LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

ASSET TRANSFER REVIEW

Review Report

January 1, 2011, through January 31, 2012

JOHN CHIANG
California State Controller

August 2014
Corde Carrillo, Director of Economic & Housing Development  
Los Angeles County Community  
Development Commission/Successor Agency  
700 West Main Street  
Alhambra, CA  91801

Dear Mr. Carrillo:

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34167.5, the State Controller’s Office (SCO) reviewed all asset transfers made by the Los Angeles County Community Development Commission (RDA) to the County of Los Angeles (County) or any other public agency after January 1, 2011. This statutory provision states, “The Legislature hereby finds that a transfer of assets by a redevelopment agency during the period covered in this section is deemed not to be in furtherance of the Community Redevelopment Law and is thereby unauthorized.” Therefore, our review included an assessment of whether each asset transfer was allowable and whether the asset should be turned over to the Successor Agency.

Our review applied to all assets including but not limited to, real and personal property, cash funds, accounts receivable, deeds of trust and mortgages, contract rights, and rights to payment of any kind. We also reviewed and determined whether any unallowable transfers of assets to the County or any other public agency have been reversed.

Our review found that the RDA transferred $8,599,062 in assets to the Successor Agency after January 1, 2011. We did not identify any unallowable transfers that occurred during the review period between the RDA, the County, and/or any other public agency.

If you have any questions, please contact Elizabeth González, Chief, Local Government Compliance Bureau, by telephone at (916) 324-0622.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD, CPA  
Chief, Division of Audits
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Asset Transfer Review Report

Summary

The State Controller’s Office (SCO) reviewed the asset transfers made by the Los Angeles County Community Development Commission (RDA) after January 1, 2011. Our review included, but was not limited to, real and personal property, cash funds, accounts receivable, deeds of trust and mortgages, contract rights, and rights to payments of any kind from any source.

Our review found that the RDA transferred $8,599,062 in assets to the Successor Agency after January 1, 2011. We did not identify any unallowable transfers that occurred during the review period between the RDA, the County of Los Angeles, and/or any other public agency.

Background

In January of 2011, the Governor of the State of California proposed statewide elimination of redevelopment agencies (RDAs) beginning with the fiscal year (FY) 2011-12 State budget. The Governor’s proposal was incorporated into Assembly Bill 26 (ABX1 26, Chapter 5, Statutes of 2011, First Extraordinary Session), which was passed by the Legislature, and signed into law by the Governor on June 28, 2011.

ABX1 26 prohibited RDAs from engaging in new business, established mechanisms and timelines for dissolution of the RDAs, and created RDA successor agencies and oversight boards to oversee dissolution of the RDAs and redistribution of RDA assets.

A California Supreme Court decision on December 28, 2011 (California Redevelopment Association et al. v. Matosantos), upheld ABX1 26 and the Legislature’s constitutional authority to dissolve the RDAs.

ABX1 26 was codified in the Health and Safety (H&S) Code beginning with section 34161.

H&S Code section 34167.5 states in part, “... the Controller shall review the activities of redevelopment agencies in the state to determine whether an asset transfer has occurred after January 1, 2011, between the city or county, or city and county that created a redevelopment agency or any other public agency, and the redevelopment agency.”

The SCO identified asset transfers that occurred after January 1, 2011, between the RDA, the County and/or any other public agency. By law, the SCO is required to order that such assets, except those that already had been committed to a third party prior to June 28, 2011, the effective date of ABX1 26, be turned over to the Successor Agency. In addition, the SCO may file a legal action to ensure compliance with this order.
Objective, Scope, and Methodology

Our review objective was to determine whether asset transfers that occurred after January 1, 2011, and the date upon which the RDA ceased to operate, or January 31, 2012, whichever was earlier, between the city or county, or city and county that created an RDA, or any other public agency, and the RDA, were appropriate.

We performed the following procedures:

- Interviewed Successor Agency personnel to gain an understanding of the Successor Agency’s operations and procedures.
- Reviewed meeting minutes, resolutions, and ordinances of the County, the RDA, the Successor Agency, and the Oversight Board.
- Reviewed accounting records relating to the recording of assets.
- Verified the accuracy of the Asset Transfer Assessment Form. This form was sent to all former RDAs to provide a list of all assets transferred between January 1, 2011, and January 31, 2012.
- Reviewed applicable financial reports to verify assets (capital, cash, property, etc.).

Conclusion

Our review found that the Los Angeles County Community Development Commission (RDA) transferred $8,599,062 in assets to the Successor Agency after January 1, 2011. We did not identify any unallowable transfers that occurred during the review period between the RDA, the County of Los Angeles Community Development Commission, and/or any other public agency.

Views of Responsible Officials

At an exit conference on July 23, 2014, we discussed the review results with Corde Carrillo, Director of Economic and Housing Development, who agreed with the review results. Mr. Carrillo further agreed that a draft review report was not necessary and that the report could be issued as final.

Restricted Use

This report is solely for the information and use of the County of Los Angeles, the Successor Agency, the Oversight Board, and the SCO; it is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record when issued final.

Original signed by

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD, CPA
Chief, Division of Audits
August 29, 2014
State Controller’s Office
Division of Audits
Post Office Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250-5874
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