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**History of Eastern UP**

- Designed to Serve Load, NOT Transfer Power
- Originally Served Radially from LP
- High Z and Low West-to-East Bias Kept Flows Low
- Address thermal/voltage issues by splitting system

---

**West to East Bias Flows**

- Low cost/environmentally friendly power from north west is creating a stronger south east bias.
- Most flows on low impedance path south of lake. Small, but significant flow through Mackinac.
Ludington Pumped Storage

- Located on the East Side of Lake Michigan
- Stores Cheaper Power at Night (6 x 333 MW)
- Generates During the Day (6 x 250 MW)
- Can Contribute to Flows in Either Direction
- Could Increase Output in the Future

Split Angle is Getting Worse

- A measure of the severity of the issue
  – overload at 44º under certain outage
- System splitting once rare is now normal
- This Trend is expected to continue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Maximum Split Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>72.8º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>78.2º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>80.1º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>83.5º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>87.6º</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
High Flow and Splitting System Issues

• Why do We Need to Split the System?
  – High flows overload UP equipment
  – High flows cause low voltages

• What Problems Does Splitting System Cause?
  – Outage constraints – can’t schedule outages
  – Difficulty regulating voltage (UP Flat Load)
  – Multiple transients during splitting and reconfiguring, especially on underwater cables

Alternatives to Splitting System

• Re-dispatching Generation
  – Few strong sources nearby
  – Difficult, expensive and impractical

• Build Our Way Out of the Problem
  – Creates new overloads and voltage issues (fixing one problem creates another)
  – Expensive and Would Take Too Much Time
  – Required outages - very difficult to impossible
  – Necessary only if we want the UP to be an alternate path for significant flows

• Control the Flow Across the Straits
Mackinac Flow Control Challenges

- Very Low Available Short Circuit – Especially under Outage Conditions
- No Large Generators Nearby and Some Nearby Generators May Retire
- Voltage Control Can’t Be Made Worse
- Must Be A Long Term Fix – System Changes Can’t Make it Obsolete (Robustness)
- +/-200 MW (Existing Cable Rating)
- Cost, Maintenance, Losses, Contingency Operation

Available Flow Control Technologies

- Series Reactor
- Phase Shifting Transformer (PST)
- Variable Frequency Transformer (VFT)
- Line Commutated Converter (LCC) HVDC
- Capacitor Commutated Converter (CCC) HVDC
- Voltage Source Converter (VSC) HVDC
  - IGBT - Insulated Gate Bi-Polar Transistors
  - Series Connected PWM or Multi-Level
Series Reactor

- Increasing Impedance Decreases Flow
- Balance Flow on Parallel Lines
- Advantages: Simplicity & Cost
- Disadvantages: Reactive Losses, Lack of Adjustability, Obsolescence
- Mackinac Weakness Makes this Unacceptable

Phase Shifting Transformer

- $P_{12} = \frac{V_1 \cdot V_2}{X} \cdot \sin \delta$
- Increase/Decrease Angle
- Series PST for Large Angle
- Mechanical Tap Switches
  - Inspection 80k Ops, Parts Replacement 800k Ops, Total Replacement 4M Ops
- Operate for Market Conditions?
- No Harmonics, Allows Needed Flow Through
- Consumes Some Vars
Variable Frequency Transformer

- Single Supplier (GE)
- Essentially a Continuously Adjustable PST
- Can Connect Asynchronous Systems (3 Hz)
- Fully Adjustable to +/- Its 100 MW Rating  
  - Multiple Units Can Be Paralleled
- Allows Reactive Flow, Does Not Regulate V
- No Harmonics, Sub-Synchronous Torsional or Control Interaction Issues
- Can Supply Real & Reactive Power to Faults
- Inertia Helps Stability

Line Commutated Converter HVDC

- In Commercial Use Since 1950’s
  - Economically transfer high power long distances overhead
  - Transfer power underground w/o var & voltage issues
  - Can control flow and connect asynchronous systems
- Thyristers – conducting (forward mode) or blocking (forward or reverse mode)
  - Requires zero crossing to turn off
  - Low conducting & switching losses
- Six or Twelve Pulse Configurations
  - Harmonic Filters Required
LCC HVDC

• Advantages
  – Lower construction, right-of-way & loss costs
  – Full flow control (never obsolete)
• Disadvantages
  – Terminal Costs (Converter Transformers)
  – Var Consumption (up to 50% of rating)
  – Harmonic Filter Requirements
  – Minimum short circuit requirements (2x rating)
  – Possible Control Interaction
  – Possible Sub-synchronous Resonance

Capacitor Commutated Converter HVDC

• Thyristers Need Minimum Short Circuit Current to Turn-Off (need reverse voltage)
• CCC Designed to Address this Issue
• Series Capacitors in AC Line Connections of Converter Transformer Primary or Secondary
• Partially Offsets Commutating Inductance (reduces fault current requirements)
• Allows Smaller Extinction Angle (reduces reactive power requirements)
• Mackinac Short Circuit Still an Issue
Voltage Sourced Converter HVDC

- Cheaper, Higher Rated Self-Commutating Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistors (IGBT) Drive VSC Design
- Reverse Voltage to Turn Off Gate
- Independent Dynamically Controllable Vars on Each Side (Two STATCOMs)
- No Minimum Short Circuit, Has Black Start Capability, Can Serve Islands, Easier Multi-Terminal HVDC, No Special Converter Transformers

Series Pulse Width Modulation
Multi-Level VSC HVDC

PWM vs. Multi-Level VSC HVDC

- **Series Connected PWM**
  - Conceptually Simple
  - Creates Harmonics & Inter-harmonics
  - Higher Switching Losses

- **Multi-Level**
  - More Complex Controls (?)
  - Lower Losses
  - Minimal Distortion (no filters, smaller substation)
  - Easily scalable design

- **Concerns with Both:** Cost, Maintenance, Weak System Control
Technology Decision

- All Technologies have Advantages and Disadvantages
- VSC HVDC Chosen
  - Operation with Low Short Circuit Currents
  - Independent Dynamic Var Production
  - Robustness
- Concerns to Be Addressed By Studies
  - Maintenance, Control Complexity, Sub-Synchronous Resonance, Interaction with other Control Systems
  - Control Under Very Weak System (Outage) Conditions

Very Weak System Conditions

- Eastern UP Connected by HVDC, Two 138 kV lines and One 69 kV Line. What if only HVDC (Island) or HVDC and One 69 kV (Quasi-Island)
- Existing Option: Multiple Breaker Status and MW sensors with Communication to HVDC
- Innovation (Currently Being Finalized): AC Line Emulation Under Very Weak System Conditions (Stay Tuned)
Converter Station Specification

- +/-200 MW, +/- 100 Mvars
- Operate as STATCOMS w/o Power Transfer
- Islanding Operation Available
- Black Start Capability
- “Unstaffed” (No Bathroom in HVDC Building)

Design and Construction Progress

- Symmetrical Monopole (PWM)
- Awarded Early 2013 In Service 3Q 2014
- -50 to +102 Degrees, 200” Annual Snow Fall
- 300’ x 100’ Two Stories
- Foundations In, Steel Going Up
Questions?

Is Mackinac the Only HVDC Project Built Exclusively for Flow Control?