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Relevant Tech Transfer Policy

- **Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980** -- Purpose: “stimulating improved utilization of federally funded technology developments ... by state and local governments and the private sector.”
- **Federal Technology Transfer Act 1986** -- Technology transfer is a responsibility for all federal lab scientists and technology transfer activities are to be considered in employee performance evaluations.
- **Executive Order 12591, 1987** -- All agencies are required to “assist in the transfer of technology to the marketplace”
- **Energy Policy Act 2005** -- “The Secretary shall establish an Energy Technology Commercialization Fund, using 0.9 percent of the amount made available to the Department for applied energy research, development, demonstration, and commercial application for each fiscal year, to be used to provide matching funds with private partners to promote promising energy technologies for commercial purposes.” (Section 1001)
  - Each executive department and agency that conducts R&D commits to improve the results from its technology transfer and commercialization activities. The aim is to increase the successful outcomes of these activities significantly over the next 5 years, while simultaneously achieving excellence in our basic and mission focused research activities.
  - Establish Goals and Measure Progress. Establishing performance goals, metrics, and evaluation methods, as well as implementing and tracking progress relative to those goals, is critical to improving the returns from Federal R&D investments.
  - Streamline the Federal Government’s Technology Transfer and Commercialization Process. Streamlining licensing procedures, improving public availability of federally owned inventions from across the Federal Government, and improving the executive branch’s Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) and Small Business Technology Transfer (SBT/TT) programs based on best practices will accelerate technology transfer from Federal laboratories and other facilities and spur entrepreneurship.
  - Facilitate Commercialization through Local and Regional Partnerships. Agencies must take steps to enhance successful technology innovation networks by fostering increased Federal laboratory engagement with external partners, including universities, industry consortia, economic development entities, and State and local governments.
Dawn of a New Economic Era

Ideas, Intellectual Property and Information are creating commerce

$3B

$19B
Culture and Innovation Ecosystems

Culture is the engine that drives an innovation ecosystem.

A Redwood forest is highly sophisticated ecosystem. Each tree is a part of a community that shares resources which causes the entire ecosystem achieve unparalleled heights that could not be achieved alone.
Innovation Ecosystem

Rainforest model of Innovation Ecosystem Development

1. Seed
   - convene, educate, assess, map
2. Cultivate
   - build, grow, coach
3. Nourish
   - active management

Seed a culture of innovation at Federal agencies and labs, minority businesses, minority serving institutions, large businesses, investment community, and stakeholder groups and agencies.

Cultivate communities that catalyze regional economic development.

Nourish regional economic development with capital, technology commercialization, market verticals, and entrepreneurship training.
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# Economic Impact of Tech Transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TechLink Study of DoD</th>
<th>IBRC Study of Navy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Types of Tech Transfer Agreements Considered** | • 44% R&D contracts  
  • 36% licenses  
  • 20% CRADAs | • 25% licenses  
  • 75% CRADAs |
| **Economic Impact per Tech Transfer Agreement** | • $2.24MM  
  • 13 jobs created or retained | • $5.4MM  
  • 26 jobs created or retained |
| **Average Job Compensation (for jobs attributable to direct sales)** | $73,279 | $79,300 |

*Swearingen and Slaper, Economic Impact of DoD Technology Transfer, June 2012*
Lab-to-Market Initiative: DOE-DOC

Mission: To catalyze regional innovation ecosystems that stimulate job creation and business growth opportunities for minority business enterprises (MBEs) by leveraging the federal resources of the Department of Energy and its National Labs and the Department of Commerce.
**DOE-DOC: Ecosystem and Indicators**

- **Businesses LBs, MBEs**
- **DOE National Labs**
- **DOE-DOC** Federal Resources, State, and Local Agencies
- **MSIs**

**Ecosystem Indicators:**
- Policy: Supportive policy?
- Ideas: How well is intellectual property getting to market?
- People: MBE participation? MSI Participation?
- Community: Collaborative environment?
- Capital: Continuum inclusive of gap funding?
Market and Capital Intelligence

• Market Segmentation
  – Cyber Security, Clean Energy/Energy Efficiency
  – Adv. Manufacturing, Environment

• Capital Segmentation
  – Gap Funding
Capital Segmentation & University Gap Funding

GAP FUNDING OVERVIEW

The Role of Gap Funding in the new capital continuum

With fleeting sources of early-stage capital in the commercialization pathway, a “valley of death” or “gap” emerges that threatens the development of university technologies and start-ups. Universities utilize gap funding as a solution to this barrier to innovation.

COMMERCIALIZATION PATHWAY

UNIVERSITY GAP FUNDING PROGRAMS

- Translational Research Funds
- Proof of Concept Funds
- Business Formation Funds (startups)
- Business Growth Funds (startups)

“THE GAP/VALLEY OF DEATH”

- Fed. Basic Research
- State-based Funds
- Fed. SBIR/STTR
- Accelerators/Incubators
- Angel Capital
- Venture Capital
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www.gapfunding.org
Federal Gap Funding: Adv. Manufacturing Hubs

Advanced Manufacturing Hub Investments leverage strong Federal support of basic research by partnering with the private sector to accelerate commercialization.
Advanced Manufacturing Hubs: Bridging the Gap

Advanced Manufacturing Hub Investments leverage strong Federal support of basic research by partnering with the private sector to accelerate commercialization.
Advanced Manufacturing Hubs: Bridging the Gap

Advanced Manufacturing Hub Investments leverage strong Federal support of basic research by partnering with the private sector to accelerate commercialization.
Advanced Manufacturing Hubs: Bridging the Gap

Advanced Manufacturing Hub Investments leverage strong Federal support of basic research by partnering with the private sector to accelerate commercialization.
Ecosystem Needs/Opportunities

- **Funds for Technology Maturation**: To effectively leverage the ideas, talent, and resources at DOE’s National Labs, gap funding is needed in the ecosystem.

- **Ecosystem Staff**: Thriving innovation ecosystems need diverse experts in technology transfer and commercialization, intellectual property portfolio management, marketing, integrators, manufacturing, and other related personnel.

- **Talent Development**: Training and developing the requisite entrepreneurial talent in technology transfer, commercialization, and STEM entrepreneurship is a vital need.

- **Leveraging of Information Technology**: Better information technology tools that can democratize access to innovation, information, and individuals.
Summary

- U.S. Government role is to foster innovation ecosystem to catalyze economic growth.
- Federal policy and convening authority is critical to seeding communities of innovation ecosystems.
- HBCUs/MSIs & MBEs can play vital roles in the innovation ecosystem.
- A public private partnership model that uses both market “pull” and market “push” tactics to tech transfer.
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Websites:
* Mbda.gov; NIST.gov/mep
* TechTransfer.Energy.gov
* Diversity.Energy.gov
Back Up Slides
MBDA Centers
Technology Incubation Leveraging a DOE National Lab

Commercialization

Market penetration and commercial success

Small Business

DOE National Lab provides Technical Assistance

Innovation Ecosystem

Beachhead Market Selection

Market Discovery

Quick Prototype

Prototype Redesign

Market Testing

TR3-TR6

TR7-TR8

DOE National Lab
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# Technology Readiness Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Technology Readiness Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prototype Development</strong></td>
<td>TRL 1</td>
<td>Basic Research is translated to Applied Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRL 2</td>
<td>Invention begins - Once basic principles are observed, practical applications can be invented. Applications are speculative and there may be no proof or detailed analysis to support the assumptions. Examples are limited to analytic studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRL 3</td>
<td>Active R&amp;D is initiated - Active research and development is initiated. This includes analytical studies and laboratory studies to physically validate analytical predictions of separate elements of the technology. Examples include components that are not yet integrated or representative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRL 4</td>
<td>Basic technological components are integrated - Basic technological components are integrated to establish that the pieces will work together.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prototype Testing</strong></td>
<td>TRL 5</td>
<td>Fidelity of breadboard technology improves significantly - The basic technological components are integrated with reasonably realistic supporting elements so it can be tested in a simulated environment. Examples include “high fidelity” laboratory integration of components.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRL 6</td>
<td>Model/prototype is tested in relevant environment - Representative model or prototype system, which is well beyond that of TRL 5, is tested in a relevant environment. Represents a major step up in a technology’s demonstrated readiness. Examples include testing a prototype in a high-fidelity laboratory environment or in simulated operational environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product Development</strong></td>
<td>TRL 7</td>
<td>Prototype near or at planned operational system - Represents a major step up from TRL 6, requiring demonstration of an actual system prototype in an operational environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRL 8</td>
<td>Technology is proven to work - Actual technology completed and qualified through test and demonstration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRL 9</td>
<td>Actual application of technology is in its final form - Technology proven through successful operations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Create a Rainforest Canvas-Genome Sequencing of Your Ecosystem

### The Rainforest Canvas

**Leaders:**
- Who has the reputation, resources and commitment to lead new initiatives?
- Who will champion new initiatives within their own organizations?
- How can leaders and champions be more inclusive?

**Stakeholders:**
- Who are the service providers?
- Who are the inventors?
- Who are the capital providers?
- Who are the support organizations?
- Who are the other key participants in the innovation ecosystem?

**Resources:**
- What resources are available to aspiring entrepreneurs (knowledge, mentorship, cloud hosting, etc.)?
- What sources of capital are there in the marketplace?
- What is the volume and quality of talent in the labor pool?
- What are the main sources of innovative ideas/discoveries/inventions?
- What resources are available to service and support organizations that interact with entrepreneurs (workforce training, etc.)?

**Activities:**
- What people already doing to stimulate innovation/entrepreneurship?
- How are these people collaborating with each other?
- What activities drive participation in the community?
- What events create ‘buzz’ and generate interest?

**Engagement:**
- Where, when and how do stakeholders interact?
- How do ideas, talent and capital come together?
- What are the lines of communication between partners?
- How does the community engage external or global partners?
- How does the community encourage new constituents?
- How do young people get involved?
- What forums exist that allow the breakdown of social and professional hierarchies?

**Infrastructure, Capability & Community:**
- What is the density and quality of service providers (law, IP, consulting, real estate, etc.)?
- What boundary spanning organizations exist?
- What is the density and quality of physical infrastructure (airports, internet connections, etc.)?
- What are the core sectors of the local economy?
- What are the strongest regional comparative advantages?

**Framework:**
- What is the regulatory environment for innovation?
- What legal/bureaucratic barriers stand in the way of entrepreneurship?
- What widespread social norms surround the innovation ecosystem?

**Role Models:**
- Who are the local entrepreneurs that have built successful companies?
- Who are the local entrepreneurs that haven’t yet been successful and what can we learn from their failures?
- What regions have similar attributes and resources?
- What organizations have shared visions/values?
- Are there other regions with successful innovation ecosystems that we could learn from or emulate?

**Culture:**
- What kind of innovative social networks exist already?
- How do people deal with uncertainty, risk or randomness?
- How is failure perceived?
- Do people build for perfection or iteration?

---
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## Ecosystem Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem Indicator</th>
<th>Guiding Questions</th>
<th>Metrics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Are the State, Local, and Lab policies supportive of technology transfer and commercialization, economic development, and entrepreneurship?</td>
<td>Number of policies that incentivizes tech transfer/commercialization, economic development, and entrepreneurship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideas</td>
<td>How well is Intellectual Property (IP) (DOE or others) being translated to the entrepreneurs, universities, and investors? What’s the economic impact of the IP?</td>
<td>DOE IP Utilization, MSI IP Utilization, Jobs Created, Revenue Generated (MBE and non MBE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People</td>
<td>Does the ecosystem have the needed competent players such as Innovators, Integrators, Entrepreneurs, Leaders, and Role Models? Is the ecosystem developing the requisite talent to spur economic growth? How are minorities, MBEs, and MSIs being utilized?</td>
<td>Minority Business Utilization and created, Minority Serving Institution Utilization, Number of Entrepreneurs trained (Minority, non Minority)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Has the region developed a collaborative environment with local universities, businesses, city and state officials, and investors?</td>
<td>The number of events and public-private partnerships with academic, public, and private leaders focused on innovation, entrepreneurship, or economic development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>Are gap funds and technology maturation dollars available and active for ecosystem? Is there continuum of capital available for entrepreneurs? In what capacity? How much capital is utilized by MBE and MSI participation?</td>
<td>Amount of technology maturation funds invested by source for DOE Lab IP and non DOE IP. Leverage of federal dollars (additional matching private funds). Capital utilized by MBEs and MSIs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ecosystem Needs

- **Funds for Technology Maturation:** In conferring tech transfer officials at DOE Head Quarters, National Labs, and members of DOE’s Tech Transfer Working Group, one of the key issues is funds for technology maturation or proof of concept. Also, noted from the Interagency Working Group on Tech Transfer, Proof of concept funds are a part of a gap funding continuum needed to successfully nurture the commercialization of technology. Currently, the DOE has not appropriated funds for technology maturation. Such funds are left to the private companies managing and operating the lab (i.e., M&O contractors) to set aside their own funds. To effectively leverage the ideas, talent, and resources at DOE’s National Labs, gap funding is needed in the ecosystem.

- **Ecosystem Staff:** Due to decreased funding for technology transfer, Tech transfer offices at DOE labs are typically underfunded and understaffed. Tech transfer is left to discretionary funds of the M&O contractor and is not a line budget item. Thriving innovation ecosystems need diverse experts in technology transfer and commercialization, intellectual property portfolio management, marketing, and other related personnel.

- **Talent Development:** Training and developing the requisite entrepreneurial talent in technology transfer, commercialization, and entrepreneur is a vital need. Across many sectors, especially clean energy, there is a dearth of entrepreneurial talent to commercialize technology. Oftentimes good IP and business ideas are not commercialized due to a lack of available entrepreneurial talent.

- **Leveraging of Information Technology:** Better information technology tools that can democratize access to innovation, information, and individuals. The velocity of information is a critical element to catalyzing innovation ecosystems. DOE has funded the creation of the Innovation portal.