azuero Quijano, Harvard Law School-University of Chicago
Grietje Baars, City University London
Ivana Isailovic, McGill Faculty of Law
Dianne Otto, Melbourne Law School
Joanna Vieira Noronha, Harvard Law School

The heteronormative can be defined as that set of legal rules, social norms, prevailing moral tendencies and ethical ‘moods’ that together form the ideology of the heteropatriarchy, which can be defined as is the systemic privilege of the (elite, white, able-bodied) male heterosexual in (global) society. In this roundtable we seek to debate the nature, characteristics, workings and life-cycle of the various elements of the heteronormative, and which may be found in practices, policies or regimes that perpetuate, legitimate or reconstitute the heteropatriarchy on its existing or renewed terms. What precisely are the constituting elements of the heteronormative? What is the part of law, legal regimes and the courts? What is the role of the heteronormative in the market, or what is its value? How and in what conditions do practices aimed at subversion work? What would a post-heteronormative look like?

Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

AMR Salon Session: Matthew J. Wilson, Hiroshi Fukurai, and Takashi Maruta - “Japan and Civil Jury Trials: The Convergence of Forces”
CRN: 33
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Salon D

Author: Hiroshi Fukurai, University of California Santa Cruz
Matthew Wilson, University of Akron School of Law

Readers:
Nancy Marder, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law
Satoru Shinomiya, Kokugakuin University Law School
Neil Vidmar, Duke Law

The successes seen by Japan’s quasi-jury system combined with societal and legal challenges encountered by individuals seeking recourse against powerful companies and the Japanese government give rise to the need for more lay participation in the civil realm. This work closely examines this need in various contexts including injuries suffered at the hands of the recent Fukushima nuclear disaster. It also focuses on a forgotten era in Okinawa in which Japan actually conducted civil jury trials. During this era, a pattern emerged in which women plaintiffs consistently won legal disputes against multinational corporations and a local electric company. Despite this success, the civil jury was quickly scrapped. This precedent stands as an important illustration to Japan and the rest of the world. By way of background, Japan lagged the rest of the world in terms of citizen participation in the judicial process for over sixty years. In fact, it was the only G-8 nation without a citizen participation system in either criminal or civil trials. As part of a historic internal transformation of its legal system though, this drastically changed on May 21, 2009, when Japan officially introduced citizen participation into a select category of criminal trials pursuant to the “Saiban-in ho” or Act Concerning Participation of Lay Assessors in Criminal Trials (the “Lay Judge Act”). As part of its new “saiban-in seido” or lay judge system, Japan now conscripts registered voters to serve on mixed criminal tribunals comprised of lay citizens and professional judges. By design, the Lay Judge Act purposefully limits lay participation to involvement in select serious criminal cases only.

Albeit early in the process, Japan’s lay judge system has realized substantial progress, altered attitudes, and exudes a promising outlook. Before adoption, some members of the public and...
Japanese legal community predicted quick failure based on the perceived incompetence of lay judges, public hostility to the idea of jury participation, and lack of tradition. Others voiced skepticism that the system was doomed because the lay judges would lack adequate legal training, suffer from insufficient knowledge, and rely too heavily on emotion and bias. Contrary to these predictions, Japanese citizens have seriously embraced their new responsibilities and positively contributed to the judicial process. The educational outcomes of lay service in serious criminal trials have been invaluable as well. Not only has the level of satisfaction in participation among lay judges reached 95%, but also the lay judge system has gained acceptance from both the public and the government.

Given the high interest in Japan regarding lay participation together with the substantial impact that certain civil trials can have on society, the current environment in Japan is perfect for seriously considering the expansion of citizen participation into the legal decision-making process in civil trials. Civil dispute resolution plays a vital role in shaping any society. In fact, it constitutes the core of any legal system and popular base. In civil law systems, citizen participation is alien to many forms of dispute resolution, particularly civil litigation. Consistent with this concept, Japan does not presently have a jury system or allow lay participation in civil cases. Instead, professional judges conduct Japanese civil litigation without juries over the course of months, if not years. However, having embarked on a course that encourages civic engagement and seeks for greater transparency and access to the judicial system, Japan would be well served to extend citizen participation into the civil justice system. Opening certain civil trials to lay participation in lawsuits having major societal impact is the next logical step for Japan as it continues to advance the goals underlying its ongoing legal reforms. These lawsuits might involve governmental misconduct, administrative dispositions, environmental disasters such as the recent meltdown at the nuclear reactors in Fukushima, and other disputes that significantly affect society as a whole.

With five years of intensive preparatory activities and six years of actual lay judge trial experience under its belt, it is an ideal time for Japan to expand lay participation into the civil realm. With this expansion, Japan can foster more accountability, enhance democratic engagement, generate positive change in society, and fully achieve the objectives of its recent monumental legal reforms. Also, the Japanese judiciary is prepared for a progressive expansion of the system given that criminal lay judge trials have taken root and started bearing fruit.

Primary Keyword: Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation

AMR: Richard H. McAdams - “The Expressive Powers of Law”
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session
dominant debate between legitimacy and deterrence (largely between sociology and psychology on the one hand and economics on the other) neglects and distracts from the fact that law influences behavior in myriad ways, and that the most important mechanism may vary from context to context. Too often, those advocating the causal significance of legitimacy infer empirical support for their position merely from the fact that law affects behavior in the absence of sanctions (and those who advocate the causal significance of sanctions tend to think that any and all compliance is evidence of their position). Yet where sanction-less law does affect behavior (as it often does), the best explanation might be not be legitimacy but the expressive powers McAdams identifies, as he claims in certain examples. Overall, McAdams advocates a pluralistic understanding of law’s effects, one that embraces legitimacy and sanctions, as well as expression (coordination and information), and also important interactions between the mechanisms. These ideas are a challenge to the way most socio-legal scholars think about the fundamental issue of obedience. The proposed panel of prominent scholars will offer a genuinely interdisciplinary discussion of The Expressive Powers of Law, making the panel of broad interest to anyone interested in how law influences behavior. Dau-Schmidt is a legal economist who is entirely conversant with the conventional sanction-centered view that economics has of law, but also wrote a path-breaking article on the economically heterodox idea that law also influences behavior by shaping individual preferences. Knight is a political scientist specializing in law, institutions, and social theory, who has written on the legitimacy of courts and the coordination theory on which McAdams relies. Nadler is a legal psychologist who has written extensively on the psychology of legitimacy. Roithmayr is a critical race scholar who uses evolutionary game theory to explain institutional racism, well positioned to assess the game theory in McAdams’ book and the claims about the expressive effects of anti-discrimination law.

Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law

Big Data and New Technologies in Policing and Courts
CRN: 37
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 2

Chair/Disc: Aaron Smyth, University of California, Berkeley

Primary Keyword: Technology

Presentations:
Can Big Data Analytics Predict Policing Practice?
Janet Chan, UNSW Australia
Lyria Bennett Moses, UNSW Australia

Community Technology, Community Technology Project Negotiates Surveillance and Social Control

Larisa Mann, McGannon Center for Communication Research, Fordham University

Hearing Voices: Audio Forensics and Expert Listening in the Legal Arena
Michael Mopas, Carleton University

Narrowing the View: An Analysis of Initial Canadian Reports on Police Body Worn Cameras
Amanda Glasbeek, York University
Mariful Alam, York University
Katrin Roots, York University
Police Contestation of the Use of Big Data
Sarah Brayne, University of Texas at Austin

Policing as (Monitored) Performance: Police Body Cameras, Citizen Video, and New Visibility
Bryce C. Newell, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology, and Society (TILT), Tilburg University

Bioethics and Health Care
Paper Session

Room: Balcony K

Chair: Elaine Draper, California State University, Los Angeles

Disc: Maya Sabatello, Columbia University

Primary Keyword: Bioethics, Biotechnology and the Law

Presentations:
Devising an Ethico-Legal Framework for Cross-Border Use of Healthcare Data
John Rumbold, Kingston University London
Barbara Pieriscionek, Kingston University London

Disposable People: Physician-Assisted Suicide and Vulnerable Patients
Browne Lewis, Cleveland Marshall College of Law

Equality of Resources and Compulsory Licensing of Cognitive Enhancement Drugs
Denis Silva, Federal University of Juiz de Fora - Brazil

Praemeditatio malorum: Advance Care Planning, Self-Narration, and the Death Gaze
Thomas Hayes, Cardiff University

Can Restorative Justice Help To Dismantle the School to Prison Pipeline?
Roundtable Session

Room: Balcony I
**Sunday 10:15 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.**

**Chair:** Mary Louise Frampton, Berkeley Law

**Participants:**
- Danfeng Koon, UC Berkeley School of Education
- Margaret Olmos, Louisiana Board of Education Recovery School District
- Daniel Perlstein, UC Berkeley School of Education
- Laura Pulido, UC Berkeley School of Education

This proposed roundtable will be a conversation between the Assistant Superintendent For Student Programs at the Louisiana Board of Education Recovery School District and a group of researchers at the University of California at Berkeley in the fields of law and education who are engaged in an empirical research project on the impact of restorative justice approaches in schools in California’s Central Valley. The UC Berkeley researchers are studying not only how restorative justice may affect suspension and expulsions rates and the school to prison pipeline but also what conditions can enhance a sense of belonging among students and foster school-wide culture change. The law professor member of the UC Berkeley research team has presented at prior Law and Society Association meetings on her research on the effectiveness of restorative justice approaches in certain Bay Area schools. This research is more expansive and includes educational partners in the academy and in schools.

**Primary Keyword:** Race and Ethnicity

**Capitalism, Morality and the Rule of Law**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Bacchus

**Chair/Disc:** Edward Cohen, Westminster College

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, Global Economy and Law

**Presentations:**
- State Capitalism: Challenges Faced by the Multilateral Trading System
  - Luyao Che, The University of Nottingham
- The Moral Economy of Markets: Desert, Competence and Trust
  - Tamar Kricheli-Katz, Tel Aviv University
- The Nineteenth Century American Legal Profession and the Market: Economic Trust in Theory and Practice
  - Justin Simard, Baldy Center for Law and Social Policy
  - Michael Halberstam, SUNY Buffalo Law School

**Challenging the Criminological Hegemony**

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Galerie 5

**Chair:** Alessandro De Giorgi, Department of Justice Studies, San Jose State University

**Participants:**
- Laurence Pedroni, San Jose State University

While radical criminology is not the pariah of the discipline it once was, there is still only a relatively small space in which such scholarship can be heard. Mainstream theoretical perspectives still dominate the criminological landscape, as they have since the field’s inception, forcing radical scholars and policymakers onto the fringes of academia where their work is less likely to influence the epistemology and politics of contemporary criminology, both within academia and in the realm of policy. This roundtable seeks to discuss ways in which mainstream criminological discourse can be challenged and new critical spaces be broken open in an attempt to make more radical perspectives heard. Participants in this roundtable will draw on their research in areas such as immigration, mass incarceration, prison reform, alternatives to incarceration, social control, human rights, and inequality in an attempt to challenge mainstream discourses.

**Primary Keyword:** Language And Discourse, Challenging the Secularity of Law: Case Studies from Australia, Egypt, and the United States

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Galerie 4

**Chair:** Matthew Scherer, George Mason University

**Disc:** Winnifred Sullivan, Indiana University Bloomington

**Primary Keyword:** Religion and Law

**Presentations:**
- “Starve Them Out”: From Religious Liberty to Human Rights during the MOVE Blockade
  - Richard Kent Evans, Temple University
- Identities that Matter: Baha’i Legal Activism in the Era of Minority Rights
  - Mona Oraby, Northwestern University
- Religion in the Context of Transnational Discrimination and Human Rights Law
  - Farrah Raza, Kings College London
The (In) Visible Boundaries of the Eruv: Law, Space, and Religion
Mareike Riedel, The Australian National University, RegNet School of Regulation and Global Governance
This is Not What You Get: Agency Among Contemporary Jewish Women Going Through a Jewish Divorce in the United States
Anat Livshits, The New School for Social Research

Children and Youth---At Risk and in Needs
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 6

Chair: Thomas McMorrow, University of Ontario Institute of Technology

Disc: June Carbone, University of Minnesota

Primary Keyword: Family and Youth

Presentations:
Extended Adolescence and the Law
Kevin Lapp, Loyola Law School Los Angeles

Model for the Nation? Pennsylvania as Testing Ground for Juvenile Justice System Reforms
Sarah Cate, University of Pennsylvania

“The Child’s Own Will”: Comparative Perspectives on German Child Custody Cases in the 20th Century
Jan-Robert Schmidt, Hamburg University

Constitutional Politics and Comparative Institutional Design
Paper Session

Room: Galvez

Chair: Diego Werneck Arguelhes, Fundação Getulio Vargas Law School - Rio de Janeiro (FGV Direito Rio)

Disc: Thomaz Pereira, FGV Direito Rio

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Presentations:
Between Reason and Politics: The Indian Constitutional Court’s Struggle to Protect the Constitution from Parliamentary Sovereignty
Thomaz Pereira, FGV Direito Rio

Common Law and the Creation of the Administrative State in the Us
Michaela Hailbronner, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Everyone Knows What a Court Is, No-One Knows What a Court Is: The Institutional Nature of the South African Court in Comparative Perspective
James Fowkes, University of Pretoria

The First, the Last, and Everything? The Supreme Court’s Role in the Legalization of Same-Sex Marriage in Brazil
Diego Werneck Arguelhes, Fundação Getulio Vargas Law School - Rio de Janeiro (FGV Direito Rio)
Leandro Molhano Ribeiro, Fundação Getulio Vargas (RJ)

Contemporary Issues in Policing and the Law
Paper Session

Room: Regent

Chair/Disc: Luis Daniel Gascón, University of San Francisco | Department of Sociology

Primary Keyword: Policing

Presentations:
Not Limited to the Usual Beating: How the Jon Burge Police Torture Scandal Helped Catalog Everyday Abuse of Criminal Suspects in Chicago and the United States
Andrew Baer, American Bar Foundation

Police Perceptions on Female Offending: Study of an American Police Department
Tasneem Deo, Yale Law School

Policing Complaints: A Collaborative Vision of Civil Actions Against the Police and Police Complaints Processes
Clare Torrible, University of Bristol

Race, Police-Citizen Interactions, and Perceptions of Police: Experimental Results on the Impact of Procedural (in)Justice
Devon Johnson, George Mason University
Belen Lowrey, American University
Edward Maguire, American University

Towards a Phenomenology of Police Violence
Peter Hanink, University of California, Irvine

Corporate Finance and Insolvency
Paper Session

Room: Jackson

Chair/Disc: Claire Hill, University of Minnesota Law School

Primary Keyword: Corporate and Securities Law
Sunday 10:15 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.

Presentations:

Better Than Bankruptcy?
Andrew Dawson, University of Miami School of Law

Debt and Discipline
Tayyab Mahmud, Seattle University

Loan difficulties: Unnatural Disaster - Human crises and law’s response
Safia Kherbouche, University Lille 2

The Spanish Business Bankruptcy Puzzle: An Economic and Sociological Approach
Aurelio Gurrea Martinez, Stanford Law School

Courts As Agencies
Roundtable Session

Room: Galerie 3

Chair: Michael Coenen, LSU Law Center
Seth Davis, University of California, Irvine School of Law

Participants:
Andrew Bradt, UC Berkley
Margaret Lemos, Duke Law School
Elizabeth Porter, University of Washington School of Law
Mila Sohoni, University of San Diego
Adam Zimmerman, Loyala Law School

This roundtable session will consider the extent to which principles of administrative law might usefully inform inquiries into judicial practice and procedure. Beginning from the premise that the responsibilities of Article III courts bear important similarities to those of federal administrative agencies, presenters will ask whether courts can and/or should be conceptualized as specialized agencies of their own. Put somewhat differently, the session will explore ways in which insights and innovations of administrative law might be extended or adapted to shed new light on questions derived from the federal courts and civil procedure canons.

Primary Keyword: Courts And Trials

Criminal Justice Welfare in an Age of Neoliberal Penalty
Paper Session

Room: Bonaparte

Chair/Disc: Jonathan Simon, University of California-Berkeley

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Sentencing, and Social Control

Presentations:

An Experiment in Penal Welfare: The New Human Trafficking Courts
Aya Gruber, University of Colorado

From Market Exploitation to Family Trauma: A History of Prostitution Courts in New York
Amy Cohen, Ohio State University

Programs, Punishment, and “Doing Something” With Misdemeanor Cases
Issa Kohler-Hausmann, Yale Law School

The Promise and Peril of Community Prosecution: A Case Study of Cook County’s Community Justice Centers
Genevieve Lakier, The University of Chicago Law School

The Welfare State, the Carceral State, and Shades of Citizenship
Julilly Kohler-Hausmann, Cornell

Crises, Corruption and Change
Paper Session

Room: Balcony M

Chair/Disc: Sally Wheeler, Queen’s University Belfast

Primary Keyword: Economy, Global Economy and Law

Presentations:

Adjudicating Transition: The Role of the Judicial System in a Political-Economic Transformation to a Market Economy in the Case of the Israeli Bankers’ Trial
Yaniv Ron-El, University of Chicago

Empowering People to Choose Wisely Via Mindfulness and Thinking Tools
Peter Huang, University of Colorado

The Rationale, Establishment, Workings and Findings of the Irish Banking Crisis Inquiry: Surrounding Public Policy Issues and Events Impacting Regulatory Governance
Shaun Elder, Solicitor

Cross-National Perspectives on LGBTI Rights
Paper Session

Room: Galerie 1

Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality
Presentations:
Football Evangelism and the Gospel of Sport: Using Association Football to Combat Gender and Sexual Discrimination in Uganda
Richard Peltz-Steele, University of Massachusetts

Homosexuality in the Government: Importing the Lavender Scare into Britain in the 1950s
Aleardo Zanghellini, University Of Reading

Sexuality, Identity, and (In)humanity: Article 3 of the European Convention on Human Rights and LGBT* Asylum-seekers
Natasa Mavronicola, School of Law, Queen's University Belfast

Histories of Race, Law, and the African Diaspora
CRN: 44
Paper Session

Room: Studio 2
Chair/Disc: Adrienne Davis, Washington University

Primary Keyword: Legal History

Presentations:
Fugitive Slaves, Free Soil, and the Contest over Sovereignty in the U.S.-Mexico Borderlands, 1829-1860
Alice Baumgartner, Yale University

Ports of Sanctuary: Maritime Marronage, Imperial Law and the Judicial Imaginary of Enslaved Mariners in the South Atlantic, 1739-1839
Mary Hicks, Amherst College

Sold as a Slave after U.S. Emancipation: the Convict/Slavery Pipeline in Post-Civil War Criminal Prosecutions
Tamika Nunley, Oberlin College

“Finer Things: African-Descended Sumptuary Laws and Governance in Early Spanish America”
Danielle Terrazas Williams, Oberlin College

International Legal Histories
CRN: 44
Paper Session

Room: Studio 1
Chair/Disc: Anders Walker, Saint Louis University School of Law

Primary Keyword: Legal History

Presentations:
A Revolution In Search of a Historiography: On the Introduction of the Small High Court of Justice of Israel
Yair Sagy, U of Haifa Faculty of Law

Beyond Elections: The Forgotten History of the Right to Democracy in International Law
Jonathan Crock, Leiden University

Engendering International Civil Rights Advocacy During the Cold War: The Transnational Activism of Women of Color for Rights and Dignity, 1949-1958
Gwen Jordan, University of Illinois Springfield

On German “Life” and American “Experience”: German Free Law, American Legal Realism, and the Transatlantic Turn to Jurisprudential Naturalism, 1903-1934
Katharina Isabel Schmidt, Yale Law School

Processes of Constitutional Change in Comparative and Theoretical Perspective
Paper Session

Room: Studio 5
Chair/Disc: Rohit De, Yale University

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Presentations:
Constitutional Change and the Myanmar Supreme Court: Writs, Rights and Remedies
Melissa Crouch, University of New South Wales

Do Citizens Influence Constitutional Content? Mechanisms of Popular Constitution Making in Comparative Perspective
Solongo Wandan, University of Oklahoma

From Foreign Legal Text to Local Meaning: Political Manipulation in Constitutional Borrowing
Hanna Lerner, Tel Aviv University
Asli Bali, UCLA School of Law
David Mednicoff, University of Massachusetts-Amherst
Matthew Nelson, SOAS

Mexico's Constitutionalism: A Mirage
Mariana Velasco Rivera, Yale Law School

Popular Ownership and Citizen Juries in Formal Constitutional Change
Jason Maloy, University of Louisiana, Lafayette
Psychology and Law: Conceptual Advancements to Bridge the Disciplinary Divide
IRC: 29
Roundtable Session

Room: Salon H-G
Chair: Victor Quintanilla, Indiana University

Participants:
Eve Brank, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Linda Demaine, ASU
Valerie Hans, Cornell Law School
Jennifer Robbennolt, University of Illinois College of Law
Barbara Spellman, University of Virginia

Although law and legal process are inherently psychological in nature, traditionally, relatively few law professors, judges, or legal practitioners have drawn on empirical psychological research to inform their perspectives and decisions. In recent years, the legal community has increasingly recognized that both substantive law and legal procedure rest on a multitude of testable assumptions about human behavior that can be informed by psychological research. Without formal training in psychology, however, it can be challenging for legal experts to identify relevant and informative psychological research and to interpret the empirical research results. And psychologists who lack formal training in law have difficulty identifying important legal issues and conducting research that deftly informs them. This Roundtable discusses an effort to strengthen the intersection of law and psychology by integrating psychological research with topics covered in the core law school curriculum.

Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law, Regulating Particular Social Behaviors

Paper Session

Room: Iberville

Chair/Disc: Fabio de Sa e Silva, Institute of Applied Economic Research (Ipea); Harvard Law School’s Center on the Legal Profession

Primary Keyword: Regulation & Governance

Presentations:
Decision-making with regard to Whistleblowing Behavior: The Case of the SEC Whistleblower Award Program
Masaki Iwasaki, Stanford Law School

Higher Mortality Risk, Higher Value of Life: A Critique of Current Regulatory Practice in Monetizing the Benefit of Life-Saving Regulations
Dov Waisman, Southwestern Law School

sungyong kang, Fordham Law

Policing Backyard Breeding Through Targeted Spay/Neuter Programs
Lisa Milot, University of Georgia School of Law

Relations Between Regulatory Models, Relations and Risks in Complex Infrastructures
CRN: 5
Paper Session

Room: Studio 7
Chair: Fiona Haines, University of Melbourne

Disc: Linda Senden, Utrecht University, RENFORCE
Primary Keyword: Regulation & Governance

Presentations:
Financial Stability: Regulation and Politics
Caroline Bradley, University of Miami School of Law

H.C. Robinson, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Regulatory Enforcement in a Principles-Based Regulatory Regime: The Paradoxical Effects of Broad Standards
Aleksandra Jordanoska, School of Law, Keele University

The Never-Ending Too-Big-To-Fail Story
Peter Knaack, University of Southern California

Study Space Guatemala: From Extraction to Emancipation—Reimagining Development for Guatemala
Roundtable Session

Room: Salon C
Chair: Steven Bender, Seattle University School of Law

Participants:
Maria Antonia Tigre, Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University
Steven Bender, Seattle University School of Law
Patricia Ferreira, Centre for Global Governance and Innovation in Canada
Marcia Narine, St Thomas University School of Law
Lauren Gilbert, St. Thomas University School of Law
This roundtable details and engages the research findings of a diverse group of scholars from law and other disciplines who participated in a LatCrit Study Space project in Guatemala during summer 2015. The research group is preparing a book of participant essays that aims to study more closely the relationship between Guatemala and its corporations. Guatemala is experiencing tremendous social upheaval from corporate acts, with the state as an accessory, with consequences that include environmental degradation, water depletion and contamination, forced displacement, and labor exploitation, among others. Caught between the obliging state and corporations are the communities most deeply affected by both the law’s absence and presence. The questions this roundtable will address include how law can and should restore the balance between promoting investment and economic development with protecting the public interest and preserving the public good. These inquiries also implicate the protection of rights, whether of individuals or communities in the collective, including the right to self-determination, the right to food and health, or the right to dignified work.

Part I of the forthcoming book reframes the relationship between Guatemala as a state and mostly foreign corporations such as in the mining industry. One framework is through the lens of voluntary codes on corporate social responsibility whereby the corporation obtains both a legal and social license to operate effectively and legitimately in Guatemala. A second lens emphasizes Guatemala’s obligations to regulate acts of corporations to comply with human rights responsibilities under the American Convention on Human Rights. Part II addresses development and the environment in Guatemala’s business practices. Guatemala needs economic development, but how can it do so sustainably and responsibly? Part II not only documents significant gaps or deficiencies in Guatemala’s current environmental regime-e.g., water or environmental impact assessments-or poor practices by corporations-e.g., deforestation and the palm oil industry—but also prescribes solutions to improve current practices. Part III documents the power imbalance in the development choices of the central government vis-a-vis rural and indigenous communities. These chapters rely on international norms and comparative studies to offer lessons for Guatemala that respect the sovereignty rights of local and indigenous communities in terms of development. Part IV examines development in the context of trade and investment norms, considering whether and how international trade agreements, in particular CAFTA-DR, promote or discourage corporate social responsibility in Guatemala. Finally Part V looks at the phenomena of migration and development. The recent surge of Central American unaccompanied minors and children fleeing with their mothers to the United States made us confront the human face of migrants whose fates are rooted in the dire reality that the countries from which they flee cannot or will not protect them. These chapters connect Guatemala’s story of migration and violence to both the past and the present—the civil war years to now—and to the licit and illicit actors who exploit them.

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, Global Economy and Law

**The New Waves in Negotiation and ADR**

**CRN: 10**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Studio 10

**Chair/Disc:** Eileen Scallen, UCLA

**Primary Keyword:** Civil Justice and Disputing

**Presentations:**

- Art, Disputes and the Reconciliation of Culture
  - Debbie De Girolamo, Queen Mary University of London

- Confidentiality of International Commercial Arbitration and the Public Policy Exception
  - Joanna Jemielniak, iCourts, University of Copenhagen

- Ethically Negotiating on Behalf of Low-Income Plaintiffs: The Distorting Effects of Model Rule 4.1
  - Megan McDermott, University of Wisconsin School of Law

- Spaces of Disputing: Interrogating the Relationship Between Digital Geographies and Dispute Resolution
  - Leah Wing, University of Massachusetts-Amherst

**The Social and Legal Challenges of Informed Consent: Coercion, Manipulation, and The State**

**CRN: 29**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Balcony L

**Chair/Disc:** Michele Goodwin, University of California - Irvine School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Bioethics, Biotechnology and the Law

**Presentations:**

- Exemption Wars: The U.S. Controversy over Exemptions to Vaccination
  - Mary Holland, NYU School of Law

- Informed Consent (to Become Potentially Ill): An Ethnography
  - Ronen Shamir, Tel Aviv University

  - Shin-Rou Lin, Department of Health Management, Chang-Gung University
The Anti-apartheid Genome: Genetic Ancestry Testing, Informed Consent, and the Fashioning of Biogenetic Belonging in South Africa
Laura Foster, Indiana University

The Socio-Legal Dynamics of Health Systems
CRN: 9
Paper Session

Room: Studio 3

Chair/Disc: Anne-Maree Farrell, Monash University, Australia

Primary Keyword: Health and Medicine

Presentations:
A (Null) Right to Health
Christina Ho, Rutgers School of Law, Newark

The Double Edged Sword of Federalism: Deference to the States in the Creation of Essential Health Benefit Benchmark Plans and the Question of Variation
Charley Willison, University of Michigan
Phillip Singer, University of Michigan

The Right to be Free from Sex Discrimination in Health Care Settings: Mobilizing New Rights Claims under the Affordable Care Act
Anna Kirkland, University of Michigan

“In Sickness and in Health…”, but Within Reasonable Limits: Tracing the Swiss Welfare State’s Qualified Commitment to Health Care
Melanie Levy, The Edmond J. Safra Center for Ethics, Tel Aviv University

Understanding the Relationships Between Law, Aging, and Ageism: The COST Action on Ageism - A Multi-National, Interdisciplinary Perspective
CRN: 41
Roundtable Session

Room: Balcony N

Chair: Israel (Issi) Doron, University of Haifa

Participants:
Bridget Sleap, HelpAge International

This session presents the research and action conducted so far by the Legal Working Group within the European COST Action on the multi disciplinary and multi national perspectives on ageism. Ageism (i.e., the complex and often negative social construction of old age) is highly prevalent worldwide. A recent study found that ageism is the most prevalent type of discrimination, reported by almost 35% of all participants over the age of 18. Ageism occurs at the structural and the individual levels, and exists in many different contexts. Despite the shocking statistics on the prevalence of ageism and its negative effects, research on ageism is relatively scarce, especially when compared to sexism and racism. The goal of this COST Action is to challenge the practice of ageism and allow older people to realize their full potential. It is a European funded collaborative platform that bridge disciplinary, methodological, and national/regional practices, and schools of thought.

Primary Keyword: Aging

What Is Left Out of Contemporary Academic and Reform Conversations About Forensic Science and Expert Testimony?
CRN: 37
Roundtable Session

Room: Studio 6

Chair: Sherene Razack, Ontario Institute for Studies in Education, University of Toronto

Participants:
Simon Cole, University of California, Irvine
Emma Cunliffe, UBC Faculty of Law
Gary Edmond, University of New South Wales
Mehera San Roque, University of New South Wales

Participants in this roundtable will critically evaluate whether the academic turn towards emphasizing scientific reliability and rigour in respect of expert opinion evidence (e.g. NAS, 2009; Mnookin et al, 2011; Edmond et al, 2012) is compromised by relative inattention to the social dimensions of science, including the gendered and racialized context of knowledge production in forensic science and criminal justice. Forensic evidence has both produced and revealed wrongful convictions. However, gender, race and forensic science are rarely analyzed together (see Parkes & Cunliffe, 2015). This roundtable will consider why ‘social questions’ have largely been bracketed separately from studies of the reliability and utility of forensic evidence, and investigate the invidious consequences of this separation. The participants are drawn from a broad range of jurisdictions and perspectives, but all share an interest in the intersection of criminal investigation, sociology and law.

Primary Keyword: Crime and Victims

CRN: 8
Paper Session

Room: Salon F

Chair/Disc: Marley Weiss, University of Maryland Carey School of Law
Primary Keyword: Labor

Presentations:
A New Capitalist Ideology as Revealed in Disputes over Employment Status: Networks, Technology, and “That Guy with a Car” as “Entrepreneur”
Julia Tomassetti, Maurer School of Law, Center for Law, Society, and Culture

Home-Based Care Work at the Crossroads of Labor and Health Care Regulation: The Structuring of Aide Jobs and Knowledge of Care Workers
Elizabeth Nisbet, John Jay College

Independent Contractor Drivers: Where Are We Heading?
Naomi Sunshine, NYU School of Law

Required Intimacy
EINAT ALBIN, HEbrew UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM

‘Africa’ As a New Frontier? Lawyers & Extractive Economies (II)
CRN: 13
Paper Session

Room: Studio 4

Chair/Disc: Sara Dezalay, Goethe University

Primary Keyword: African Law & Society

Presentations:
Lawyers, extractive economies and global reconfigurations of political authority: a case-study of the ‘Africa corporate bar’ in Paris
Sara Dezalay, Goethe University

Out of Storage: Law and Minerals in the African Oil Boom
Peter Rosenblum, Bard College

The role of law and legal experts in Africa’s extractive economies: explaining the implementation of transnational capabilities of the actors involved in extractive activities through a realistic approach
Florian Favreau, EM Normandie - Ecole Normale Supérieure Rennes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aalberts, Tanja</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaronson, Ely</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abaya, Miriam</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbott, Katherine</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdelaty, Lamis</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdullah, Nur Atiqah Tang</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdulaheem-Mustapha, Mariam</td>
<td>64, 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abegaz, Solomon Tekle</td>
<td>94, 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abel, Rick</td>
<td>25, 36, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abiri, Gilad</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboueldahab, Noha</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abraham, David</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrams, Jamie</td>
<td>79, 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrams, Kerry</td>
<td>46, 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abreu, Alice</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abuya, Edwin</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acevedo, Deepa Das</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acevedo, John</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acevedo, Ohn</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achiume, Tendayi</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acorn, Elizabeth</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam, Erin</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adamo, Silvia</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams, Adrienne</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addaney, Michael</td>
<td>85, 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adediran, Atinuke (Tinu)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeleke, Fola</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeleke, Michael</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aderibigbe, Titilayo</td>
<td>107, 116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjin-Tetty, Elizabeth</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adkins, Mary</td>
<td>82, 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adler, Libby</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affairs, International</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affairs, Public</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affolder, Natasha</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afonso, Jose Roberto</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afonso, Tulio</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afsharipour, Afra</td>
<td>52, 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aftab, Amira</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agon, Sivan Shlomo</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmed, Aziza</td>
<td>15, 71, 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmed, Muneeer</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ai, Jiahui</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ainsworth, Janet</td>
<td>86, 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajoku, Ogechukwu Ojimaduekwu</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajunwo, Ifeoma</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akande, Rabiat</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akbar, Amna</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aken, Chelsea Van</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akiba, Takeshi</td>
<td>22, 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alam, Mariful</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alast, Sanaz</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberstein, Michal</td>
<td>59, 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albin, Einat</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albiston, Catherine</td>
<td>2, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Botmeh, Reem</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcaino, Eduardo</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Hassani, Ruba Ali</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali, Nathanael</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aliperti, Britt</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen, Jessie</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen, Walter</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almeida, Andrija</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almeida, Carlos Alberto Lima</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almeida, Maira</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almeida, Matheus De</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almeida, Vanina</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alminas, Ruth</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aloni, Erez</td>
<td>1, 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altman, Clara</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alves, Cleber</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amani, Bita</td>
<td>64, 88, 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amariles, David Restrepo</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorim, Maria Carolina</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amrith, Sunil</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, Alissa</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, Cora Fernandez</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, Dorcas Quek</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, Gavin</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andraka-Christou, Barbara</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews, Neil</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews, Penelope</td>
<td>113, 115, 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angel-Cabo, Natalia</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angstadt, J. Michael</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anker, Kirsten</td>
<td>87, 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anku-Tsede, Olivia</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ansolabeher, Karina Mariela</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antognini, Albertina</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antoniali, Denny</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anyadike-Danes, Chima Michael</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anyshchenko, Artem</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appignanesi, Laura</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applegate, Amy</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araiza, William</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araujo, Alexandra Fuchs De</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aretz, Yafit Lev</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arewa, Olufunmilayo</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arguelhes, Diego Werneck</td>
<td>128, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armesto, Alejandra</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong, Andrea</td>
<td>85, 130, 137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong, Sarah</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arruda, Octaviano</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashford, Chris</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Page(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashwood, Loka</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashworth, Michael</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asimow, Michael</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assis, Grayce Kellen Pereira</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assis, Mariana Prandini</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atapattu, Sumudu</td>
<td>2, 36, 80, 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atata, Scholastica</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auchmuty, Rosemary</td>
<td>25, 91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augustine, Kif</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviram, Hadar</td>
<td>6, 17, 66, 103, 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aylwin, Nicole</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azam, Rifat</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azeem, Muhammad</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azgad-Tromer, Shlomit</td>
<td>28, 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azuela, Antonio</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baaij, Cornelis</td>
<td>9, 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baars, Grietje</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacca, Paulo Ilich</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacharach, Verne</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachar, Gilat</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back, Laura</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baek, Jihyun</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baelz, Moritz</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baer, Andrew</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baer, Miriam</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baharuddin, Shamsul Amri</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker, Anthony</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker, Melissa</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakiner, Onur</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakrokar, Denise</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balakrishnan, Radhika</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balcetis, Emily</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balgobin, George</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bali, Asli</td>
<td>3, 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balint, Jennifer</td>
<td>19, 37, 91, 132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballakrishnen, Swethaa S.</td>
<td>11, 36, 45, 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball, Alina</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball, Carlos</td>
<td>22, 121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballestero, Andrea</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballucci, Dale</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball, W. David</td>
<td>27, 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banerji, Anurima</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks, Angela</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banner, Francine</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barak, Maya</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barker, Kim</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barker, Vanessa</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barkway, Kelsi</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes, Jeb</td>
<td>2, 41, 42, 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes, Kathie</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes, Kristen</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes, Mario</td>
<td>28, 116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baron, Paula</td>
<td>45, 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barragan, Melissa</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrera, Enrique Boone</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrera, Leticia</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barr, Olivia</td>
<td>61, 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartie, Susan</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barzilay, Arianne Renan</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barzun, Charles</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basaran, Tugba</td>
<td>39, 60, 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basinski, Sean</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassa, Jaime</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basu, Srimati</td>
<td>4, 15, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batagol, Becky</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batchis, Wayne</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batlan, Felice</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baumgärtel, Moritz</td>
<td>17, 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baumgartner, Alice</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach, Lindsey</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bea, Megan Doherty</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beardall, Theresa Rocha</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaudry, Jonas-Sebastien</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becak, Rubens</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beckett, Jason</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beckett, Katherine</td>
<td>41, 137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedi, Sonu</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedner, Adriaan</td>
<td>16, 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begicevic, Alma</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belinfanti, Tamara</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell, Ashley</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell, Jeannine</td>
<td>38, 99, 114, 119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell, Lindsey</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell, Monica</td>
<td>82, 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bello, Enzo</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belt, Rabia</td>
<td>92, 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benda-Beckmann, Keebet Von</td>
<td>61, 72, 114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendall, Charlotte</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bender, Steven</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben-Ishai, Stephanie</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett, Belinda</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben-Shahar, Tammy Harel</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berch, Jessica</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergan, David</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berger, Eyal</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berg, Shanelle Van Der</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beringer, Gabriel</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berk, Christopher</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlo, Patrick Van</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard, Diane</td>
<td>87, 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard, Rachel</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernstein, Anya</td>
<td>108, 120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To email a participant, please use the “contact me” link available on the Online Program Guide.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berrey, Ellen</td>
<td>61, 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berryessa, Colleen</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bertenthal, Alyse</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bertrand, Michelle</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bessler, John</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhalotra, Sonia</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhuwana, Anuj</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhuyan, Rupaleem</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bibas, Stephanos</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bierschbach, Richard</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bigenho, Michelle</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilinski, Mariana</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilz, Kenworthey</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binder, Denis</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binnall, James</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birdthistle, William</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birk, Anne Okkels</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisharat, George</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisnauth, Victoria</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackett, Adelle</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blad, Karin</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blagg, Harry</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blaiklock, Alison</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blenner, Jordan</td>
<td>37, 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blevis, Laure</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blaicheriene, Ana Carla</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bliss, John</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blomberg, Thomas</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blum, Binyamin</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blumenthal, Susanna</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boateng, Boatema</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boer, Lianne</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boesten, Jan</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogle, Stephen</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogach, Bryna</td>
<td>18, 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolonha, Carlos</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boni-Saenz, Alexander</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booth, Kristen Glen</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borchert, Jay</td>
<td>111, 132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bordagaray, Aldana Romano</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borges, Daniella</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borgida, Eugene</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bornslegl, Teresa</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bos, Kees Van Den</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boso, Luke</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botero, Sandra</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouagga, Yasmine</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boucai, Michael</td>
<td>36, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boustedad, Anne</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boucher, Steven</td>
<td>11, 38, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouwer, Kim</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman, Nadia</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowring, Bill</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyle, Alysoun</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brabazon, Honor</td>
<td>79, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley, Caroline</td>
<td>128, 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradt, Andrew</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brancale, Julie</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brank, Eve</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bratspies, Rebecca</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brayner, Aline</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brayne, Sarah</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bregant, Jessica</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bregvadze, Lasha</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brehm, Hollie Nyseth</td>
<td>46, 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brems, Eva</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenner, Hannah</td>
<td>79, 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges, Khiara</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briggs, Jacqueline</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brighten, Andrew</td>
<td>31, 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brito, Tonya</td>
<td>24, 61, 114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brodin, Helene</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brody, Susan</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broekhuijsen, Dirk</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromwich, Rebecca</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronson, Eric</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brophy, Alfred</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Darryl</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Elizabeth</td>
<td>21, 53, 87, 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Fred</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Hana</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Kevin</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brueck, Laura</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruenig, Matthew</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruey, Veronica Fynn</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brulé, Rachel</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan, Timothy</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brydolf-Horwitz, Marco</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryson, Anna</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccafusco, Christopher</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buchanan, Neil</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buchely, Lina</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budoo, Ashwanee</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buerger, Catherine</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffam, Bonar</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buhmann, Karin</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bumiller, Kristin</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunting, Annie</td>
<td>67, 132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buntman, Fran</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burch, Melissa</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burciaga, Edelino</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burdziej, Stanislaw</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke, Honorable Kevin</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke, Thomas</td>
<td>2, 41, 43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To email a participant, please use the “contact me” link available on the Online Program Guide.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burman, Monica</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burri, Mira</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burrow, Sheona</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burton, Lloyd</td>
<td>48, 114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bushway, Shawn</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bush, Zoe</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buss, Doris</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler, Mark</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cawley, Diego Gil Mc</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceballoso, Manuela</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chacon, Jennifer</td>
<td>124, 137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chada, Daniel</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chadwick, Anna</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaffee, Eric</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaisson, Rebecca A.</td>
<td>120, 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chambliss, Elizabeth</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chanbonpin, Kim D.</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandra, Rajshree</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang, Adam</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang, Chao-Tien</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan, Janet</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan, Kay-Wah</td>
<td>70, 91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapman, Audrey</td>
<td>65, 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatsverykova, Iryna</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chávez, Gustavo Zambrano</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheeseman, Nick</td>
<td>67, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Che, Luyao</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, Chun-Yaun</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheng, Jesse</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, Hungyi</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, Ming</td>
<td>46, 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, Po Liang</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, Yueyi</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, Yu-Jie</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, Yun-Ru</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesnut, Kelsie</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiaramonte, Xenia</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiarello, Liz</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chilton, Adam</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chin, Gabriel</td>
<td>65, 137, 138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinn, Stuart</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chizik, Natali</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chodorow, Adam</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choiniere, Jacqueline</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chopra, Surabhi</td>
<td>5, 69, 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choudhury, Cyra</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chriss, James</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christensen, Mikkel</td>
<td>12, 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher, Russell</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chua, Lynette</td>
<td>4, 34, 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chumaume, Nchumaume</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuma-Umeh, Ngozi</td>
<td>85, 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cichowski, Rachel</td>
<td>47, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clair, Matthew</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarke, Jessica</td>
<td>75, 76, 137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark, Paul</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clatch, Lauren</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleek, Ashley</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleve, Nicole Gonzalez Van</td>
<td>61, 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopton, Zachary</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To email a participant, please use the “contact me” link available on the Online Program Guide.
Clough, Beverley 48
Cobb, Sara 42
Cody, Stephen 101
Coelho, Michael 148
Coenen, Michael 148
Cohen, Amy 4, 67, 130, 141, 148
Cohen, Edward 125, 146
Coleman, Leo 78
Cole, Simon 152
Collins, Barry 78
Collins, Paul 19, 90
Colombeau, Sara Casella 63
Comaroff, John 12
Cominelli, Luigi 129
Comino, Vicky 15
Comiskey, Marie 55, 91
Compton, D'Iane R. 140
Conner, Brendan 84
Connors, Deborah 75
Constable, Marianne 12, 76, 128
Conti, Joseph 10, 17
Cooper, Jessica 17, 24
Cooper, Scott 91
Copeland, Charlton 19, 37, 85
Copus, Ryan 124
Corcos, Christine 90
Corda, Alessandro 18
Cords, Danshera 29, 41
Corkery, Allison 58, 80
Cornes, Richard 69, 77, 124
Cornwell, Erin York 20
Cortes-Cardozo, Hernan 33
Corrigan, Rose 14, 40
Cortes, Lara 80
Cortes, Stephanie Yate 79
Costa, Gustavo 118
Cote-Boucher, Karine 63
Couso, Javier 30, 85
Coutinho, Maria Laura De Souza 87
Coutin, Susan 24, 82, 130
Couture, Wendy 96
Cowan, David 82
Cowan, Sharon 9
Cowger, Sel 35
Cox, Jeannette 78
Craig, Carys 89
Cramer, Renee 11, 40, 72, 90, 132
Craven, Matt 22
Creamer, Cosette 45
Criddle, Evan 15, 83
Crock, Jonathan 149
Crouch, Melissa 149

Crusto, Mitchell 56, 85
Cruz, Francisco Brito 139
Cuéllar, Jorge 96, 117
Cuinn, Gearoid O 122
Cuison-Villazor, Rose 53, 65, 110
Culver, Katherine 55
Cummings, Cristy 117
Cummings, Scott 14, 93, 136
Cunliffe, Emma 152
Currie, Albert 56
Cuy, Maria Amparo Hernández Chong 8

D
Dadush, Sarah 9
Dagan, David 138
Dallas, Lynne 119
Damamme, Joseph 57
Dam, Shubhankar 118
Daniels, Stephen 11
Darbyshire, Penny 92
Darcy, Kathleen 79, 117
Darian-Smith, Eve 3, 12, 67, 76, 95
Darley, Mathilde 134
Datzer, Darko 132
Dau-Schmidt, Kenneth 52, 144
David, Gary 141
Davidov, Guy 121
Davidovitch, Nadav 59, 123
Davis, Adrienne 149
Davis-Nozemack, Karie 10, 50
Davis, Seth 27, 148
Daw, Meghan 110
Dawson, Andrew 148
Daye, Charles 62
Dean, Steven 41
Decat, Thiago Lopes 91
Deckha, Maneesha 48
Dehm, Julia 80
Dekkers, Tim 131
Dellinger, Myanna 31, 74
Delugas, David 48
Demaine, Linda 150
Demidov, Nicolai 110
Demott, Deborah 83
Denbow, Jennifer 51
Denney, David 104
Dennie, Martine 57, 123
Denning, Brannon 27
Denver, Megan 40
Deo, Meera 76
Deo, Tasneem 147

To email a participant, please use the “contact me” link available on the Online Program Guide.
To email a participant, please use the “contact me” link available on the Online Program Guide.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escudero, Kevin 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eshbaugh-Soha, Matthew 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eslava, Luis 12, 73, 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Espinoza, Gabriela 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esteves, Diogo 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans, Catherine 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans, Dabney 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans, Richard Kent 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewald, Alec 99, 137, 138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fakhiravaz, Amir 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fantin, James 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farber, Hillary 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farbman, Dan 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farid, Cynthia 81, 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farnum, Katlyn 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farrell, Anne-Maree 110, 122, 130, 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farrow, Trevor C.W. 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast, Idit 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favreau, Florian 153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febbrajo, Alberto 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fedders, Barbara 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federman, Sarah 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeley, Malcolm 23, 37, 49, 66, 105, 114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feenan, Dermot 87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feinberg, Jessica 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feldman, Eric 6, 67, 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fentiman, Linda 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feres, Marcos Vinicio Chein 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernandes, Devin 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrales, Gabrielle 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrari, Isabela 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferreira, Patricia 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feuvre, Nicky Le 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fezer, Tamas 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field, Rachael 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field, Sue 134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File, Patrick 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filho, Rafael Mario Iorio 5, 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filho, Roberto Fragale 138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finlay, Brandon 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischel, Joseph 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher, Shauna 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzpatrick, Jessica 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaherty, Darryl 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flake, Dallan 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavin, Jeanne 142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleury-Steiner, Benjamin 66, 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florano, Ebinezer 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flores, Alvaro Cordova 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flores, Andrew 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flores, Imer 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florin, Karin Lundström 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foley, Lauren 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fonseca, Juliana Ponde 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foohey, Pamela 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foote, Daniel H. 2, 21, 23, 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford, Laura 87, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forman, Jonathan 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forsman, Maria 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortes, Pedro 58, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortin, Véronique 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forton, Ryan 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster, Elizabeth 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster, Laura 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster, Sheila 2, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fowkes, James 129, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox, Cybelle 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox-Decent, Evan 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox, Judith 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox, Marie 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frade, Catarina 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frampton, Mary Louise 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frampton, Thomas 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis, John 9, 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis, Leslie 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis, Megan 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franco, Ivan 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franks, Mary Anne 94, 121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frattari, Carlotta Go 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeman, Andrea 61, 88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freiner, Nicole 91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freitas, Carolina 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freitas, Tiago Fidalgo De 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frey, Diane 40, 50, 80, 87, 134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedman, Lawrence 58, 82, 90, 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fritz-Mauer, Matthew 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frye, Brian 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujita, Masahiro 70, 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukuda-Parr, Sakiko 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukui, Kota 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukurai, Hiroshi 2, 21, 77, 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukushima, Annie 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuley, Tetyana 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuszara, Malgorzata 109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gadomska, Kaja 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gajda, Amy 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galano, David 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galanter, Marc 59, 88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gallagher, Janice  4, 21
Gallagher, William  98
Gallen, James  21
Galloway, Kate  11
Galoob, Stephen  15
Gama, Vivian  106
Gamboa, Nataly Vargas  79
Gamonal, Sergio  52
Gany, Sarah  57
Garcia, Angela S.  14
Garcia-Jimeno, Mariana  7
Garcia, Ruben  121, 134
Garden, Charlotte  139
Gardner, Trevor  82
Garfield, Leslie  39, 102
Garnai, Erez  126
Garriott, William  33, 78, 132
Garth, Bryant  54, 96, 110
Gascón, Luis Daniel  17, 119, 126, 127, 147
Gau, Jacinta  101
Gazit, Yifat Holzman -  18
George, Alexandra  98
George, Erika  2
George, Marie-Amelie  84
Germain, Claire  92
Ghandnoosh, Nazgol  30
Ghias, Shoaib  5
Gianella, Camila  80
Giffin, Carly  59
Gilbert, Lauren  150
Gilden, Andrew  58, 120
Gillander-Gadin, Katja  20
Gilmartin, David  24
Gilmour, Joan  64
Gimenez, Francisca Pou  7, 30
Gingerich, Jonathan  18
Ginsburg, Tom  77, 97, 144
Giorgi, Alessandro De  33, 66, 95, 146
Girolamo, Debbie De  151
Glasbeek, Amanda  145
Gleeson, Kate  25
Gleeson, Shannon  46
Gloppen, Siri  105
Glougie, Jennifer  108
Godsoe, Cynthia  58, 85
Godwin, Andrew  91
Godwin, Samantha  84
Goff, Isabel Boni-Le  75
Gold, Andrew  15
Goldbach, Toby  81
Goldberg-Hiller, Jonathan  3, 41, 90
Golder, Ben  7, 22, 76
Goldschmidt, Jona  20, 60, 138
Goldstein, Gabrielle  130
Gomez, Laura  114, 129
Gomez, Manuel  58, 71
Gomez, Marcela  7
Gonçalves, Tamara Amoroso  21, 57
Gonzalez, Carmen  2, 36, 80
Gonzalez, Ernesto Fabian Mieles  42
Gonzalez, Marc-Tizoc  75
Goodale, Mark  13, 42
Goodman, Phil  24, 92, 132
Goodmark, Leigh  86, 102
Goodwin, Michele  106, 116, 140, 151
Goold, Imogen  10, 110
Gordon, Daanika  119
Gordon, Geoffrey  54
Gordon, Jonathan  17
Gottschalk, Marie  95, 137
Gouldin, Lauryn  138
Gould, Jon  16, 49
Gozer, Grazzielli Gonçalves  136
Graauw, Els De  46
Grauer, Christoph B.  61
Grace, Anita  8
Graf, Andreas  8
Graham, Barbara  99
Grandage, Andrew  100
Granot, Yael  69
Grant, Dara  13
Greenbaum, Rosa  139
Greenberg, David  9
Greenberg, Jessica  47, 68
Greene, Sara  113
Greene, Wendy  76
Greenhouse, Carol  32, 99
Greenlee, Mel  108
Green, M. Christian  17
Green, Michael  108
Greenstone, Victoria  108
Grekul, Jana  23
Grewal, Inderpal  15
Grimes, Kelsey  20
Grissinger, Joanna  131
Grivet, Simon  57
Groggel, Anne  62
Gross, Ariela  49, 122
Gross, Clarissa  139
Grossman, Joanna  60
Grosso, Catherine  102
Gruber, Aya  148
Grunwald, Ben  6
Guichard, Justine  13

To email a participant, please use the “contact me” link available on the Online Program Guide.
Guiloff, Matias 80
Gunnarsson, Ása 59, 75, 95, 105
Gupta, Priya S. 62
Gupta, Sanjukta Das 24
Gurnham, David 75
Gustafson, Kaaryn 24, 61
Guzik, Keith 6
Guzman-Rodriguez, Diana 128

H
Haan, Sarah 107
Hackett, Ciara 28
Hackney, Philip 20, 93
Hae dicke, Michael 70
Hagan, John 66, 132
Haglund, Ladawn 58, 65, 97
Hajer, Friederycke 14
Hall bronner, Michaela 147
Haines, Fiona 114, 128, 150
Ha, Jasmine Trang 14
Halberstam, Michael 146
Halis, Denis De Castro 5, 70, 77
Hallenbeck, Jessica 124
Hallett, Michael 133
Hallett, Miranda 51, 120
Halliday, Terence 5, 62, 81, 85, 99, 125
Haltom, William (Bill) 18, 73
Hamilton, Marci 25
Hamlin, Rebecca 63, 128
Hamlyn, Olivia 10
Hammerslev, Ole 36, 97
Hampson, Sarah 39, 90
Handmaker, Jeff 71
Hanink, Peter 147
Hannah-Moffat, Kelly 18, 95, 106, 124, 133
Hansford, Thomas 20
Han, Sora 108, 128
Hans, Valerie 55, 150
Harbach, Meredith 51
Harder, Lois 107
Harfuch, André 78
Hargreaves, Stuart 40
Harmon, Talia 83
Harpalani, Vinay 38
Harrington, Charlene 101
Harrington, Christine 32
Harris, Leslie 109
Harris, Mark 136
Harrison, Laura 64
Hartmann, Ivar A. 37
Hasday, Jill 1, 58
Hasegawa, Kiyoshi 58
Hastie, Bethany 15
Hatcher, Laura 71, 82
Haupt, Claudia 84
Havelkova, Barbara 106
Havrylyshyn, Alexandra 122
Hawkins, Joanne 18
Hayes, Thomas 145
Headworth, Spencer 131
Heath, Mary 11
Hebenton, Bill 21, 120
Heintz, James 69
Helm, Rebecca 59
Hemel, Daniel 63
Heminway, Joan 87
Hendrianto, Stefanus 77
Hendry, Jen 140
Henne, Kathryn 7
Henning, Kristin 92
Herber, Erik 23, 67
Hernandez-Lopez, Ernesto 118
Hernandez, Marcia 136
Hernandez, Wilson 89
Herrera, Carlos 91
Herrera, Jaime 120
Herrera, Luz 137
Hersant, Jeanne 45
Hertogh, Marc 123, 128
Herz, Zachary 50
Hess, Alexandra 76
Heumann, Milton 60
Hewitt, Anne 11
Heyer, Katharina 52, 92, 104
Hicks, Mary 149
Hill, Claire 83, 147
Hill, Julie 86
Hidaka, Ayako 111
Hirayama, Mari 23, 87, 141
Hjelm, Ann-Christine Petersson 60
Ho, Christina 152
Hockett, Robert 101
Hodes, Joseph 55
Hodson, Loveday 100
Hoffmann, Elizabeth 108
Hofnung, Menachem 103
Hofnung, Tamar 38
Hogemann, Edna Raquel 5, 23
Hohmann, Jessie 73
Holland, Mary 151
Hollis-Brusky, Amanda 1, 40
Holloway, Colin 59
Holmes, Malcolm 127
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holston, James</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holvast, Nina</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong, Zhigang</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoon, Marieke De</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoppe, Trevor</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horowitz, Veronica</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotta, Syugo</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourani, Sara</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard, Grace</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howell, Babe</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsieh, Kuo-Hsing</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu, Jimmy Chia-Shin</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang, Peter</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang, Tai-Jan</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson, Alexander</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huff, Jamie</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hulst, Liesbeth</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humphrey, Nicole</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huneeus, Alexandra</td>
<td>54, 68, 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter, Alexandra</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter, Brandon</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter, Rosemary</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington, Clare</td>
<td>4, 28, 51, 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunt, Jennifer</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hussain, Salman</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hussein, Hadeel Abu</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutchison, Camden</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwang, Cathy</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymel, Mona</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

J

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jacobs, Les</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobs, Lesley</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jain, Meetali</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jakubec, April</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaleel, Rana</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jallad, Zeina</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamar, Astrid</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James, Colin</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James, Nick</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janger, Edward</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeanpierre, Eric</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson-Bullock, Jaliia</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson-Jones, Jamila</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jemielnia, Joanna</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenness, Valerie</td>
<td>49, 66, 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennings, Bruce</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jensen, Rikke Bjerger</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesus, Fernanda</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewel, Lucy</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimenez, Dalie</td>
<td>45, 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimeno-Bulnes, Mar</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jing, Feng</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan, Nartey</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jochelson, Richard</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, Byron</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, Calvin</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, Devon</td>
<td>49, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, Kit</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jolly, Rosemary</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, Carwyn</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, Chantal</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, Chelle</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, David</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, Jennifer</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, Michael</td>
<td>70, 92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, Ronnell Andersen</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan, Gwen</td>
<td>102, 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordanoska, Aleksandra</td>
<td>123, 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josev, Tanya</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joslin, Courtney</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce, Richard</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jungmann, Nadja</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jung, Younghwa</td>
<td>6, 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juric, Tanja</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juss, Satvinder</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice, Social</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To email a participant, please use the “contact me” link available on the Online Program Guide.

Kahan, Robert 105
Kahraman, Filiz 5
Kaiser, Cheryl 36
Kaiser, Joshua 11, 41, 58, 102
Kalantrly, Sital 46
Kalbfeld, Jessica 9
Kalem, Seda 45
Kalhan, Anil 5
Kalowski, Joanna 70
Kalpouzos, Ioannis 83
Kamunyu, Mariam 94
Kaneko, Hironao 109
Kaneko, Yuka 6
Kang, Hyo Yoon 37
Kang, Sungyong 150
Kaplan, Margo 58, 86
Kassem, Ramzi 3
Kassin, Saul 49
Kastner, Philipp 82
Katelouzou, Dionysia 71
Katrak, Meaghan 136
Katz, Colleen Cary 70
Kaufman, Zachary 19
Kaulingfreks, Femke 116
Kavanagh, Matthew 19
Kawar, Leila 54, 128
Kay, Fiona 100
Kazarian, Melinee 64
Keck, Thomas M 16, 49, 119
Kedar, Alexandre (Sandy) 60, 81
Keenan, Patrick 71, 143
Kellogg, Catherine 19
Kelly, Catherine 142
Kelly, Lisa 15, 47
Kemp, Adriana 54
Kendall, Chris 19
Kendall, Sara 81, 101
Kende, Mark 129
Kennedy, Chloe 140
Kennedy, Chris 92
Kennedy, Kalen 62
Kerrison, Erin 113
Kerr, Lisa 84, 131
Kesari, Aniket 142
Kessler, Amalia 125
Kevane, Bridget 48
Keydar, Renana 127
Keyes, Elizabeth 30
Khadjavi, Lily 9
Khalil, Heba 80
Khan, Nabila 40
Khan, Nausheen 21
Khan, Ummn 75
Khatam, Damira 91
Kherbouche, Sofia 148
Kheria, Smita 88
Khondker, Rohsana 21
Khoury, Nina 77
Kiehl, Courtney 79
Kim, Jaeeun 51
Kim, Myeonki 30
Kim, Summer 87, 107
Kim, Suzanne 112
Kim, Young Ran (Christine) 74
King, Sanna 103
Kinney, Edith 116, 130
Kirkland, Anno 43, 116, 152
Kirkup, Kyle 103, 123, 142
Kitamura, Takehito 97
Kjær, Anne Lise 59
Klaaren, Jonathan 71, 105, 143
Kleeer, Jeff 138
Klein, Alana 19, 139
Kleven, Thomas 85, 94
Klosterkamp, Sarah 134
Kluegel, Alan 100
Klug, Heinz 129
Kluin, Marieke 111
Kluttz, Daniel 88
Knaack, Peter 150
Knaphus, Emily 131
Knauer, Nancy 122, 142
Knight, Jack 144
Koch, Insa 92
Kodar, Freya 45, 92
Koehler, Johann 21
Koenig, Alexa 101
Kofsky, Ausher 84
Koga, Masayoshi 17
Kohler-Hausmann, Isso 9, 148
Kohler-Hausmann, Julilly 148
Kohn, Nina 122, 134
Koichi, Hioki 97
Kojin, Hiroyuki 64
Kolben, Kevin 67
Kollonay, Csilla Lehoczky 108
Kolsky, Elizabeth 38
Koltay, Andras 31
Konnoin, Craig 116
Koon, Danfeng 146
Koopmans, Ruud 51
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kopela, Sophia</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koram, Kajo</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korpiola, Mia</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koss, Catheryn</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotani, Junko</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotsakis, Andreas</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koulish, Robert</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kouatsioumpas, Konstantinos</td>
<td>107, 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kovalev, Nikolai</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kovandzic, Tomislav</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kowalsky, Andrij</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koyanagi, Shunichiro</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraiem, Daniela</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krebs, Andrew</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kreit, Alex</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krell, Matthew</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krever, Tor</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kricheli-Katz, Tamar</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krishnan, Jayanth</td>
<td>3, 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristiansen, Bettina</td>
<td>10, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kritzer, Herbert</td>
<td>36, 88, 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kroll, Stefan</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kroncke, Jedidiah</td>
<td>8, 32, 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krueger, James</td>
<td>72, 116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krug, Anita K.</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krygier, Martin</td>
<td>19, 67, 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuan, Hsiaowei</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kubrin, Charis</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kukavec, Damjan</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kukura, Elizabeth</td>
<td>15, 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunihiko, Yoshida</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuo, Chuan-Chi</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kupenda, Angela Mae</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurban, Dilek</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurczewski, Jacek</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurisu, Sheri-Lynn</td>
<td>31, 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurlychek, Megan</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kusano, Yoshio</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwahara, Naoko</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuzmarov, Betina Appel</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwon, Ji hyun</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyle, Brett</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lachance, Daniel</td>
<td>11, 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahey, Kathleen</td>
<td>59, 64, 94, 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lai, Annie</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laidlaw, Emily</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakier, Genevieve</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lam, Anita</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambert, James</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landau, David</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landau, Ingrid</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landau, Joseph</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langevin, Louise</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langford, Malcolm</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laowonsiri, Akawat</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapp, Kevin</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laqueur, Hannah</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larocco, Steve</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larson, Derek</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latorre, Sergio</td>
<td>81, 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laugerud, Solveig</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law, David S</td>
<td>14, 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawless, Robert</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence, Al</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawson-Remer, Terra</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layard, Antonia</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layser, Michelle</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lazari, Igor De</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lazar, Ruthy Lowenstein</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lazarus-Black, Mindie</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leatham, Hilary</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecoq, Garrett</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Jack Tsen-Ta</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Jennifer</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Julia</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Meggan</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leerkes, Arjen</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Stephen</td>
<td>24, 46, 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leflar, Rob</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leinauer, Mark</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leitch, Jennifer</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemos, Margaret</td>
<td>11, 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lempert, Richard</td>
<td>29, 88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens, Vicki</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leon, Chrysanthi</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lépinard, Eléonore</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lerman, Amy E.</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lerner, Hanna</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lerner, Pablo</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leroux, Carlie</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leser, Julia</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leung, Grace</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leung, Janny Hc</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverentz, Andrea</td>
<td>8, 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi, Luca Martino</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levin, Benjamin</td>
<td>82, 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levine, Felice</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levine, Kay</td>
<td>60, 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levin, Leslie</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levinsky, Zachary</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi, Ron</td>
<td>12, 25, 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levy, Karen</td>
<td>123, 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levy, Melanie</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levy, Ran A.</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis, Browne</td>
<td>10, 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis, Myrisha</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis, Sam</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liang, Chih-Ming</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liao, Carol</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidsky, Lyrissa</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieb, Susan Block</td>
<td>80, 113, 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liew, Jamie</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light, Matthew</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li, Jianyong</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liljeblad, Jonathan</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lima, Isabella Domiciano</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lima, Mariana De Araujo Mendes</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lima, Roberto Kant De</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limki, Rashne</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin, Albert</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindahl, Nicole</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindbekk, Monika</td>
<td>34, 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindberg, Sanna</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linford, Jake</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linnemann, Travis</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin, Shin-Rou</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipman, Francine</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li, Su</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little, Laura</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Littwin, Angela</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu, Chien-Yu</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu, Ching-Yi</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu, Sida</td>
<td>45, 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livshits, Anat</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li, Yedan</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lockhart, Emily</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loewy, Arnold</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lohman, Alexander De Savornin</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lohne, Kjersti</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lokaneeta, Jinee</td>
<td>11, 15, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lombrozo, Tania</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonegrass, Missy</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longazel, Jamie</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longhi, Joao</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopez, Jane Lilly</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lora, Laura</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorca, Rocio</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorenz, Aaron</td>
<td>11, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loud, Jamila</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lougarre, Claire</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love, Helene</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovelace, H. Timothy</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovell, George</td>
<td>5, 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovera, Domingo</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovett, John</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowrey, Belen</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loyens, Kim</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucarelli, Paola</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucchiari, Claudio</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucena, Elisa</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luft, Rachel</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lukic, Melina Rocha</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lülf, Charlotte</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lurie, Lilach</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luttrel-Rowland, Mikaela</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyke, Sheldon Bernard</td>
<td>38, 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynch, Mona</td>
<td>41, 61, 95, 124, 137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**M**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macdermott, Therese</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macfarlane, Katherine</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machado, Joana</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machura, Stefan</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackinnon, Alexandria</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mack, Kenya</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macmillan, Catharine</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madeira, Jody</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madern, Tamara</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maeda, Tomohiko</td>
<td>109, 115, 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magaldi, Meagan</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maghbouleh, Neda</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maguire, Edward</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahmud, Tayyab</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahrouse, Gada</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maier, Katharina Helen</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainsant, Gwénaëlle</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major, Blair</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major, Brenda</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mak, Elaine</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malhotra, Ravi</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malik, Ali</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallard, Gregoire</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maloy, Jason</td>
<td>119, 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mamlyuk, Boris</td>
<td>21, 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mancilla, Roberto</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandal, Saptarshi</td>
<td>4, 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandel, Hanan</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manian, Maya</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manikis, Marie</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manners, Jane</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mann, Itamar</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mann, Larisa</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manta, Irina</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marat, Erica</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marder, Nancy</td>
<td>55, 101, 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marin, Amaya Alvez</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marino, Gabriele</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark, Alyx</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markovitz, Jonathan</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marques, Francisco Paes</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marrani, David</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriott, Lisa</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall, Anna-Maria</td>
<td>4, 32, 49, 52, 90, 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall, Pablo</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin, Cary</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin, Craig</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin, Damali</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez, Aurelio Gurrea</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin, Karin</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin, Margaret</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin, Natasha</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin, Richard</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martins, Luis Felipe Lopes</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marusek, Sarah</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maruta, Takashi</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marvel, Stu</td>
<td>15, 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marychurch, Judith</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marzán, César F. Rosado</td>
<td>52, 121, 134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mascini, Peter</td>
<td>49, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masengu, Tabeth</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masri, Mazen</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massoud, Mark Fathi</td>
<td>66, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matambanadzo, Saru</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mate, Manoj</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matera, Christopher</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mather, Lynn</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathiassen, Charlotte</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsumura, Kaiponanee</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsunaka, Manabu</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuura, Yoshiharu</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthews, Heidi</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthiesen, Sara</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurutto, Paulo</td>
<td>8, 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mavronicola, Natasa</td>
<td>56, 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mawani, Renisa</td>
<td>18, 38, 53, 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May, Christopher</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayeri, Serena</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayes, Lauren</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maynard, Goldburn</td>
<td>40, 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayson, Sandy</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcadams, Richard</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcadoo, Daryl</td>
<td>20, 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcann, Michael</td>
<td>5, 34, 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mccarthy, Lauren</td>
<td>46, 110, 132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mccloskey, Megan</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mccord, David</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mccorkel, Jill</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCreary, Tyler</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcdermott, Megan</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcdonnell, Brett</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcelhatten, David</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcevoy, Kieran</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcewen, Matthew</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcginley, Ann</td>
<td>79, 102, 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mckenzie, Danielle</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mckenzie, Debra</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mckim, Allison</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mckinley, Michelle</td>
<td>53, 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mckinnon, Izraelle</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcknight, Janet</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcleod, Allegra</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcmahon, Kevin</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcmillan, L. Jane</td>
<td>23, 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcmorrow, Thomas</td>
<td>47, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcneal, Mary Helen</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcpeak, Agnieszka</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcquay, Brian</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mctighe, Laura</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Means, Benjamin</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medeiros, Bernardo</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medina, M. Isabel</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mednicoff, David</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meenan, Helen</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehrotra, Ajay</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meili, Stephen</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meltiv, Danielle</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mejia, Catalina Del Pilar</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meladze, Giorgi</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melgarejo, Diana Andrade</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mellinger, Hillary</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mello, Joseph</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menchaca, Celeste</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendeloff, David</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menjivar, Cecilia</td>
<td>24, 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meredith, Marc</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merieau, Eugenie</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merkel, William</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meroko, Agnes</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merry, Sally Engle</td>
<td>4, 12, 57, 67, 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mertz, Elizabeth</td>
<td>11, 28, 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesinas, Analicia Mejia</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metroka, Brandon</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer, Kimberly</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyerson, Denise</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyers, Stephen</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miao, Michelle</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michalski, Roger</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle, Simon</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To email a participant, please use the “contact me” link available on the Online Program Guide.
To email a participant, please use the “contact me” link available on the Online Program Guide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Name</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michelson, Ethan</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michel, Veronica</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miksch, Karen</td>
<td>9, 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millar, Paul</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, Eric</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, Jonathan</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, Paul</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, Zinaida</td>
<td>101, 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milligan, Joy</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Million, Dian</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milot, Lisa</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min, David</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minke, Linda Kjær</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miron, Rolando Garcia</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell, Gemma</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitch, Mr</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miyazawa, Setsuo</td>
<td>23, 96, 141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moak, Daniel</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modak-Truran, Mark C.</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moerenhout, Tom</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohapatra, Seema</td>
<td>15, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore, Colin</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore, Dawn</td>
<td>19, 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore, Kelli</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore, Lisa</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore, Megan A.</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moosavian, Rebecca</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mopas, Michael</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morales, Alfonso</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mora, Pablo Poveda</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morath, Sarah</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moreira, Rafaela</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moreira, Thaís Miranda</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan, Bronwen</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrill, Calvin</td>
<td>73, 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris, Annette</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris, Kathleen</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mor, Sagit</td>
<td>52, 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morse, Michael</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moses, Lyria Bennett</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moss, Aaron</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mostert, Hanni</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motomura, Hiroshi</td>
<td>24, 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moustafa, Tamir</td>
<td>32, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moyle, Leah</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucciaroni, Gary</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muchmore, Adam</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muhtar, Etudaiye</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muirhead, Jacob</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukharji, Projit</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukherjee, Mithi</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulcahy, Linda</td>
<td>59, 141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mullane, Nancy</td>
<td>72, 82, 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulyampitt, Tabitha</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulzer, Amy</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumford, Ann</td>
<td>64, 75, 94, 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munesue, Tokuko</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munger, Frank</td>
<td>67, 88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muniz-Fraticelli, Victor M.</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munshi, Sherally</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murcia, Angela Maria Paez</td>
<td>27, 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murdocca, Carmela</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy, Jane</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy, Mary</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray, Janeanne</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musheno, Michael</td>
<td>53, 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutcherson, Kimberly</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers, Joanne</td>
<td>50, 87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers, Samuel</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Name</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nadler, Janice</td>
<td>59, 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naerssen, Margaret Van</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakanishi, Toshimi Momo</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narine, Marcia</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narotzki, Doron</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nascimento, Carlos</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natarajan, Usha</td>
<td>2, 90, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naus, Wendy</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negri, Sergio</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nellis, Ashley</td>
<td>30, 103, 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson, Matthew</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson, Robert</td>
<td>82, 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neshia, Vasuki</td>
<td>31, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuwirth, Rostam J.</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newark, Carol</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newell, Bryce C.</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholson, Matthew</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nielsen, Laura</td>
<td>1, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nieto, Alberto</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nisbet, Elizabeth</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nishimura, Takeshi</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobles, Allison</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nolette, Paul</td>
<td>2, 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noronha, Joanna Vieira</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norris, Jesse</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norris, Luke</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwood, Kimberly</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nowicki, Elizabeth</td>
<td>119, 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunes, Rodrigo</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunley, Tamika</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyuykonge, Wiyi Kiyi Nuyy</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant Name</td>
<td>Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakley, Emma</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obasogie, Osagie</td>
<td>61, 72, 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oberarzbacher, Franz Erwin</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obiora, Leslye</td>
<td>46, 85, 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'brien, Barbara</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'brien, Laurie</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocen, Priscilla</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'connell, Ciara</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odewale, Olanike</td>
<td>69, 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odom, John S.</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oei, Shu-Yi</td>
<td>20, 41, 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ojwang, Duncan</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odewale, Olanike</td>
<td>69, 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olewe, Olanike</td>
<td>69, 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olewe, Olanike</td>
<td>69, 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive, Michael</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmas, Margaret</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omarova, Saule</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omori, Marisa</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondersma, Chrystin</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Neill, Justin</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onifade, Temitope</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onuora-Ogono, Azubike</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oomen, Barbara</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oppusunggu, Yu Un</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oraby, Mona</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organ, James</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orians, Kelly</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orsini, Adriana Goulart De Sena</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortiz, Lilián Andrea</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osaka, Eri</td>
<td>6, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ossorio, Pilar</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostroukh, Asya</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Sullivan, Maria</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oswalt, Michael</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ota, Shozo</td>
<td>108, 121, 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otomo, Yoriko</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otter, Ronald Den</td>
<td>10, 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otter, Sandra Den</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otto, Dianne</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouyang, Wei</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owens, B. Robert</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owens, Emily</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owusu-Bempah, Akwasi</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyelade, Olutunji</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyieke, Yvonne</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To email a participant, please use the “contact me” link available on the Online Program Guide.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perlstein, Daniel</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perreau, Bruno</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pesta, George</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersen, Nick</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson, Elin</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson, Meghan</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petillo, April</td>
<td>120, 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfaff, John</td>
<td>66, 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierscionek, Barbara</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piety, Tamara</td>
<td>20, 25, 94, 138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pifer, Natalie A.</td>
<td>30, 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilitowski, Bartosz</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pimentel, David</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pintin-Perez, Margarita</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pires, Lenin</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platias, Antonios E.</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleasant, Shakira</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plicanic, Senko</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plitmann, Yael</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pojanowski, Jeffrey</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polak, Paulina</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polcz, Sarah</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polikoff, Nancy</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomerance, Benjamin</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poon, Yee Suan</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pope, James</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poppe, Emily Taylor</td>
<td>20, 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter, Elizabeth</td>
<td>48, 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porterie, Sidonie</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter, Katherine</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portillo, Shannon</td>
<td>90, 133, 134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possas, Mariana</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potts, Amanda</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell, Catherine</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prabhat, Devyani</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prado, Mariana Mota</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pratt, James</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price, Megan</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price, Polly</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price, Richard</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priel, Dan</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prieto, Samuel</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prost, Mario</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provine, Doris Marie</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pucci, Rafael Diniz</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puga, Alma Beltran Y</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pugach, Dana</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulido, Laura</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purkey, Anna</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purvis, Dara</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qiao, Yuan</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queiroz, Rafael Mafei R.</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quigley, Muireann</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quijano, Alejandra Azuero</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintanilla, Victor</td>
<td>36, 47, 61, 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintero, Diana</td>
<td>69, 97, 107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quirino, Carina</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quirouette, Marianne</td>
<td>8, 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**R**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rabb, Intisar</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radhakrishna, Vanishree</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radics, George</td>
<td>13, 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radomska, Ewa</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ragone, Sabrina</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raguparan, Menaka</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahman, K Sabeel</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahman, Md Anisur</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahman, Sabeel</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajagopal, Balakrishnan</td>
<td>3, 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajah, Jothie</td>
<td>89, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajput, Pam</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramirez, Marla</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramnath, Kalyani</td>
<td>38, 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramos, Luiz Felipe Rosa</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramos, Pedro</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rana, Aziz</td>
<td>3, 13, 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randolph, Susan</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranganathan, Surabhi</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangel, Henrique</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranson, J.W. Andrew</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rantala, Marjo</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapaport, Elizabeth</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphael, Michael</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rasmussen, Jennifer</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raso, Jennifer</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rathod, Jayesh</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raup-Kounovsky, Anna</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rauschenbach, Mina</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravid, Itay</td>
<td>18, 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray, Brian</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Razack, Sherene</td>
<td>67, 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raza, Farrah</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Razavi, Negar</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebouche, Rachel</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redleaf, Diane</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redlich, Allison</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed, Douglas</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regev-Messalem, Shiri</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reich, Jennifer</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabbeth, Kathryn</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sachs, Jeffrey</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sachs, Margaret V.</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadat, Leila</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saez, Macarena</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saqy, Yair</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Said, Wadie</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saiger, Aaron</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saksen, Priyasha</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampaio, Augusto</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanchez-Urribarri, Raúl</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandefur, Rebecca</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanders, Joseph</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanders, Shaokirrah</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandholtz, Wayne</td>
<td>47, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santos, Shirley</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sany, Brenda Nyandiko</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargoni, Janine</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarid, Eden</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarria, Mario Alberto Cajas</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sattarzadeh, Sahar D.</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satterthwaite, Emily</td>
<td>50, 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savelsberg, Joachim</td>
<td>66, 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sayago, Camila Petran</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scallen, Eileen</td>
<td>53, 151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheer, Kerri</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schepple, Kim Lane</td>
<td>82, 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schept, Judah</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scherer, Matthew</td>
<td>29, 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schiff, Talia</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schlingensiepen, Marah</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt, Christopher</td>
<td>1, 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt, Jan-Robert</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt, Katharina Isabel</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt, Rebecca</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schneiderman, David</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoenfeld, Heather</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schor, Miguel</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schottenfeld, Joseph</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schramm, Bérénice K.</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schuftan, Claudia</td>
<td>69, 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schultz, Vicki</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwartz, Saul</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwidetzky, Walter</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sclofsky, Sebastian</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoggins, Suzanne</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott, Dayna Nadine</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott, Jenny</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebba, Leslie</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seck, Sara</td>
<td>2, 36, 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secunda, Paul</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeds, Christopher</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sekher, Hajar</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selberg, Niklas</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selberg, Rebecca</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semet, Amy</td>
<td>50, 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semukhina, Olga</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senden, Linda</td>
<td>128, 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen, Jhuma</td>
<td>8, 138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen, Sudipta</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senthe, Shanthi Elizabeth</td>
<td>81, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepulveda, Antonio</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serban, Mihaela</td>
<td>106, 132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seron, Carroll</td>
<td>11, 43, 99, 114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexton, Lori</td>
<td>112, 132, 133, 134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaffer, Gregory</td>
<td>47, 62, 82, 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakargy, Sharon</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakeshaft, Charol</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakeshaft, Emma</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shalhoub-Kevorkian, Nadera</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shamir, Ronen</td>
<td>71, 151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanahan, Colleen</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaner, Megan</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanor, Amanda</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapiro, Mathew</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharafi, Mitra</td>
<td>4, 15, 114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpfstein, Daniel</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpless, Rebecca</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaw, Alex</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shdaimah, Corey</td>
<td>13, 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shee, Amy Huey-Ling</td>
<td>42, 43, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shehada, Nahda</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepard, Cassandra</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd, Hana</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherman, Jim</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimizu, Takashi</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shinomiya, Satoru</td>
<td>55, 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirazyan, Sarah</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoaibi, Halla</td>
<td>34, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoemaker, Karl</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short, Donn</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreve, Gene</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shulz, Karen Bradshaw</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibley, Marcus</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siddiqui, Mona</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieder, Rachel</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra, Isabel C Jaramillo</td>
<td>6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra, Tatiana Alfonso</td>
<td>113, 132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigafuos, Jennifer</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikka, Anette</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silbey, Susan</td>
<td>41, 49, 66, 82, 99, 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva, Bruno</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva, Denis</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva, Fabio De Sa E</td>
<td>10, 143, 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva, Lorena Abbas Da 117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva, Lucas 136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva, Lucia Frota Pesta De Aguiar 33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva, Paulo Eduardo Alves Da 115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva, Ronaldo Lucas Da 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver, Carole 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silverstein, Gordon 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silverstein, Helena 16, 49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simard, Justin 146</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simm, Gabrielle 56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon, Jonathan 49, 76, 95, 106, 116, 133, 137, 148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon, Stefanie 37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson, Gerry 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sims-Bruno, Holly 131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinai, Yuval 98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singer, Jana 98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singer, Phillip 152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh, Aditya 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh, Anne-Marie 110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh, Rashmee 19, 130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirleaf, Manjula 113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siurc, Nina 62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sivalingam, Harini 109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siwach, Garima 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skapska, Grazyna 121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skedd, Natalie 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skinner, Christina Parajon 86, 87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skinner-Thompson, Scott 120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleap, Bridget 152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloan, James 127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloan, Lloyd 62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slobodian, Mayana C. 95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smail, Dan 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smal, Jamie 25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Adrian 111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Charisa 86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Dean 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Sarah 134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Tobias 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smulovitz, Catalina 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smyth, Aaron 142, 145</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smythe, Dee 105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soboleva, Anita 108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sobol, Neil 45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sohani, Deenesh 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sohani, Mila 148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sokhi-Bulley, Bal 41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solan, Lawrence 41, 59, 109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somer, Stéphanie De 123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sommerlad, Hilary 36, 45, 97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sommers, Roseanna 59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sood, Avani 59, 69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sosa-Riddell, Citlali 129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soucek, Brian 120, 137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sourdin, Tania 77, 92, 121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sousa, Michael 113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southworth, Susanna 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Souza, Cibele Aimée 136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanbauer, Julie 107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparks, Randy 122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spellman, Barbara 150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spencer, Pauline 70, 92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sperling, Valerie 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spindelman, Marc 112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srikanthia, Jayashri 124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srivastava, Swati 125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staaf, Annika 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staes, Dorothea 57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stahl, Kenneth 81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staiano, Fulvia 139</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starr, Sonja 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stasiulis, Daiva 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stauffer, Jill 81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stech, Radek 75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel, Alex 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steele, Michalyn 48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stein, Edward 112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stein, Nan 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephens, Julia 46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephenson, Christie 104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stepnitz, Abigail 63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterett, Susan 38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterio, Milena 127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling, Andrea 142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling, Joyce 110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stienstra, Donna 121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoever, Jane 58, 102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stokes, Elen 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stolk, Sofia 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone, Zac 131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storey, Alice 83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storgaard, Anette 112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strandberg, Cora 104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawson, John 26, 89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streeter, Thomas 108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strevens, Caroline 93, 94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strother, Logan 119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stuart, Forrest 9, 33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stubbs, Holly 97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stumpf, Julieta 46, 124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suarez, Kimberly Spencer 70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suarez, Paola 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subedi, Rabin 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subramanian, Narendra 115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Participant Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suerth, Lauren</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan, Esther</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan, Winnifred</td>
<td>133, 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumner, Jennifer</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundquist, Christian</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundstrom, Lisa</td>
<td>47, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sung, Yu-Hsien</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun, Lisa</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine, Naomi</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super, Gail</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutherland, Kate</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutherland, Zoe</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Svedin, Lina</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Svetlicinii, Alexandr</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swan, Peter</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swan, Sarah</td>
<td>1, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweeny, Joanne</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiffen, Amy</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sykes, Katie</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**T**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taekema, Sanne</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagliarina, Corinne</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagliarina, Daniel</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taha, Mai</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tait, Allison</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokahashi, Bruno</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talesh, Shauhin</td>
<td>28, 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamayo, Robinson Sánchez</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanaka, Darren</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan, Eugene K B</td>
<td>22, 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taparata, Evan</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapscott, Rebecca</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tatour, Lana</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tauber, Steven</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toy, Alice Erh-Soon</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tayebwa, Sylvia Ivy</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toye, Mihrteab</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, Whitney</td>
<td>103, 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tehranian, John</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenorio, Sam C.</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tew, Yvonne</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thacher, David</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Than, Claire De</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thielen-Wilson, Leslie</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thimmesch, Adam</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas, Tanisha A</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas, Terry</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson, Lilah</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson, Vetta</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomson, Michael</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomson, Michael</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorne, Deborah</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorpe, Rebecca</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thum, Florence</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thusi, I. India</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tigre, Maria Antonia</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toksoz, Ayse</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolman, Arielle</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomassetti, Julia</td>
<td>50, 153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomita, Satoko</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomkinson, Sule</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomlins, Christopher</td>
<td>12, 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torres-Spelliscy, Ciara</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torrible, Clare</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toscano, Vicki</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Töth, András</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toy-Cronin, Bridgette</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trammell, Rebecca</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trautner, Mary Nell</td>
<td>90, 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triger, Zvi</td>
<td>20, 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trispiots, Ilias</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trochev, Alexei</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troutt, David</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trubek, David</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trubek, Louise</td>
<td>112, 137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trudel, Elisabeth Roy</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsesis, Alexander</td>
<td>53, 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucker, Anne</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulich, Tamara</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkel, Gerald</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner, Jenia</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turney-Lewis, Ct</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tushnet, Mark</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tu, Yu-Yin</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweedy, Ann</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyler, Tom</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyson, Christopher J.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**U**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ubink, Janine</td>
<td>72, 82, 116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uchenna, Ekenze Modestus</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Udell, David</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uggen, Chris</td>
<td>115, 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unger, Matthew</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utoft, Carolina Alvarez</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**V**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vachon, Christyne</td>
<td>119, 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vadasaria, Shaira</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaisman, Noa</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To email a participant, please use the “contact me” link available on the Online Program Guide.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Name</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valauri, John</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valderhaug, Sigrun</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valdes, Frank</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valente, Mariana</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentine, Grant</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valleala, Aija</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valverde, Mariana</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandenbogaerde, Arne</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanoverbek, Dimitri</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanoverbeke, Dimitri</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varela, Kay</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vargas, Adriana</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasconcelos, Guilherme</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaughan, Steven</td>
<td>18, 36, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vazquez, Angelica Cuellar</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vedaschi, Arianna</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velasco, Julian</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venkatesh, Vasanthi</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verchick, Robert</td>
<td>114, 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verdu, Amalia</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vergier, Julien Du</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verma, Anjali</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermeylen, Saskia</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verschoof, Rick J.</td>
<td>92, 121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertinsky, Ilan</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vet, Freek Van Der</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vevaina, Leilah</td>
<td>42, 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vidmar, Neil</td>
<td>55, 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vieraitis, Lynne</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viglione, Jill</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villalpando, Alejandro</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villegas, Mauricio Garcia</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virdi, Preet Kaur</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vivaldi, Lieta</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vladescu, Ioana</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vojdik, Valerie</td>
<td>34, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volpe, Valentina</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vording, Henk</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vort, Eric Van Der</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vos, Renske</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Votinius, Jenny Julén</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Votinius, Sacharias</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walsh, Camille</td>
<td>99, 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wamboldt, Alexander</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wandan, Solongo</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, Chin-Shou</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, Hsiao-Tan</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, Leann</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waris, Attiy</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warnken, Heather</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrick, Catherine</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warwick, Ben</td>
<td>69, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wasserman, Howard</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterstone, Michael</td>
<td>92, 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson, Moira</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson, Nicole</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts, Laura Tamblyn</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weare, Siobhan</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber, Mark</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber, Rob</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedeen, Lisa</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedeking, Justin</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weinberger, Seth</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weinberg, Jill</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weinrib, Laura</td>
<td>102, 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weiss, Marley</td>
<td>50, 135, 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welch, Frank</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welch, Kimberly</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wenger, Kaimipono</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wennberg, Lena</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werth, Robert</td>
<td>33, 78, 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West, Sonja</td>
<td>31, 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westwood, Sue</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wexler, David</td>
<td>69, 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weyers, Heleen</td>
<td>111, 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheatley, Natasha</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeler, Sally</td>
<td>81, 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehead, Jason</td>
<td>10, 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehead, Robin</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whittle, Tanya</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiener, Richard</td>
<td>36, 37, 47, 59, 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiesner, Martha Luz Rojas</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wightman, Linda F.</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilder, Molly</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildman, Stephanie</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilke, Christiane</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, Brian</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, Carmel</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, Danielle Terrazas</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, Kaya</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, Linda</td>
<td>14, 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, Mary Spiers</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, Monica</td>
<td>5, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willis, Jessica</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wada, Yoshitaka</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagner, Constance</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waisman, Dov</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakefield, Sara</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker, Anders</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace, Alison</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall, Tyler</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Participant Index

Willis, Lauren 45
Willison, Charley 152
Wilson, Bruce 80
Wilson, Clare 94
Wilson, Joshua 1, 40
Wilson, Matthew 2, 23, 70, 143
Wilson, Richard Ashby 13, 65, 101, 113
Winchester, Sean 25
Wingerde, Karin Van 111
Wing, Leah 151
Wingrove, Twila 141
Winkler, Adam 135
Winkler, Inga 58, 69
Winn, Jane 123
Winston, Kenneth 72
Winter, Alix 83
Wishnie, Michael 51
Witt, John 74
Woeste, Victoria 135
Wolfe, Justin 68
Wolkart, Erik 26
Won, Yoomin 77
Woods, Andrew 36
Wood, William 23
Woo, Margaret 23
Woude, Maartje Van Der 63, 96
Wright, Jamie 42
Wright, Lisa 91
Wright, Ronald 60
Wróbel, Marcin 106
Wu, Chuan-Feng 123
Wulff, Stephen 24
Wu, Richard Wai Sang 91

X
Xavier, Sujith 111
Xiao, Huina 29
Xie, Qingtao 87

Y
Yahaya, Nurfazilah 32, 43
Yamada, Aya 121
Yang, Chun-Soo 68
Yarbrough, Michael 49, 71, 132
Yarrow, David 39
Ye, Haibo 40
Yildirim, Seval 43
Yingling, M. Patrick 61
Young, Cheri-Leigh 143
Young, Kathryne 24
Young, Margot 38, 45, 87
Yttergren, Åsa 60
Yu, Peter 76, 98
Yusuff, Abdulwasiu 64

Z
Zackin, Emily 1, 113
Zacks, Eric 28
Zanatta, Rafael 139
Zanghellini, Aleardo 25, 149
Zare, Moliheh 43
Zaring, David 101
Zariski, Archie 77, 98, 99, 128
Zarnetske, Robert 41
Zatz, Marjorie 79
Zenker, Olaf 9
Zhang, Yue 40
Zhao, Yi 70
Zheng, Chunyan 45
Zhou, Qin 141
Zhou, Yao 10
Zietlow, Rebecca 139
Zilis, Michael 108
Zimmerman, Nourit 99
Zimmerman, Adam 148
Zlotowski, Laura 39, 82
Zovanyi, Nikolett 73
Zug, Marcia 36
Zulfiqar, Adnan 32, 43
Zumbansen, Peer 62, 71
Zuniga, Natalia Torres 9
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keyword</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African Law &amp; Society</td>
<td>105, 115, 153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging</td>
<td>35, 101, 122, 134, 142, 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioethics, Biotechnology and the Law</td>
<td>10, 106, 116, 140, 145, 151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Colonialism</td>
<td>37, 46, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Punishment</td>
<td>22, 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Justice and Disputing</td>
<td>36, 43, 47, 108, 115, 129, 140, 151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class and Inequality</td>
<td>7, 24, 39, 51, 75, 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonialism and Post-Colonialism</td>
<td>3, 13, 16, 37, 40, 42, 67, 95, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism</td>
<td>2, 5, 8, 14, 19, 27, 28, 54, 61, 77, 85, 94, 97, 118, 119, 138, 147, 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate and Securities Law</td>
<td>14, 52, 83, 86, 96, 104, 107, 118, 119, 126, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime and Victims</td>
<td>15, 53, 86, 102, 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democracy, Governance and State theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions</td>
<td>21, 58, 63, 120, 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabilities and Law</td>
<td>53, 64, 78, 92, 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disasters</td>
<td>6, 31, 48, 56, 57, 66, 67, 68, 74, 114, 115, 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disputes and Negotiation</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic and Social Rights</td>
<td>40, 50, 58, 65, 69, 80, 85, 87, 94, 97, 98, 107, 117, 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy, Business and Society</td>
<td>6, 28, 35, 67, 80, 101, 141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy, Global Economy and Law</td>
<td>10, 146, 148, 151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment and Energy</td>
<td>80, 88, 89, 97, 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnography</td>
<td>4, 13, 17, 24, 33, 62, 68, 78, 113, 132, 134, 151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family and Youth</td>
<td>1, 28, 36, 48, 58, 60, 98, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feminist Jurisprudence</td>
<td>7, 25, 76, 120, 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and Judging</td>
<td>34, 45, 57, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and Sexuality</td>
<td>15, 22, 47, 50, 59, 62, 75, 79, 84, 105, 112, 118, 120, 130, 142, 143, 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographies of Law</td>
<td>7, 60, 71, 81, 91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Medicine</td>
<td>51, 64, 110, 122, 130, 142, 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Finance</td>
<td>33, 45, 113, 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islamic Law and Society</td>
<td>32, 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>26, 35, 50, 52, 70, 77, 84, 93, 121, 134, 139, 153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language and Discourse</td>
<td>59, 86, 108, 124, 128, 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation</td>
<td>55, 78, 79, 91, 101, 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Culture</td>
<td>20, 26, 37, 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal History</td>
<td>12, 26, 49, 65, 68, 74, 87, 89, 102, 122, 129, 131, 135, 140, 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Professions and Other Legal Services Providers</td>
<td>36, 51, 60, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Legal Realism</td>
<td>9, 18, 29, 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-State Legal Actors</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Keyword Index

| P | Policing | 9, 17, 33, 46, 67, 69, 96, 99, 102, 110, 111, 119, 120, 126, 127, 132, 141, 145, 147, 150 |
|   | Professional Education | 11, 38, 93 |
|   | Public-Private Dichotomy | 20 |
| R | Race and Ethnicity | 35, 38, 62, 114, 146 |
|   | Race, Critical Race Research | 56, 61, 67, 84, 88, 102, 109, 119, 136, 141 |
|   | Regulation & Governance | 11, 70, 73, 109, 111, 123, 128, 150 |
|   | Religion and Law | 10, 17, 29, 42, 133, 146 |
|   | Rights and Identities | 39, 40, 41, 73, 108, 133, 137 |
| S | Social Movements and Legal Mobilization | 2, 4, 13, 14, 20, 21, 31, 32, 34, 71, 93, 100, 126, 138 |
|   | Social theory and Law | 18, 48, 72, 76, 81, 87, 90, 98, 99, 106, 131, 136, 140, 145, 150 |
|   | South Asia | 4, 5, 8, 24, 72 |
| T | Taxation | 10, 20, 29, 30, 40, 50, 63, 74, 84, 93, 94, 95 |
|   | Teaching Law and Society | 9, 90, 133 |
|   | Technology | 18, 47, 56, 62, 63, 94, 108, 109, 113, 123, 131, 140, 142, 145, 147, 150, 153 |
|   | Transnational Legal Orders, International and Regional Institutions | 8, 12, 47, 62, 71, 81, 89, 125 |
| W | War and Law Including Security and Terrorism | 4, 34, 39, 103, 132 |